# Town of Dumfries Council Meeting Packet 



Derrick R. Wood, Mayor Monaé S. Nickerson, Vice Mayor Tyrone Brown, Councilmember Shaun Peet, Councilmember Selonia B. Miles, Councilmember Cydny A. Neville, Councilmember Brian K. Fields, Councilmember

Keith C. Rogers, Jr., Town Manager Sharon E. Pandak, Town Attorney

Tangi R. Hill, Town Clerk

March 1, 2022

# DUMFRIES TOWN COUNCIL MEETING TUESDAY, MARCH 1, 2022 AT 7:00 PM COUNCIL CHAMBERS 

I. Call to Order and Roll Call
II. Moment of Prayer and Pledge of Allegiance
III. Adoption of Agenda
IV. Awards and Proclamations
A. Proclamation Recognizing Women's History Month - Mayor Derrick Wood
B. Proclamation Recognizing the Potomac Landfill - Mayor Derrick Wood
V. Approval of Minutes
A. Dumfries Town Council Meeting Minutes - February 1, 2022
VI. Citizen Comment Period
VII. Mayor and Council Comments
VIII. Action Items (Public Hearings)
A. Public Hearing- Consideration of an Ordinance to Approve Conditional Use Application, CUP2021-001, Filed by Rising Stars Daycare, LLC
B. Public Hearing - Consideration of an Ordinance to Approve Proffer Condition Amendment Application, PCA2016-001, Filed by Townsquare at Dumfries, LLC
C. Consideration of a Resolution Amending Town Council Rules of Procedure Section 3-3
IX. Introduction Items
A. Resolution Authorizing the Town Manager to Advertise Public Hearing on the Conditional Use Application, CUP2022-001, Pooch Purrfect Pet Spa - Public Hearing Date: March 15, 2022

## X. Closed Session

A. One (1) Personnel Matter Relating to the Annual Performance Evaluation of the Town Manager (Va. Code 2.2-3711.A.1)
XI. Adjournment


## PROCLAMATION RECOGNIZING WOMEN'S HISTORY MONTH

WHEREAS, the month of March is nationally recognized as Women's History Month; and
WHEREAS, American women of every race, class, and ethnic background have made historic contributions to the growth and strength of our Nation in countless recorded and unrecorded ways; and

WHEREAS, American women have played and continue to play critical economic, cultural, and social role in every sphere of the life of the Nation by constituting a significant portion of the labor force working inside and outside of the home; and

WHEREAS, American women have played a unique role throughout the history of the Nation by providing the majority of the volunteer labor force of the Nation; and

WHEREAS, American women were particularly important in the establishment of early charitable, philanthropic, and cultural institutions in our Nation; and

WHEREAS, American women of every race, class, and ethnic background served as early leaders in the forefront of every major progressive social change movement; and

WHEREAS, American women have served our country courageously in the military; and
WHEREAS, American women have been leaders, not only in securing their own rights of suffrage and equal opportunity, but also in the abolitionist movement, the emancipation movement, the industrial labor movement, the civil rights movement, and other movements, especially the peace movement, which create a more fair and just society for all; and

WHEREAS, despite these contributions, the role of American women in history has been consistently overlooked and undervalued, in the literature, teaching and study of American history; and

WHEREAS, the national theme for Women's History Month 2022 is "Women Providing Healing, Promoting Hope;" and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT PROCLAIMED that the Town of Dumfries celebrates and recognizes March 2022, as Women's History Month.

By Order of Council:

Derrick R. Wood, Mayor
Town of Dumfries
March 1, 2022


## PROCLAMATION RECOGNIZING THE POTOMAC RECYCLING, INC.

WHEREAS, Potomac Recycling, Inc. came to Dumfries in 2007 for the purpose of mining and recycling construction, demolition, and miscellaneous inert debris materials to return them to their natural state at the Potomac Landfill, 100 acres located at 3730 Greentree Lane, Dumfries, Virginia; and

WHEREAS, Sandy Crippen, CEO of Potomac Recycling, affectionately known as "Miss Virginia" by her business colleagues and friends, took the trash and turned it into treasure by "Taking the Dump Out of Dumfries"; and

WHEREAS, Potomac Recycling has always been a vital member of our community and has shown its support by sponsoring the Town's Annual $4^{\text {th }}$ of July Fireworks; supporting the Dumfries Police Department with very generous donations for their Christmas in Dumfries and Dual-Purpose-Police K-9 program; and

WHEREAS, Potomac Recycling philanthropic spirit towards ChildHelp, a non-profit organization dedicated to the prevention and treatment of child abuse, has not gone unnoticed; and

WHEREAS, on Friday, January 28, 2022, the Potomac Recycling took its last load of construction debris for the purpose of recycling and disposal at the Potomac Landfill; and

WHEREAS, we echo the sentiments of Potomac Landfill's President Phil Peet that we too are "proud of what the landfill operators and employees have accomplished over the years," and the departure of Potomac Recycling is "bittersweet."

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Town of Dumfries hereby recognize and express our sincere appreciation to Potomac Recycling for their contribution and years of service to the Town of Dumfries.

Derrick R. Wood, Mayor<br>Town of Dumfries<br>March 1, 2022

## DUMFRIES TOWN COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES <br> TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 1, 2022

## MEETING HELD VIRTUALLY VIA ZOOM AND THE TOWN'S YOUTUBE CHANNEL

A video recording of this meeting is available on the Town's YouTube Channel: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IOkR-TLgLmc

## I. Call to Order and Roll Call

At 7:00 PM, Mayor Wood called the meeting to order. The following members were recorded as present: Brown, Fields, Miles, Nickerson, and Wood; Councilwoman Neville and Councilman Peet attended virtually due to health safety reasons.
II. Moment of Prayer \& Pledge of Allegiance

A moment of silent prayer was followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.
III. Adoption of the Agenda

On a motion made by Vice Mayor Nickerson, seconded by Councilwoman Miles, to adopt the agenda. Vote 7-0 (Yes: Brown, Fields, Miles, Neville, Nickerson, Peet, and Wood; No: N/A; Abstain: N/A)

## IV. Awards \& Proclamations

Proclamation Recognizing Black History Month was presented by Vice Mayor Nickerson.

## V. Approval of the Minutes

On a motion made by Councilwoman Miles, seconded by Vice Mayor Nickerson to approve the February 1, 2022 Town of Dumfries Council meeting minutes. Vote 7-0 (Yes: Brown, Fields, Miles, Neville, Nickerson, Peet, and Wood; No: N/A; Abstain: N/A)

## VI. Citizen Comment Period

## VII. Mayor and Council Comments

During this time, the Mayor and Council provided their comments.

## VIII. Reports \& Presentations

Boys \& Girls Club Update was presented by Branch Director Judy Moore. Questions from Council was addressed.

Historic Dumfries Update was presented by Executive Director Lisa Timmerman.

## IX. Action Items (Public Hearing)

## Options for Revising the Rules of Procedure Relating to Remotely Attending Council Meetings - Town Attorney Sharon Pandek

Town Attorney Pandek presented options for revising the rules of procedure relating to remotely attending council meetings. After discussion, the Council directed Town Attorney Pandek to revise Section 3.3 of the Council Rules of Procedure based upon their discussions and prepare a resolution for consideration at the February 15, 2022 Council meeting.

Motion Directing the Town Manager to Develop a Plan for a Multi-Use Building for Former Rescue Squad Property - Councilman Peet

On a motion made by Councilman Peet, seconded by Councilwoman Neville, to direct the Town Manager to develop a plan for a multi-use building for the former rescue squad building, in accordance with the acceptable and sustainable use of funding, to be presented at the May 2022 meeting. Vote 7-0 (Yes: Brown, Fields, Miles, Neville, Nickerson, Peet, and Wood; No: N/A; Abstain: N/A).

## X. Closed Session

One (1) Personnel Matter Relating to the Annual Performance Evaluation of the Town Manager (Va. Code §2.2-3711.A.1)

On a motion made by Councilwoman Neville, seconded by Councilman Fields the Council convened in Closed Session at 8:58 pm pursuant to Va. Code § 2.2-3711.A. 1 one (1) Personnel Matter Relating to the Annual Performance Evaluation of the Town Manager. Vote 7-0 (Yes: Brown, Fields, Miles, Neville, Nickerson, Peet, and Wood; No: N/A; Abstain: N/A).

On a motion made by Mayor Wood, seconded by Councilman Brown, the Council concluded Closed Session and reconvened its meeting in Open Session at 9:58 pm. Vote 7-0 (Yes: Brown, Fields, Miles, Neville, Nickerson, Peet, and Wood; No: N/A; Abstain: N/A).

## XI. Adjournment

Mayor Wood adjourned the meeting at 10:00 pm.

## AGENDA ITEM REQUEST FORM

Item Type
$\square$ Award $\square$ Proclamation $\square$ Resolution/Ordinance $\square$ Motion $\square$ Discussion

## Statement of Purpose

Public Hearing- Consideration of an Ordinance to Approve Conditional Use Application, CUP2021-001, Filed by Rising Stars Daycare, LLC

## Background/References

Please see attached ordinance.

## Fiscal Impact

## N/A

## Suggested Motion

Approve CUP2021-001, Filed by Rising Stars Daycare, LLC

## Requested Meeting Date

March 1, 2022

## Attachments

- 2022-03-01 CUP22-001 Public Hearing Authorization.pdf
- 2021-12-28 Rising Stars Daycare CUP21-001 Final Staff Report.pdf


# AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DUMFRIES TOWN COUNCIL HELD ON MARCH 1, 2022: ON A MOTION DULY MADE BY , AND 

SECONDED BY _, THE FOLLOWING ORDINANCE WAS ADOPTED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

Tyrone A. Brown, ___; Brian K. Fields,<br>$\qquad$<br>Selonia B. Miles,<br>$\qquad$ Cydny A. Neville,<br>$\qquad$ Monae S. Nickerson, ___; Shaun R. Peet,<br>$\qquad$ Derrick R. Wood,<br>$\qquad$

ORDINANCE TO APPROVE A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT, CUP 2021-001 FOR A DAYCARE CENTER, RISING STARS DAYCARE LLC, FOR 17944 MAIN STREET.

WHEREAS, Rising Stars Daycare, LLC submitted a Conditional Use Permit application, CUP 2021-001, to the Town of Dumfries Department of Planning and Community Development on May 27, 2021; and

WHEREAS, the Dumfries Planning Commission held a duly advertised public hearing on February 14, 2022; and recommended approval of the Conditional Use Permit Application to the Town Council; and

WHEREAS, the Dumfries Town Council held a duly advertised public hearing on March 1, 2022; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with Section 70-10 of the Town Zoning Ordinance, the application as submitted or as modified will not affect adversely the health, safety, or welfare of persons residing or working in the neighborhood of the proposed use, and will not be detrimental to public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood; and

WHEREAS the Council acts in accordance with public necessity, general welfare, and good zoning practice.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Town Council of the Town of Dumfries, on this 1st day of March 2022, that the Conditional Use Permit application (CUP 2021-001), as proposed by Rising Stars Daycare, LLC.) is approved with the following conditions:

1. This Conditional Use Permit ("CUP") for a Daycare Center is granted for and runs with the land indicated in this application, 17944 Main Street. This CUP is not transferable to other land.
2. The Conditional Use Permit is subject to the requirements outlined in Section 70-7 of the Zoning Ordinance which authorizes a daycare center; and requirements of a Building Permit as may be determined by the Town Department of Public Works.
3. The applicant must obtain a Certificate of Occupancy in accordance with currentbuilding and zoning regulations of the Town of Dumfries and the Virginia Statewide UniformBuilding Code.
4. Traffic and Safety Measures: parents will park and then walk their children into the building.

By Order of Council:

Derrick R. Wood, Mayor

ATTEST:
Tangi Hill, Town Clerk


## Staff Report

Conditional Use Permit, CUP2021-001: To provide authorization of a conditional use permit to allow the use of a Daycare Facility within the Neighborhood Business, B-2 zoning district at a property known as 17944 Main Street (GPIN \#8189-70-2425).

## APPLICANT

Rising Stars Daycare LLC

## LOCATION

17944 Main Street
Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#8189-70-2425

## PROPOSAL

Approval of a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) to allow the expansion of a Daycare Facility from 17934 Main Street to the adjoining property at 17944 Main Street.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends approval of CUP2021-001, subject to the approval of the proposed development conditions consistent with those provided in Appendix A.
It should be further noted that the content of this report reflects the analysis and recommendations of staff; it does not reflect the position of the Town Council.

## Background

## Conditional Use Permit

As outlined in Section 70-10 of the Town Zoning Ordinance, "Conditional Use Permits may be granted by the Town Council for any of the uses for which a CUP is required by the Zoning Ordinance. In granting any such CUP, the Council may impose any such conditions in connection therewith as will assure that the use(s) will conform with the requirements contained herein and will continue to do so and may require a guarantee or bond to insure the conditions imposed are being and will continue to be complied with.

A CUP shall not be issued unless the Council shall find that:

1. The proposal as submitted or as modified will not affect adversely the health, safety, or welfare of persons residing or working in the neighborhood of the proposed use; and will not be detrimental to public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood. Among matters to be considered in this connection are traffic congestion, noise, lights, dust, odor fumes, and vibrations, with due regard for timing of operation, screening, and other matters which might be regulated to mitigate adverse impact.
2. The proposal as submitted or modified will conform to the Comprehensive Plan for the Town or to specific elements of such Plan, and the official policies adopted in relation thereto, including the purposes and the express intent of this chapter."
A. Request - This is a Conditional Use Permit request for Rising Stars Daycare to expand operations to 17944 Main Street, Dumfries, VA 22026.
B. Site Location - The site is 0.61 acres and located on the corner of Main Street and White Haven Drive, seen below in Figure 1. The subject site currently contains 1 primary structure, with the applicant proposing to occupy around 1,800 square feet of the space. The parking lot developed with the site has 24 parking spaces. For the use of a daycare the Town Code requires 1 parking space per 175 square feet of building area, multiplied by the core factor of 0.8 . Based on the proposed square footage, the Daycare meets the parking requirements of 10 spaces.


Figure 1
C. Comprehensive Plan - The Future Land Use Map and Graham/ Fraley Small Area Plan both designate this parcel for Commercial Land Use.
D. Zoning -The site is currently zoned B-2, Neighborhood Business.
E. Surrounding Land Uses - The subject site is bordered on the North by current Rising Stars Daycare Facility. The USPS Store and Harley Davidson of Quantico are located to the east of the properties. All adjacent lots are zoned B-2 except for the R-2 residential lots to the west fronting on Willow Road and Whitehaven Drive that back up to the subject property.
F. Previous Use of the Property - Prior to being vacant, the site was developed and used by Majestic Glass and Mirror as a Warehouse and Showroom.

## SUMMARY/CONCLUSION

Staff has determined that the proposed development is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. The use will benefit the residents of the Town of Dumfries by expanding an existing daycare close to a residential area that offers hours that are more flexible for working parents. In addition, staff concludes that the development proposal will not adversely affect the health, safety, and general welfare of persons occupying the site as well as those in the neighboring vicinity of the site.

## RECCOMENDATIONS

Staff recommends approval of the Conditional Use Permit, CUP21-001, for Rising Stars Daycare LLC, to operate a daycare facility located at 17944 Main Street, subject to the Development Condition below:

1. Traffic and Safety Measures: parents will park and walk their children into the building.

## AGENDA ITEM REQUEST FORM

Item Type
$\square$ Award $\square$ Proclamation $\square$ Resolution/Ordinance $\square$ Motion $\square$ Discussion

## Statement of Purpose

Public Hearing - Consideration of an Ordinance to Approve Proffer Condition Amendment Application, PCA2016-001, Filed by Townsquare at Dumfries, LLC

## Background/References

Please see attached ordinance.

## Fiscal Impact

```
N/A
```


## Suggested Motion

Approve PCA2016-001, Filed by Townsquare at Dumfries, LLC

## Requested Meeting Date

February 1, 2022

## Attachments

- PCA2016-001 Final Approval Ordinace 3-1-22.pdf
- FINAL COMBINED STAFF REPORT PCA2016-001.pdf


# AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DUMFRIES TOWN COUNCIL HELD ON MARCH 1, 2022: ON A MOTION DULY MADE BY , AND 

SECONDED BY _ THE FOLLOWING ORDINANCE WAS ADOPTED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

Tyrone A. Brown, ___; Brian K. Fields,<br>$\qquad$<br>Selonia B. Miles,<br>$\qquad$ Cydny A. Neville, ___;<br>Monae S. Nickerson, Shaun R. Peet,<br>$\qquad$<br>Derrick R. Wood,<br>$\qquad$ ;<br>\section*{ORDINANCE TO APPROVE}<br>THE PROFFER AMENDMENT APPLICATION, PCA2016-0001, TOWNSQUARE AT DUMFRIES, FILED FOR THE PROPERTY LOCATED AT 3925 GRAHAM PARK ROAD, AND TO WAIVE THE REQUIREMENT SET FORTH IN SECTION 535.5 (4) OF THE ZONING ORDINANCE TO INCREASE THE MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE DENSITY FOR RESIDENTIAL<br>UNITS, AND TO WAIVE THE REQUIREMENT FOR THE 15 FOOT TRANSITIONAL BUFFER ALONG THE PERIMETER OF THE PMUD BOUNDARY AS OTHERWISE REQUIRED BY § 70-535.10(C).

WHEREAS, Townsquare, LLC has applied for a modification of its proffers on the 5.16 acre property identified as 8188-78-8078 and 8188-79-6806 rezoned REZ 2016-001 in order to modify the development on Land Bay 4 ; and

WHEREAS, the applicant is requesting a waiver of the requirement set forth in Sec. 535.5 (4) of the Zoning ordinance to increase the maximum allowable density for residential units to 19.38 Dwelling Units (DU) per acre as part of PCA2016-oo1; and to waive the requirement for the 15 foot transitional buffer along the perimeter of the PMUD Boundary As Otherwise Required By § 70-535.10(C); and

WHEREAS, the Department of Community Development and Zoning staff have recommended approval of the proffer amendments which are attached; and

[^0]NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED that the Town Council of the Town of Dumfries hereby approves the proffer amendments made in conjunction with REZ2016-001 on property identified as 8188-78-8078 and 8188-79-6806 by Townsquare at Dumfries, LLC, for Land Bay 4 as attached here to, and

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the Town Council of the Town of Dumfries does hereby waive the requirement set forth in Sec. 535.5 (4) of the Zoning Ordinance to increase the maximum allowable density for residential units to 19.38 Dwelling Units (DU) per acre, and that the requirement for the 15 -foot transitional buffer along the perimeter of the PMUD boundary as otherwise required by § 70$535 \cdot 10$ (c). be waived as a part of PCA 2016-001.

By Order of Council:

Derrick R. Wood, Mayor

ATTEST:
Tangi R. Hill, Town Clerk


February 14, 2022
STAFF REPORT
PROFFER CONDITION AMENDMENT APPLICATION: PCA2016-001

| APPLICANT: | Townsquare at Dumfries, LLC <br> 4915 Radford Avenue, Suite 300 <br> Richmond, VA 23230 |
| :--- | :--- |
| LOCATION: | 3925 Graham Park Road <br> Triangle, Virginia 22172 |
| PARCEL (S): | $8188-78-8078$ and 8188-779-6806 |

## EXISTING ZONING: PMUD, Planned Mixed Used District

ACREAGE: 5.16 acres

## DESCRIPTION OF THE APPLICATION

The Applicant proposes to amend the Proffer Statement associated with REZ2016-001. The purpose of the amendment is to update proffers that (1) designate the amount of commercial development; (2) commit to the amount and age-restriction of multifamily units; (3) define onsite recreational activities; (4) remove accommodation of a Town Police facility; and (5) update the MZP to reflect the land use changes and accommodation for road safety improvements. The specific amendments include:

1. Increase the overall number of age-restricted multifamily dwelling units from 40 to 100, as permitted in the PMUD High designation, classify them as affordable dwelling units, and authorize a modification of the density cap on the age-restricted housing units;
2. Commit to a minimum of 5,000 square feet of commercial uses;
3. Modify the building layout in Land Bay 4;
4. Modify the Proffers to accommodate comments made by the Town staff;
5. Remove the "public facility" use from Land Bay 4; and
6. Authorize a modification of the 15 -foot transitional buffer along the perimeter of the PMUD boundary as otherwise required by § 70-535.10(c).

Amendments 1 and 6 require the following waiver and modification:

- Waiver of Sec. 70-535.5 of the Zoning Ordinance to allow 100 age-restricted dwelling units, 23 more than the 77 dwelling units permitted by right.
- Modification of the 15 foot transitional buffer along the perimeter of the PMUD boundary as otherwise required by § 70-535.10(c).


## STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Town Council approve PCA2016-001 including the following:

- Amendment to the REZ2016-001 Proffer Statement, included as Appendix A.
- Modifications to the MZP, as described below and shown in Appendix B.
- Waiver of Sec. 70-535.5 of the Zoning Ordinance to allow 100 age-restricted dwelling units, 23 more than the 77 dwelling units permitted by right.
- Modification of the 15 foot transitional buffer along the perimeter of the PMUD boundary as otherwise required by § 70-535.10(c).

The content of this report reflects the analysis and recommendations of staff; it does not reflect the position of the Town Council.

## LOCATION AND CHARACTER

The subject property is located at 3925 Graham Park Road, which is situated along Graham Park Road, east of Rt. 1 (Fraley Boulevard) and west of Old Triangle Road, as shown in Figure 1. The property is currently undeveloped with no existing access points along the property's frontage.

Figure 1


## Background

I. Rezoning of the Property (2016)

On December 6, 2016, the Town Council approved rezoning application, REZ 2016-001 (Townsquare at Dumfries) and rezoned approximately 28.6 acres of land from the R-2, General Residential District to Planned Mixed Use District (PMUD). The rezoning was subject to executed proffers by the applicant. The approved rezoning application with associated MZP and proffers are included in Appendix C. Proffer I "Land Use, Development, and Operations", outlined the project's development by permitting a mix of residential and commercial uses, limited to 105 townhomes, 270 multi-family units, 40 senior living units and up to 20,000 SF of retail. In addition, Proffer II "Master Zoning Plan" ("MZP") states that the development of the site shall be in substantial conformance with the approved MZP. These proffers established parameters in the review of any subsequent development plans and/or permits for the site.
II. Two Site Plans Approved by Town Council in 2018 and 2019

## $1^{\text {st }}$ Site Plan (SP 2018-002)

On November 7, 2018, the Town Council, in its former capacity as the approval agent for site plan applications ${ }^{1}$, approved SP 2018-002 submitted by Community Housing Partners, to develop Phase I of the Townsquare project, which included 270 multifamily dwelling units (DUs) generally located in the area shown as Land Bay 3 on the approved MZP.

## $2^{\text {nd }}$ Site Plan (SP2019-004)

In 2019, K. Hovnanian Homes submitted a site plan application, SP2019-004, for Phase II of the development to include 105 Townhome DUs in an area shown as Land Bay 1 and Land Bay 2 on the MZP. Town Council approved this site plan on November 19, 2019.

## Request for a Proffer Condition Amendment and MZP Modifications

On November 23, 2021, Townsquare submitted to the Town a Proffer Condition Amendment application related to the development of Land Bay 4. The subject portion of the property represents the final Land Bay of the December 6, 2016 approved rezoning application, REZ 2016-001 As noted above, this Proffer Condition Amendment will allow for the following: A Density increase from 40 to 100 age-restricted dwelling units, subject to approval of the proposed waiver, modification of commercial space provided (20,000 sq. ft to 5,000 sq.ft), modification of the Land Bay 4 site layout, and removal of public facility use. The modification also would incorporate Parcel D onto the site, subject to a Land Purchase Agreement (agreed upon in principle).

[^1]As stated above, the amendment will enable Townsquare to submit the Final Site Plan, as well as allowing for the Zoning Certification required for VDHA Low Income Housing Tax Credit projects.

## COMPREHENSIVE PLAN PROVISIONS

As shown in Figure 2, Graham/ Fraley Small Area Plan of the Comprehensive Plan designates the subject area as mixed use, which allows for additional residential units along with a mixture of commercial uses. The amendment to the MZP and Proffer Condition Statement will allow the development of an additional 60 age-restricted units ( 100 total) with a minimum of 5,000 square feet of first floor commercial space. Thus, the proposed Proffer Condition Statement Amendment is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan vision and will satisfy many of the land use goals of the Comprehensive Plan.

Figure 2


## SITE LAYOUT

As shown in the updated Master Zoning Plan (MZP) depicted in Figure 3, the proposed development on Land Bay 4 will be constructed on consolidated parcels of land encompassing 5.16 acres immediately fronting Graham Park Road and Old Triangle Road. The Applicant
proposes to purchase a parcel from the Town (shown in Figure 4) to meet the zoning density requirements and utilize the property to improve the site access, circulation, and parking.

Figure 3


The 100 age-restricted units would be split between buildings "A" and " $B$ " as displayed on Figure 5. Building " $A$ " would also include a minimum of 5,000 square feet of commercial space along Graham Park Road. Land Bay 4 would be constructed in two phases, delineated in Figure 5 with Blue (Phase 1) and red (Phase 2) boundaries. The site's property in the vicinity of Graham Park Road and Old Triangle Road has been reviewed to ensure that safety improvements along Graham Park Road can be accommodated in a separate transportation project as detailed in the Off-site Improvements Section.

Figure 4


Site Access and Circulation
This section of Townsquare will be accessible via a new driveway off of Graham Park Road. A pedestrian / bicycle trail connects the Graham Park Road frontage sidewalk with the open space, picnic areas, and other sections of Townsquare. Figures 3 and 5 detail the driveway, internal circulation, and trail alignments.
Given that this is a proffer statement amendment, further site circulation and loading access will be reviewed in detail at the site plan submission.


## OFF-SITE TRANSPORTATION

The Applicant has volunteered to collaborate with the Town to improve safety on Graham Park Road and at the intersection of Graham Park Road and Old Triangle Road. The Town is currently working closely with the Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments (MWCOG) and Prince William County to study and develop a concept design for safety improvements including a potential roundabout at Graham Park Road and Old Triangle Road. The application's MZP, attached as Appendix B, has been modified to accommodate these safety improvements on their property. The Applicant has also proffered to change the lane configuration on Graham Park Road to accommodate a right turn lane into their site, as recommended in the traffic impact study, and implement a portion of the road diet if deemed beneficial by the MWCOG / County / Town join safety study.

## SUMMARY/CONCLUSION

Staff has determined that the proposed development is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. In addition, staff concludes that the development proposal will not adversely affect the health, safety, and general welfare of persons occupying the site as well as those in the neighboring vicinity of the site. The amendment will enable Townsquare to submit the final site plan, as well as allow for the Zoning Certification required for VDHA Low Income Housing Tax Credit projects. This zoning certification will be used in determining whether the development qualifies for points available under VHDA's Qualified Allocation Plan for housing tax credits. The timing for this amendment is vital, with the application due to VHDA by March $11^{\text {th }}$. Ultimately, this project will benefit all parties, and increase the diversity of the housing stock in Dumfries while simultaneously providing more commercial space within the Town.

STAFF CONTACT: Nick Cicero, Town Planner - (703) 221-3400 ext. 140.

## APPENDICES

A. Application and Proffers
B. Final MZPA
C. 2016 Rezoning Resolution and Proffers

Appendix A

## APPLICATION FOR REZONING



TOWN OF DUMFRIES, VIRGINIA
17739 MAIN STREET
DUMFRIES, VIRGINIA 22026
703-221-3400 Ext. 115
Fax: 703-221-3544

## TO THE TOWN COUNCIL OF THE TOWN OF DUMFRIES, VIRGINIA

The undersigned, being all of the owner(s), contract purchasers or the respective duly authorized agents thereof, do hereby petition to change the zoning of the property described below and shown on the accompanying plans, which are made part of this application, as follows: (attach additional pages if necessary)

Tax Map \#
$\qquad$

GPIN \#

| $\frac{8188-78-4252 \text { (part) }}{\frac{8188-78-8078 \text { (part) }}{8188-79-6806}}$ |
| :--- |

From

| PMUD <br> PMUD <br> PMUD${ }^{2}$ |
| :--- |

To
$\overline{\text { PMUD }}$

| PMUD |
| :--- |
| PMUD |${ }^{2}+$

Acres
$\begin{array}{r}0.20 \mathrm{ac} \\ \hline 2.66 \mathrm{ac} \\ \hline 1.5207 \mathrm{ac} \\ \hline\end{array}$

Property Location: (Describe the location of the property by distance, in feet or portion of a mile, and direction from an intersection of two (2) public roads or streets.
The property is located at the southeast quadrant of the U. S. Route 1 and Graham Park Road intersection.
$X$ Proffer Condition Amendment (PCA)- Does this application proposes to amend the proffers approved pursuant to $\qquad$ (case number) to permit an increase in the overall number of age restricted multifamily units from 40 to 100 , modify the building layout in Land Bay 4 , change $\mathrm{B}-2$ to $\mathrm{B}-1$ as the zoning designation in Land Bay 4, and remove the "public facility" use from Land Bay 4.

If this is a partial PCA, please identify the affected acreage: $\qquad$ 4.4 acres

The name(s), mailing address(es), and telephone number(s) of owner(s) and contract purchaser, lessee, and/or authorized agent(s), as applicable are: (Attach additional pages if necessary)

## OWNER OF PROPERTY:

Name: $\qquad$ See attached property owner list Phone \#: $\qquad$
Mailing Address: $\qquad$

## CONTRACT PURCHASER/LESSEE:

Name: $\qquad$ N/A Phone \#: $\qquad$
Mailing Address: $\qquad$

## AUTHORIZED AGENT(S):

Name: $\qquad$
Thomas Moore Lawson, Esquire Phone \#: 540-665-0050

Mailing Address: P.O. Box 2740, Winchester, VA 22604

Signed this


Signature of Townsquare at Dumfries, LLC By: Community Housing Partners Corporation Its: Managing Member
By: David Schultz
Its: Senior Vice President of Development

Drum9M.y
Signature of Townsquare at Dumfries Bond, LLC
By: CHP Townsquare at Dumfries Bond, LLCC 2 Its: Managing Member
By: Community Housing Partners Corporation
Its: Managing Member
By: David Schultz
Its: Senior Vice President of Development

Describe briefly the type of use and improvements proposed. State whether new buildings are to be constructed, existing structures are to be used or removed, or additions made to existing buildings:

The proposed Proffer Amendment application is a request to increase in the overall number of age restricted multifamily units from 40 to 100, modify the building layout in Land Bay 4, change B-2 to B-1 as the zoning designation in Land Bay 4, and remove the "public facility" use from Land Bay 4.

Why does applicant believe the location of the use in question on the particular property is essential or desirable for the public convenience or welfare and will not be detrimental to the immediate neighborhood?

Please see the Proffer Amendment application narrative.

Describe how the proposed use and improvements are to be designed and arranged to fit into the development of adjacent property and the neighborhood:

Please see the Proffer Amendment application narrative.

Furnish plat showing boundaries and dimensions of property, width of boundary streets, location and size of buildings on the site, roadways, walks, off-street parking and loading space, landscaping and the like. Architect's sketches showing elevations of proposed buildings and complete plans are also desirable and if available should be filed with the application:
Please see the Amended Master Zoning Plan provided with this application.

It is proposed that the following proffer(s) will be made in conjunction with this rezoning request: Please see the Amended Proffer Statement provided with this application.
$\qquad$

Is the request consistent with the relevant components of the Comprehensive Plan? Yes
The following are all of the individuals, firms, or corporations owning property adjacent to both sides and rear, and the property in front of (across the street from) the property sought to be rezoned: (Attach additional pages if necessary)

Name: PLEASE SEE
Mailing Address:
GPIN \#: $\qquad$
Name: $\qquad$ Property Address: $\qquad$
Mailing Address: $\qquad$
GPIN \#: $\qquad$
Name: $\qquad$ Property Address: $\qquad$
Mailing Address: $\qquad$
GPIN \#: $\qquad$
Name: $\qquad$ Property Address: $\qquad$
Mailing Address: $\qquad$
GPIN \#: $\qquad$
Name: $\qquad$ Property Address: $\qquad$
Mailing Address: $\qquad$
GPIN \#: $\qquad$
Name: $\qquad$ Property Address: $\qquad$
Mailing Address: $\qquad$
GPIN \#: $\qquad$
Name: $\qquad$ Property Address: $\qquad$
Mailing Address: $\qquad$
GPIN \#: $\qquad$
$\qquad$

## TO THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE TOWN OF DUMFRIES:

This petition for rezoning property within the jurisdiction of the Town of Dumfries was received on , a public hearing was held on $\qquad$ and the Planning Commission wishes to make the following recommendations to the Town Council:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

By: $\qquad$
Chairman, Planning Commission

## ACTION OF THE TOWN COUNCIL:

On $\qquad$ the Town of Dumfries Town Council took the following action of the attached petition for rezoning:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## FILING FEE:

Permit \# $\qquad$
Amount Paid \$ $\qquad$ Date Paid $\qquad$

Submission Requirements for Rezonine/Condifional Use. Permits

| Requirement | Submiffed |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. Application and Fee |  |
| 2. Notarized Affidavit completed and signed by the applicant or agent |  |
| 3. Six (6) coples of a Statement of Justification, to include a statement |  |
| that the proposed development conforms to the comprehenslve plan and |  |
| zoning ordinance, |  |
| or if any walver, modification, exception or varlance is requested by the |  |
| applicant ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |

Notes:

1) Modifications or Waivers for the PMUD district should be submitted to the Zoning Administrator as part of the Aezoning Application 2) Resoning Applications for the PMUD district requifes a PMUD Master Zoning Plan and should conform to the requirements outlined in 5ec. 70-535.3 of the Zoning Ordinance
2) A Trip Generation assessment should be submitted to staff for a Tha determination
Once a determination is made, a scopine meetine should be scheduled to discuss the parameters of the Tha if applicable

## NOTICE

The Town of Dumfries does not discriminate-against religions or on the basis of sex, age, race, national origin, or a disability. If you believe that you have been discriminated against or that the Religious Land Use \& Institutionalized Persons Act ("RLUIPA") has been violated, please ask for a complaint form.

Under the laws of the United States and the Commonwealth of Virginia, no government may discriminate against religions or on the basis of sex, age, race, national origin, or a disability - in its planning and land use processes.

Under RLUIPA, no government may apply its zoning or land use laws, or its policies and procedures in a manner that unjustifiably imposes a substantial burden on the religious exercise of a person, including a religious assembly or institution.

RLUIPA also provides that no government may apply its zoning or land use laws in a manner that treats a religious assembly or institution on less than equal terms that a nonreligious institution or assembly.

Finally, RLUIPA provides that no government may impose or implement a land use regulation in a manner that discriminates against a religious assembly or institution.

The Town of Dumfries does not discriminate against religions in its planning and land use processes. If you believe that you have been discriminated against or that the Religious Land Use \& Institutionalized Persons Act ("RLUIPA") has been violated, please ask for a complaint form.

## Owners

Townsquare at Dumfries, LLC 4915 Radford Avenue, Suite 300
Richmond, VA 23230
Townsquare at Dumfries Bond, LLC
4915 Radford Avenue, Suite 300
Richmond, VA 23230
Town of Dumfries
17755 Main Street
Dumfries, VA 22026

The following are all of the individuals, firms, or corporations owning property adjacent to both sides and rear, and the property in front of (across the street from) the property sought to be rezoned and located within 500 feet of the property:

Name: First National Bank of Quantico
Property Address: 4001 Graham Park Road, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 101 N. Tryon Street, Charlotte, NC 28255
GPIN \#: 8188-79-3812

Name: SEJ Asset Management \& Investment Co.
Property Address: 18075 Fraley Boulevard, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 711, Dallas, TX 75221
GPIN \#: 8188-79-3020

Name: Triangle Plaza LLC
Property Address: 18030 Triangle Shopping Plaza, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 5620 Linda Lane, Camp Springs, MD 20748
GPIN \#: 8188-68-8491

Name: Virginia Department of Highways
Property Address: 18200 Jefferson Davis Highway, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 10228 Residency Road, Manassas, VA 20110
GPIN \#: 8188-68-4402

Name: Quantico Property LLC
Property Address: 18260 Jefferson Davis Highway, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 10001 Georgetown Pike, Unit 280, Great Falls, VA 22066
GPIN \#: 8188-67-6688

Name: Highland Park at Townsquare Homeowners Association, Inc.
Property Address: 18196 Summit Point Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 4090A Lafayette Center Drive, Chantilly, VA 20151
GPIN \#: 8188-77-3768
Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 4020 Shire Meadow Lane, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-68-9711
Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 4018 Shire Meadow Lane, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-78-0011

Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 4016 Shire Meadow Lane, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-78-0210

Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 4014 Shire Meadow Lane, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-78-0410
Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 4012 Shire Meadow Lane, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-78-0609
Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 4010 Shire Meadow Lane, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-78-0909

Name: K. Hovnanian at Highland Park, LLC
Property Address: 4004 Shire Meadow Lane, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 4090 Lafayette Center Drive, Suite A, Chantilly, VA 20151
GPIN \#: 8188-78-1208

Name: Nguyen, Alejandro Isaac and Cruz, Stefanie Kimberly
Property Address: 4002 Shire Meadow Lane, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 4002 Shire Meadow Lane, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-78-1408

Name: Clarke, Kiyomi Tamika
Property Address: 4000 Shire Meadow Lane, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 4000 Shire Meadow Lane, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-78-1707
Name: Otwchey, James and Omari, Gifty
Property Address: 3998 Shire Meadow Lane, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 3998 Shire Meadow Lane, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-78-1907
Name: Jalloh, Oumou Drame and Jalloh, Mohammed Alpha
Property Address: 3996 Shire Meadow Lane, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 3996 Shire Meadow Lane, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-78-2107

Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 18319 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-77-1596

Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 18317 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-77-1795

Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 18315 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-77-1993

Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 18313 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-77-2092

Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 18311 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-77-2290
Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 18309 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-77-2389

Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 18307 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-77-2587
Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 18305 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-77-2786
Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 18303 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-77-2884

Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 18301 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-77-3082
Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 18297 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-77-3280
Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 18295 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-77-3479
Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 18293 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-77-3677
Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 18291 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-77-3776
Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 18289 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-77-3974
Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 18287 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-77-4473
Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 18285 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-77-4471
Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 18283 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-77-4470

Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 3992 Shire Meadow Lane, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-78-2906

Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 3990 Shire Meadow Lane, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-78-3205

Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 3988 Shire Meadow Lane, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-78-3405

Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 3986 Shire Meadow Lane, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-78-3604

Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 3984 Shire Meadow Lane, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-78-3804

Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 3982 Shire Meadow Lane, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-78-4003

Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 3978 Shire Meadow Lane, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-78-4402
Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 3976 Shire Meadow Lane, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-78-4602
Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 3974 Shire Meadow Lane, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-78-4801

Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 3972 Shire Meadow Lane, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-78-5001
Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 3970 Shire Meadow Lane, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-78-5200
Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 3968 Shire Meadow Lane, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-78-5500
Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 3966 Shire Meadow Lane, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-77-5799

Name: Ikram, Zara and Rasheed, Faizan
Property Address: 18228 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18228 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-77-6296
Name: Harrington, Deon Shanel
Property Address: 18226 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18226 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-77-6399
Name: Locke, Jeremy A.
Property Address: 18224 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18224 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-78-6301
Name: Cunigan, Virginia Shavon and Purnell, William Franklin, III Property Address: 18222 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18222 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-78-6403
Name: Phillips, Kevin Dwayne and Phillips, Nicole Wilona
Property Address: 18220 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18220 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-78-6405

Name: Van Rensburg, Jan Hendrick Janse
Property Address: 18218 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18218 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-78-6407

Name: Cullum, Sarah Grace and Theimer, Robert Mills
Property Address: 18216 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18216 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-78-6510

Name: K. Hovnanian at Highland Park, LLC
Property Address: 18212 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 4090A Lafayette Center Drive, Chantilly, VA 20151
GPIN \#: 8188-78-5908

Name: K. Hovnanian at Highland Park, LLC
Property Address: 18210 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 4090A Lafayette Center Drive, Chantilly, VA 20151
GPIN \#: 8188-78-5609

Name: K. Hovnanian at Highland Park, LLC
Property Address: 18208 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 4090A Lafayette Center Drive, Chantilly, VA 20151
GPIN \#: 8188-78-5409

Name: K. Hovnanian at Highland Park, LLC
Property Address: 18206 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 4090A Lafayette Center Drive, Chantilly, VA 20151
GPIN \#: 8188-78-5210

Name: K. Hovnanian at Highland Park, LLC
Property Address: 18204 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 4090A Lafayette Center Drive, Chantilly, VA 20151
GPIN \#: 8188-78-5010
Name: K. Hovnanian at Highland Park, LLC
Property Address: 18202 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 4090A Lafayette Center Drive, Chantilly, VA 20151
GPIN \#: 8188-78-5810
Name: K. Hovnanian at Highland Park, LLC
Property Address: 18200 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 4090A Lafayette Center Drive, Chantilly, VA 20151
GPIN \#: 8188-78-4511

Name: Haider, Malik N.
Property Address: 18221 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18221 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-78-4603
Name: Tagoe, Ebenezer and Tagoe, Vanessa
Property Address: 18223 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18223 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-78-7601

Name: Sabah, Sabah H. and Juma, Sama
Property Address: 18225 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18225 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-77-7699
Name: Cunningham, Paul and Cunningham, Crystalle
Property Address: 18227 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18227 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-77-7597
Name: Boateng, Okyere
Property Address: 18229 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18229 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-77-7595
Name: Caza, Brian Patrick and Caza, Kimberly Ann
Property Address: 18231 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18231 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-77-7493
Name: Stoney, Nichell Wiley and Stoney, Leon Elliot
Property Address: 18233 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18233 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-77-7491
Name: James, Alexis
Property Address: 18235 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18235 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-77-7489
Name: Osaro, Emmanuel
Property Address: 18237 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18237 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-77-7387

Name: Brown, Thomas B.
Property Address: 18239 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18239 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-77-7385

Name: Orokzai, Ali
Property Address: 18243 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18243 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-77-7281

Name: Pagan, Luis Wolf, Jr.
Property Address: 18224 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18245 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-77-7179

Name: K. Hovnanian at Highland Park, LLC
Property Address: 18247 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 4090-A Lafayette Center Drive, Chantilly, VA 20151
GPIN \#: 8188-77-7177

Name: K. Hovnanian at Highland Park, LLC
Property Address: 18249 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 4090-A Lafayette Center Drive, Chantilly, VA 20151
GPIN \#: 8188-77-7175
Name: Manoharan, Preethi
Property Address: 18251 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18251 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-77-7073
Name: K. Hovnanian at Highland Park, LLC
Property Address: 18253 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 4090-A Lafayette Center Drive, Chantilly, VA 20151
GPIN \#: 8188-77-7071
Name: K. Hovnanian at Highland Park, LLC
Property Address: 18255 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 4090-A Lafayette Center Drive, Chantilly, VA 20151
GPIN \#: 8188-77-6969
Name: K. Hovnanian at Highland Park, LLC
Property Address: 18257 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 4090-A Lafayette Center Drive, Chantilly, VA 20151
GPIN \#: 8188-77-6967

Name: K. Hovnanian at Highland Park, LLC
Property Address: 18259 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 4090-A Lafayette Center Drive, Chantilly, VA 20151
GPIN \#: 8188-77-6865
Name: K. Hovnanian at Highland Park, LLC
Property Address: 18261 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 4090-A Lafayette Center Drive, Chantilly, VA 20151
GPIN \#: 8188-77-6863
Name: K. Hovnanian at Highland Park, LLC
Property Address: 18252 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 4090 Lafayette Center Drive, Suite A, Chantilly, VA 20151
GPIN \#: 8188-77-5772

Name: K. Hovnanian at Highland Park, LLC
Property Address: 18250 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 4090 Lafayette Center Drive, Suite A, Chantilly, VA 20151
GPIN \#: 8188-77-5874

Name: K. Hovnanian at Highland Park, LLC
Property Address: 18248 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 4090 Lafayette Center Drive, Suite A, Chantilly, VA 20151
GPIN \#: 8188-77-5876
Name: K. Hovnanian at Highland Park, LLC
Property Address: 18246 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 4090 Lafayette Center Drive, Suite A, Chantilly, VA 20151
GPIN \#: 8188-77-5878
Name: K. Hovnanian at Highland Park, LLC
Property Address: 18244 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 4090 Lafayette Center Drive, Suite A, Chantilly, VA 20151
GPIN \#: 8188-77-5980
Name: K. Hovnanian at Highland Park, LLC
Property Address: 18242 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 4090 Lafayette Center Drive, Suite A, Chantilly, VA 20151
GPIN \#: 8188-77-5983
Name: K. Hovnanian at Highland Park, LLC
Property Address: 18240 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 4090 Lafayette Center Drive, Suite A, Chantilly, VA 20151
GPIN \#: 8188-77-6085

Name: K. Hovnanian at Highland Park, LLC
Property Address: 18238 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 4090-A Lafayette Center Drive, Chantilly, VA 20151
GPIN \#: 8188-77-6087
Name: K. Hovnanian at Highland Park, LLC
Property Address: 18236 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 4090-A Lafayette Center Drive, Chantilly, VA 20151
GPIN \#: 8188-77-6189
Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 3965 Shire Meadow Lane, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-77-5588
Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 3967 Shire Meadow Lane, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-77-5289
Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 3969 Shire Meadow Lane, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-77-5089
Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 3971 Shire Meadow Lane, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-77-4890
Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 3973 Shire Meadow Lane, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-77-4690
Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 3975 Shire Meadow Lane, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-77-4491
Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 3977 Shire Meadow Lane, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-77-4191

Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 3979 Shire Meadow Lane, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-77-3992

Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 3981 Shire Meadow Lane, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-77-3792

Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 18290 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-77-4782

Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 18288 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-77-4980

Name: Arroyo Cap IA LLC
Property Address: 18286 Summit Pointe Drive, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 18575 Jamboree Road, Suite 350, Irvine, CA 92612
GPIN \#: 8188-77-5178

Name: Karaca, Hakan
Property Address: 18257 Jefferson Davis Highway, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 15019 Bridgeport Drive, Dumfries, VA 22025
GPIN \#: 8188-77-0987

Name: K\&R Investment Properties, LLC
Property Address: 18261 Jefferson Davis Highway, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 4530 Stonecroft Boulevad, Chantilly, VA 20151
GPIN \#: 8188-77-0776
Name: K\&R Investment Properties, LLC
Property Address: 18265 Jefferson Davis Highway, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 4530 Stonecroft Boulevad, Chantilly, VA 20151
GPIN \#: 8188-67-9964
Name: Town of Dumfries
Property Address: 3990 Orange Street, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 17755 Main Street, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-77-1472

Name: Brown, Timothy Russell and Brown, Abigail Song
Property Address: 3980 Orange Street, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 3980 Orange Street, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-77-2170
Name: Jackson, Caroline
Property Address: 3988 Orange Street, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 3988 Orange Street, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-77-1558
Name: Rendon, Jose Corral
Property Address: 17991 Old Triangle Road, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 17965 Old Triangle Road, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-77-8861
Name: Rendon, Jose Corral
Property Address: 17965 Old Triangle Road, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 17965 Old Triangle Road, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-77-9373
Name: Anwar, Imrana
Property Address: 17957 Old Triangle Road, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 17957 Old Triangle Road, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-77-9383
Name: Fernandez, Juan B. and Alvarez, Sandra C. Bacca
Property Address: 17951 Old Triangle Road, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 17951 Old Triangle Road, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-77-9591
Name: Streetlight Community Outreach
Property Address: 17945 Old Triangle Road, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 1550 Prince William Parkway, Woodbridge, VA 22191
GPIN \#: 8188-87-0687
Name: SMJ Management, LLC
Property Address: 17915 Old Triangle Road, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 14485 Sedona Drive, Gainesville, VA 20155
GPIN \#: 8188-88-1610
Name: Khaliq, Imran and Mustafa, Chowdhry G.
Property Address: 3925 Oakdale Circle, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 3925 Oakdale Circle, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-87-2476

Name: Jordan, Virgil John and Harriet, Megan
Property Address: 3825 Grafton Court, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 3825 Grafton Court, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-87-3378

Name: Josepha, E. Ward
Property Address: 3829 Grafton Court, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 3829 Grafton Court, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-87-3288

Name: Jefferson, Kimberly B. and Jefferson, Bruce A., Jr.
Property Address: 3830 Grafton Court, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 3830 Grafton Court, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-87-3794

Name: Forest Edge Homeowners Association
Property Address: 18196 Jillian Lane, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 1220 Sunrise Valley Drive, Suite 400, Reston, VA 20191
GPIN \#: 8188-88-5106

Name: Redding, Tyler Gene
Property Address: 3837 Graham Park Road, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 481, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-88-3633

Name: Thujone Enterprises, LLC
Property Address: 17877 Old Triangle Road, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 17877 Old Triangle Road, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-88-0840
Name: Thujone Enterprises, LLC
Property Address: 17863 Old Triangle Road, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 17877 Old Triangle Road, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-88-1150

Name: Thujone Enterprises, LLC
Property Address: 17845 Old Triangle Road, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 17877 Old Triangle Road, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-88-1361
Name: Wells Inc.
Property Address: 3901 Graham Park Road, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 3901 Graham Park Road, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-88-1681

Name: Lambiasi, Sandra L., Trustee
Property Address: 3871 Graham Park Road, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 3871 Graham Park Road, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-88-3063
Name: Unit Owners Triangle Estates I Condo
Property Address: 3859 Graham Park Road, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 3859 Graham Park Road, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-88-4152

Name: Zaidi, Uzair M. and Zaidi, Syed A.
Property Address: 3857 Graham Park Road, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 3857 Graham Park Road, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-88-4058.01

Name: Chaudhri, Usman and Chaudhri, Warda
Property Address: 3855 Graham Park Road, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 3855 Graham Park Road, Unit 2, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-88-4155.01
Name: Unit Owners Triangle Estates II Condo
Property Address: 3849 Graham Park Road, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 3849 Graham Park Road, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-88-4846
Name: Town of Dumfries
Property Address: 3876 Graham Park Road, Triangle, VA 22172
Mailing Address: 17755 Main Street, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-88-5393
Name: Williamstown Homeowners Association, Inc.
Property Address: 2570 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 370, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-89-0954
Name: Aleem, Mohammad
Property Address: 2612 Heth Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 7900 Lake Pleasant Drive, Springfield, VA 22153
GPIN \#: 8188-88-3090
Name: Fleischer, Warren C. and Fleischer, Michele K.
Property Address: 2614 Heth Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 2614 Heth Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-88-3192

Name: Kassaye, Mismak
Property Address: 2616 Heth Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 2616 Heth Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-88-3294

Name: Ehsan, Khurram and Independent Management LLC
Property Address: 2618 Heth Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 17016 Gatlin Court, Woodbridge, VA 22191
GPIN \#: 8188-88-3395

Name: Jimenez, Manuel A. and Turcios, Maria G.
Property Address: 2620 Heth Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 2620 Heth Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-88-3497

Name: Hand, Eileen Elva
Property Address: 2622 Heth Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 2622 Heth Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-88-3699

Name: Ochoa Ochoa, Jose Alfonso and Galeano, Neftaly Isai Isabas
Property Address: 2610 Heth Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 2610 Heth Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-88-2696

Name: Mozingo, Anna
Property Address: 2608 Heth Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 2608 Heth Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-88-2597

Name: Serrano, Federico B. and Rojas, Antonia
Property Address: 2606 Heth Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 2606 Heth Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-88-2398

Name: Zamore, Joi Aneitra and Maravilla, Fredis
Property Address: 2604 Heth Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 2604 Heth Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-89-2100
Name: Kuhn, Michael W. and Kuhn, Debra A.
Property Address: 2602 Heth Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 2464 Pitchfork Way, Virginia Beach, VA 23456
GPIN \#: 8188-89-2001

Name: Orozco, Jose F.
Property Address: 2600 Heth Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 2600 Heth Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-89-1802

Name: Stapleton, Katherine A.
Property Address: 2715 Steele Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 2715 Steele Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-89-2812

Name: Webster, Larry Ignatius, Jr. and Webster, Deyna Marie Property Address: 2713 Steele Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 2713 Steele Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-89-3011
Name: Martinez, Maira and Chicas, Pedro Martinez
Property Address: 2711 Steele Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 2711 Steele Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-89-3210

Name: Withheld at Request of Owner
Property Address: 2709 Steele Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: Withheld at Request of Owner
GPIN \#: 8188-89-3309
Name: Stan, Ion M. and Stan, Elena C.
Property Address: 2707 Steele Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 2707 Steele Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-89-3507
Name: Tucker, Kendalle and Jenkins, Bennie McCoy
Property Address: 2705 Steele Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 2705 Steele Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-89-3606
Name: Connelly, Francis J. and Connelly Sharon
Property Address: 2703 Steele Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 1792, Annandale, VA 22003
GPIN \#: 8188-89-3805
Name: Menear, Wade S.
Property Address: 2701 Steele Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 2701 Steele Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-89-4004

Name: Argueta, Esperanza
Property Address: 2700 Steele Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 2700 Steele Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-89-4211

Name: Hernandez, Aida R.
Property Address: 2702 Steele Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 2702 Steele Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-89-4313

Name: Sims, Canoneros Q. and Sims, Alicia M.
Property Address: 2704 Steele Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 2704 Steele Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-89-4414
Name: Barros, Janneth F.
Property Address: 2706 Steele Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 2706 Steele Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-89-4516

Name: Chicas Chicas, Jose I. and Sorto, Georgina F. Navarrette
Property Address: 2708 Steele Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 2708 Steele Court, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-89-4618

Name: Shifflett, Donald L., Trustee and Thi, Yen, Trustee
Property Address: 2500 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 4141 Glendale Road, Woodbridge, VA 22193
GPIN \#: 8188-89-3535

Name: Truong, Thuy-Dung
Property Address: 2502 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 6818 Lois Drive, Springfield, VA 22150
GPIN \#: 8188-89-3336
Name: 2T\&T Management, LLC
Property Address: 2504 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 1280, Lorton, VA 22199
GPIN \#: 8188-89-3137
Name: Shifflett, Donald L., Trustee and Thi, Yen, Trustee
Property Address: 2506 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 4141 Glendale Road, Woodbridge, VA 22193
GPIN \#: 8188-89-3039

Name: Lazo, Karla Teresa Rodas
Property Address: 2508 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 7266 Joffa Circle, Warrenton, VA 20187
GPIN \#: 8188-89-2840

Name: Peyton, Eric M., Sr. and Anthony, Michaelle A.
Property Address: 2510 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 4125 Anderson Road, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-89-2642

Name: Benitez, Wilber H.G. and Sosa, Yesenia S.
Property Address: 2518 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 2518 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-89-1942
Name: Zhang, Zhuohui
Property Address: 2520 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 360 East First Street, \#975, Tustin, CA 92780
GPIN \#: 8188-89-1740
Name: Young, Anthony L. and Young, Morena R.
Property Address: 2522 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 10353 Lemon Tree Court, Manassas, VA 20110
GPIN \#: 8188-89-1437
Name: Zakholy, Masoud S. and Alger, P.A.
Property Address: 2524 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 2524 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-89-1236
Name: De Vanegas, Patrocinia A.
Property Address: 2526 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 2526 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-89-1134
Name: Flamenco, Julio Cesar and Corado, Monica Y. Martinez
Property Address: 2528 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 2528 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-89-0933
Name: Altagracia, Rodriguez Gilberto
Property Address: 2530 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 2530 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-89-0832

Name: Girgis, Azmy K. and Salame, Marlene Y.
Property Address: 2532 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 6865 Saint Albans Road, McLean, VA 22101
GPIN \#: 8188-89-0630

Name: Reyes, Gertrodis and Reyes, Maria
Property Address: 2536 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 2536 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-89-0125
Name: Mmounty LLC
Property Address: 2538 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 1003 Bragg Road, Fredericksburg, VA 22407
GPIN \#: 8188-89-0023

Name: Walker, Wesley
Property Address: 2540 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 42837 Sykes Terrace, Chantilly, VA 20152
GPIN \#: 8188-79-9921

Name: Martinez, Claudia C. Bojas and Cordero, Bertha M.
Property Address: 2542 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 2542 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-79-9820

Name: Zavala, Henry E.
Property Address: 2544 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 2544 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-79-9618
Name: Nguyen, Tuan N.
Property Address: 2546 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 18809 Hundred Acre Lane, Triangle, VA 22172
GPIN \#: 8188-79-9517
Name: 2548 Sedgewick Place, LLC
Property Address: 2548 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 43777 Central Station Drive, Suite 390, Ashburn, VA 20147
GPIN \#: 8188-79-9414
Name: Calcagno, Ingrid M.
Property Address: 2550 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 2550 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-89-0115

Name: Shifflett, Donald L., Trustee and Thi, Yen, Trustee
Property Address: 2552 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 4141 Glendale Road, Woodbridge, VA 22193
GPIN \#: 8188-89-0314
Name: Diaz-Garcia, Dinora and Gonzalez, Grabiel
Property Address: 2554 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 2554 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-89-0513
Name: Sanapala, Ravindra and Sanapala, Sangeeta
Property Address: 2556 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 2556 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-89-0712
Name: Lara, Luis and de Lara, Nancy L. Herrera
Property Address: 2558 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 2558 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-89-0810
Name: Henriquez, Mario N. and Martinez, Maria D. Hernandez Property Address: 2560 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 2560 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-89-1009
Name: Vermejo, Sergio A.
Property Address: 2511 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 2511 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-89-1619
Name: Aguila, Fernando Del
Property Address: 2509 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 2509 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-89-1821
Name: Thomas, Peter Jay and Thomas, Dawn M.
Property Address: 2507 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 7400 Mount Vernon Square Drive, Apartment 301, Alexandria, VA 22306
GPIN \#: 8188-89-1923
Name: 2505 Sedgewick Place, LLC
Property Address: 2505 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 2505 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-89-2024

Name: FHY Investment LLC
Property Address: 2503 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 13406 Occoquan Road, Woodbridge, VA 22191
GPIN \#: 8188-89-2226

Name: Shifflett, Donald L., Trustee and Thi, Yen, Trustee
Property Address: 2501 Sedgewick Place, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 4141 Glendale Road, Woodbridge, VA 22193
GPIN \#: 8188-89-2328

Name: Dumfries Shopping Center Inc.
Property Address: 17985 Dumfries Shopping Plaza, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 17965 Dumfries Shopping Plaza, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-79-7450

Name: Dumfries Family Limited Partnership
Property Address: 18043 Dumfries Shopping Plaza, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 4215 Windermere View Place, Woodbridge, VA 22192
GPIN \#: 8188-79-5043

Name: SMAI LLC
Property Address: 18021 Main Street, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 18021 Main Street, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-79-3158

Name: MGB Properties VII LLC
Property Address: 18005 Main Street, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 11165 Fairfax Boulevard, Fairfax, VA 22030
GPIN \#: 8188-79-3567

Name: Reliable Auto Sales and Services, Inc.
Property Address: 18014 Fraley Boulevard, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 18014 Fraley Boulevard, Dumfries, VA 22026
GPIN \#: 8188-79-5169
Name: MGB Properties VII LLC
Property Address: 17995 Main Street, Dumfries, VA 22026
Mailing Address: 11165 Fairfax Boulevard, Fairfax, VA 22030
GPIN \#: 8188-79-3979

## Amended Proffer Statement

PCA \#2016-001
MODIFICATION OF PLANNED MIXED USE DISTRICT (PMUD) PROFFERS
PROJECT: TOWNSQUARE AT DUMFRIES
OWNERS/
APPLICANTS: TOWNSQUARE AT DUMFRIES, LLC TOWNSQUARE AT DUMFRIES BOND, LLC TOWN OF DUMFRIES

PROPERTY: TAX MAP PARCELS
8188-78-8078 (part),
8188-78-4252 (part), and
8188-79-6806
(The "Property")

## DATE OF ORIGINAL PROFFER APPROVAL: December 6, 2016

## REVISED: November 23, 2021

Pursuant to Section 15.2-2303(A), Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended, and § 70-646 of the Town's Zoning Ordinance, the undersigned hereby proffers that the development and use of the Property, consisting of $4.4+/$ - acres, comprising all of Parcel D, a portion of Parcel C and a portion of Parcel B, ${ }^{1}$ will be in substantial conformance with the following conditions. In the event this Proffer Condition Amendment is granted as applied for by the Applicant, then these proffers will supersede and replace in their entirety all other proffers made prior hereto with respect to the Property, including REZ 2016-001. The Proffers associated with that Rezoning will continue to remain applicable to the other Parcels subject thereto. In the event this Proffer Condition Amendment is not granted as applied for by the Applicant, then these proffers will be deemed withdrawn and will be null and void, and the Proffer Statement associated with REZ2016-001 will remain in full force and effect as to all Parcels.
"Final Rezoning" as the term is used herein will be defined as that zoning that is in effect on the day following the last day upon which the Dumfries Town Council (the "Council") decision approving this rezoning may be contested in the appropriate court or, if contested, the day following the entry of a final court order affirming the decision of the Council that has not

[^2]been appealed, or, if appealed, the day following which the decision has been affirmed on appeal.

The headings on the proffers set forth below have been prepared for convenience and reference only and will not control or affect the meaning or be taken as an interpretation of any provision of these proffers.

The term "Applicant" as referenced herein includes Townsquare at Dumfries, LLC, Townsquare at Dumfries Bond, LLC, and the Town and Town Council of Dumfries, Virginia, and all future owners, and successors in interest to the Property that is subject to these Proffers.

## I. LAND USE, DEVELOPMENT, AND OPERATIONS:

a. The Property will be developed with a mix of residential and commercial uses, employing one separate and distinct entrance at Graham Park Road, consistent with Sheet 3 of the Master Zoning Plan Amendment as amended ("MZPA") as further proffered herein.
b. Commercial development on the Property will be not less than 5,000 gross square feet.
c. Residential development of the Property will be limited to not more than 100 agerestricted multifamily units for persons 55 years of age and older. Accessory uses and home occupations, including business centers inside the residential buildings, will be permitted. The Applicant may construct service, resident amenity, and storage uses in the cellar space of each building. In addition, the Applicant may construct accessory buildings (such as maintenance space) and dumpster locations. The Applicant will include in one of the residential structures a multipurpose room that will be made available to the Town and its residents at no cost, for gatherings, events, and other nonprofit purposes, subject only to reasonable prior notice to the entity holding title thereto, or managing the building, finished consistently with instructions provided by the Town. The overall average income for the age-restricted multifamily units will not exceed $60 \%$ of the Area Median Income, as permitted by law.
d. The Applicant will provide recreational facilities and amenities to serve the residents of homes constructed on the Property, including indoor and outdoor recreation facilities that may include exercise rooms, meeting rooms, and media centers, as generally shown on the MZP.
e. During the course of the development of the Property, the Applicant will provide to the Town of Dumfries Zoning Administrator the contact information (i.e.,
telephone number or email address) of a developer's liaison. The role of the liaison will be to address potential citizen inquiries during site development.

## II. MASTER ZONING PLAN:

a. Development of the Property will be in substantial conformance with the MZPA entitled "Master Zoning Plan Amendment PCA2016-0001 for Townsquare," prepared by The Engineering Groupe, dated November 30, 2020, consisting of the following:
i. Land Bay Plan (sheet 3 of 4) (the "Amended Land Bay Plan") and
ii. Illustrative/Phasing Plan (sheet 4 of 4 ) (the "Illustrative Plan")
b. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the proffered elements of the MZPA will be the entire plan set as it relates to (i) point of access, (ii) the maximum number and type of dwelling units that may be constructed, (iii) the square footage of nonresidential uses, (iv) building heights, (v) the amount and location of open space, (vi) the location of the limits of clearing and grading, (vii) uses, (viii) setbacks from peripheral lot lines, and (ix) the general location and arrangement of the buildings and parking.
c. The exact locations of buildings, amenities, road alignment, and the like are shown as general in nature and will be subject to final design and engineering criteria in concert with the Virginia Department of Transportation ("VDOT") and any design elements required by Town or other agencies with jurisdiction.

## III. DESIGN ELEMENTS OF THE PROJECT:

a. MIXED USE DESIGN: Commercial and apartment uses will be permitted.
b. FREE STANDING MULTIFAMILY DESIGN: All multifamily buildings will be developed as buildings either with or without corridors and/or elevators. The final building and site design (including but not limited to the total number of dwelling units, number, and configuration of parking, landscaping, etc.) will be determined at the time of final site/subdivision plan review.
c. SIDEWALK CONNECTIVITY: All residential and commercial buildings will provide for interconnectivity between and among the buildings by means of sidewalks and for access to external sidewalks or trails.
d. ARCHITECTURAL ELEMENTS: All buildings on the Property must incorporate exterior front elevations that include a combination of brick and/or stone with vinyl and/or cementitious style siding or panels. All buildings featuring a front-siding elevation will incorporate a water table of brick or stone across the
front elevation, to include the area of the "return" of the side of the home, where the home is offset beyond the front plain of an adjacent unit. Any "box" or "bow" window structures will be trimmed in a material other than siding and painted in the unit's trim color(s) or a complimenting color(s). Flat and/or pitched roofs will be permitted. All exterior mechanical units will be screened from public roads with either landscaping materials or hardscape lattice made from durable materials unless the mechanical equipment is mounted on the roof of any multifamily building, in which case, the Applicant will make every effort to conceal their presence from the street view.
e. ENGINEERING DETAIL: Subject to the cap on residential and non-residential development as proffered herein, the final building and site design (including but not limited to the total number of dwelling units, number, and configuration of parking, landscaping, etc.) for each residential unit type will be determined at the time of final site/subdivision plan review. The Land Bay Line between Land Bays 2 and 4 may be adjusted at final site/subdivision plan to accommodate parking and other engineering requirements.

## IV. CREATION OF PROPERTY AND HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATIONS:

a. MULTIFAMILY ASSOCIATION. The age-restricted multifamily buildings will be professionally managed and maintained.
b. Operation and maintenance of common elements shall be the responsibility of a property owners' association.
V. CONSTRUCTION OF A BUS SHELTER: No later than the issuance of the occupancy permit for the 200th residential unit in the Project, a three-sided public bus shelter will be constructed at the current bus stop location at the northern property line of the Property, along Graham Parkway and Old Triangle Road.
VI. TRANSPORTATION ACCESS: The Project is designed to be accessed by one (1) entrance from Graham Park Road, as shown on the MZPA. This entrance will be located and constructed in accordance with applicable Town of Dumfries criteria for such an entrance.
a. The access to Land Bay 4 will be on the northern side of the property and will connect a portion of the property to Graham Park Road, generally as shown on the MZPA.
VII. EXTERIOR LIGHTING: The development of the Property will include street lighting along the Graham Park Road entrance that employs lighting fixtures designed to project the light downward ("full cut-off" fixtures). Any pole-mounted fixtures installed within
fifty (50) feet of adjacent residential properties or streets will incorporate fixtures with directional reflector systems to allow the lighting to be cast inward toward the Property.

## VIII. PROFFERS SPECIFICALLY APPLICABLE AGE-RESTRICTED HOUSING UNITS

a. The Applicant will construct age-restricted multifamily residences in the locations generally shown on the MZPA.
b. Not more than 100 such residential units may be constructed.
c. These residential units must constitute an age-restricted community compliant with the requirements for Housing for Older Persons under federal and state law.
d. For the purposes of these Proffers and in order to conform to the requirements of state and federal law with respect to age-restricted residential occupancy, such residential units must be occupied in accordance with the following:
i. Except to the extent otherwise prohibited by the Virginia Fair Housing Law, the Federal Fair Housing Amendments Act, or other applicable federal, state, or local legal requirements, 100 percent of the age-restricted dwelling units designated on the MZPA will be restricted to "Housing for Older Persons" as defined in Va. Code Ann. § 36-96.7 and 42 U.S.C. § 3607 for persons aged 55 and older, or by a surviving spouse as provided herein, as those statutes are in effect or may be amended hereafter, and pursuant to any state or federal regulations promulgated thereunder,.
ii. All other residents of such dwellings than those specified above must be a spouse, a cohabitant, or one who provides primary physical or economic support to the person who is 55 years of age or older. No children under the age of 18 will be permitted to reside permanently in such a home.
iii. Notwithstanding the foregoing limitation, any person hired to provide live-in, long term or terminal health care to a person 55 years of age or older for compensation may also occupy a dwelling during the time such person is actually providing such care.
iv. Guests under the age of 55 are permitted to reside in a unit for periods not to exceed thirty (30) days total for each such guest in any rolling twelvemonth period.
v. If such units are subjected to a condominium regime under Virginia law and sold as opposed to rented, title to any lot or unit will become vested in any person under the age of 55 by reason of descent, distribution, foreclosure, or operation of law, the age restriction covenant will not work
a forfeiture or reversion of title, but rather, such person thus taking title cannot reside in such unit until he or she will have attained the age of 55 . Notwithstanding this, the surviving spouse of a qualifying person will be allowed to continue to occupy a dwelling unit without regard to age.
vi. The undersigned has responsibility for the enforcement and administration of these requirements and for compliance with state and federal regulations pertaining thereto, without limitation as to the authority of the Town of Dumfries to enforce these proffers. These occupancy restrictions will be deemed amended from time to time without further action by the Town, if required to conform to applicable state and federal law and regulations governing such age-restricted housing.

## IX. MODIFICATIONS TO PMUD REQUIREMENTS PURSUANT TO § 70535.14(C) OF THE DUMFRIES ZONING ORDINANCE

a. The minimum lot area required for the construction of 100 age-restricted homes in Land Bay 4 as otherwise required by the PMUD Zoning District is waived.
b. The transitional perimeter buffer required by § 70-535.10(c) of the Zoning Ordinance is waived.
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## MEMORANDUM

Kittelson \& Associates, Inc. (Kittelson) has prepared this memorandum to respond to transportationrelated comments from Town of Dumfries staff (Will Capers - Director of Planning and Community Development) related to the Proffer Condition Amendment (PCA) for Land Bay 4 of the Townsquare at Dumfries development. Transportation-related comments provided by staff in February 2020 are listed below in italics; responses follow in normal text.

In summary, the proposed PCA does not require a full turn lane at the Graham Park Road access. While a taper is technically triggered during one peak hour, the PCA generates less overall traffic compared to the original TIA at this access. If necessary, a design waver can be submitted. The applicant still intends to submit an access management waiver for the entrance as was state in the original TIA. However, this action is not required for PCA approval and will be addressed later on in the design/permitting stages of the project.

## COMMENT/RESPONSE

Comment \#3. The increase of traffic generated by the proposed application to the site access driveway along Graham Park was not considered in the TIA accepted in 2016. Given the anticipated volume expected to be assigned to the driveway staff request the applicant submit turn lane warrants as a part of the PCA application.

Response: The 2016 TIA assumed Land Bay 4 would have a single entrance to Graham Park Road serving 42 Senior Adult Housing units and 8,000 square feet of General Office space. The current plan calls for 100 Senior Adult Housing units 6,500 square-feet of ground floor retail/commercial space. Table 1 provides a trip generation comparison between the 2016 TIA and the current proposed plan.

Table 1. Land Bay 4 Trip Generation Comparison

| Land Bay 4 in Approved TIA - Sept. 2016 (ITE Trip Generation Manual, 9th Edition) |  |  |  | Weekday Daily | Peak Hour Adjacent Street |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | ITE Code | Units |  |  | Weekday AM Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday PM Peak Hour |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out |
| Senior Adult Housing - Attached | 252 | 42 | units |  | 146 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 12 | 6 | 6 |
| General Office | 710 | 8.000 | 1000 sq. ft | 193 | 25 | 22 | 3 | 87 | 15 | 72 |
| Total |  |  |  | 339 | 33 | 25 | 8 | 99 | 21 | 78 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Bay 4 in Current Site Plan - Nov. 2020 (ITE Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition) |  |  |  | Weekday Daily | Peak Hour Adjacent Street |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Units |  |  | Weekday AM Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| Land Use | ITE Code |  |  | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out |
| Senior Adult Housing - Attached | 252 | 100 | units |  | 377 | 20 | 7 | 13 | 26 | 14 | 12 |
| Shopping Center | 820 | 6.500 | 1000 sq. ft | 937 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 72 | 35 | 37 |
| Internal Trips (5\% AM, 10\% PM) |  |  |  | (47) | 0 | 0 | 0 | (7) | (4) | (3) |
| Pass-By (34\%) |  |  |  | (303) | (2) | (1) | (1) | (22) | (11) | (11) |
| Total: |  |  |  | 1,314 | 26 | 11 | 15 | 98 | 49 | 49 |
| Less Internal Trips |  |  |  | (47) | 0 | 0 | 0 | (7) | (4) | (3) |
| Less Pass-By |  |  |  | (303) | (2) | (1) | (1) | (22) | (11) | (11) |
| Net New Trips |  |  |  | 964 | 24 | 10 | 14 | 69 | 34 | 35 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comparison of Total Trips |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approved TIA - September 2016 |  |  |  | 339 | 33 | 25 | 8 | 99 | 21 | 78 |
| Proposed Amendment - November 2020 |  |  |  | 1,314 | 26 | 11 | 15 | 98 | 49 | 49 |
| Difference |  |  |  | 975 | -7 | -14 | 7 | -1 | 28 | -29 |

As shown in Table 1, the current plan will generate fewer weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hour trips compared to the 2016 TIA. The increase in weekday daily trips is predominantly a result of the different trip generations patterns/profiles of General Office and Shopping Center uses. Offices typically have pronounced trip generation "peaks" during the weekday commuter hours but generate relatively few trips during off-peak hours throughout the day. Shopping Center trip profiles are generally lower during morning hours but increase to a more sustained generation throughout the remainder of the day. Note that during the weekday p.m. peak hour, the General Office use was still projected to generate more trips than the commercial/retail use.

It is also worth noting that the prior land use code used in the TIA (826 - Specialty Retail) no longer exists in the ITE manual, and as such a more conservative land use code ( 820 - Shopping Center) was applied. This produces a conservative estimate of trips potentially generated by the retail use(s) proposed on this site.

## Total Traffic Operations - Graham Park Road Site Driveway

An updated operational analysis of the Graham Park Road site driveway was conducted assuming the new Land Bay 4 land uses. These results were compared to the 2016 TIA results and are compared below in Table 2.

Table 2. Graham Park Road Site Driveway Operational Analysis - Comparison

| Intersection | Weekday AM Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS | Delay (sec) | V/C | LOS | Delay (sec) | V/C |
| Graham Park Road \& Site Driveway | 2016 TIA |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | C | 17.4 | 0.03 | C | 21.9 | 0.29 |
|  | 2020 Land Bay 4 Update |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | C | 17.6 | 0.05 | C | 19.1 | 0.13 |

LOS - Level of Service
V/C - Volume-to-capacity ratio
As shown in Table 2, the Graham Park Road/Site Driveway intersection is forecast to continue to operate at LOS C during both the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours. Attachment A contains the worksheets.

## Right-Turn Lane Warrants

## VDOT

Figure 3-26 in Appendix F of the VDOT Road Design Manual provides a warrant for right-turn lanes/tapers on two-lane highways. Graham Park Road is a two-lane roadway classified as a major collector with a posted 35 mile-per-hour speed limit. Exhibit 1 below illustrates the projected volumes at the Graham Park Road/Site Driveway intersection.

Exhibit 1. VDOT Right-Turn Lane Warrant (RDM Appendix F Figure 3-26)


AM —
PM —

As shown in Exhibit 1, the warrant suggests a right-turn taper would be required due to conditions during the weekday p.m. peak hour only.

Despite the warrant being triggered by the weekday p.m. hour, the operational performance of this intersection during peak periods and the lack of on-site impediments to traffic entering the site does not provide a rational nexus to requiring such an improvement. The intersection is projected to operate well below capacity and at LOS C without a taper during both study time periods, and the proposed land use changes contemplated by the PCA represent a net reduction of site-generated trips at this access compared to the 2016 TIA.

Comment \#6. Please verify if the proposed driveway for Land Bay 4 meets the minimal distance requirements per the VDOT Road Design Manual.

Response: As stated in the 2106 TIA, per Appendix F of VDOT's Road Design Manual (RDM), the minimum required spacing between the proposed full-movement entrance on Graham Park Road (a major collector with a posted 35 mile-per-hour speed limit) and the adjacent intersections/entrances is 335 feet. The proposed access point on Graham Park Road is located greater than 335 feet from the downstream Graham Park Road/Old Triangle Road intersection. Proposed spacing to the upstream commercial entrance is approximately 245 feet; however, increasing this spacing would decrease the spacing between the proposed driveway and downstream Graham Park Road/Old Triangle Road intersection. The applicant still intends to submit an access management waiver for this entrance. However, this action is not required for PCA approval and will be addressed later on in the design/permitting stages of the project.

## CONCLUSION

The proposed PCA does not require a full turn lane at the Graham Park Road access. While a taper is technically triggered during one peak hour, the PCA generates less overall traffic compared to the original TIA at this access. If necessary, a design waver can be submitted. The applicant still intends to submit an access management waiver for the entrance as was state in the original TIA. However, this action is not required for PCA approval and will be addressed later on in the design/permitting stages of the project.

Attachment A
Updated Total Traffic Analysis

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 个 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 525 | 10 | 0 | 509 | 14 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 525 | 10 | 0 | 509 | 14 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 571 | 11 | 0 | 553 | 15 | 0 |


| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 582 | 0 | 854 | 291 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 577 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 277 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.1 | - | 6.8 | 6.9 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.8 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.8 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.2 | - | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1002 | - | 302 | 712 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 530 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 751 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1002 | - | 302 | 712 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 302 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 530 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 751 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 0 |  | 17.6 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | C |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL WBT |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 302 | - | - | 1002 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.05 | - | - | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 17.6 | - | - | 0 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | C | - | - | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.2 | - | - | 0 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 性 |  |  | -个 | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 528 | 34 | 0 | 511 | 35 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 528 | 34 | 0 | 511 | 35 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 574 | 37 | 0 | 555 | 38 | 0 |


| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 611 | 0 | 871 | 306 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 593 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 278 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.1 | - | 6.8 | 6.9 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.8 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.8 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.2 | - | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 978 | - | 294 | 696 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 521 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 750 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 978 | - | 294 | 696 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 294 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 521 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 750 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 0 |  | 19.1 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | C |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL WBT |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 294 | - | - | 978 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.129 | - | - | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 19.1 | - | - | 0 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | C | - | - | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.4 | - | - | 0 | - |
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Section 1
Executive Summary

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A traffic operations analysis has been conducted to confirm that the transportation system can adequately support the proposed development of the Townsquare development, in fulfillment of Virginia Department of Transportation's (VDOT) Chapter 870 requirements. The scope of the project analysis was developed in collaboration with Town and VDOT staff.

Specifically, this analysis includes:

- Year 2015 existing land use and transportation system conditions within the site vicinity;
- Forecast year 2020 background traffic conditions (without site development) during the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak periods including in-process/approved developments and regional growth;
- Trip generation and distribution estimates for the proposed development;
- Forecast year 2020 total traffic conditions based on full build out of the development including queuing and turn lane warrant analyses; and,
- Conclusions and recommendations.

Based on the results of the transportation impact analysis, all intersections are forecast to operate acceptably with full build-out of the proposed development and assuming provision of the recommended mitigations.

## TRANSPORTATION IMPACT ANALYSIS FINDINGS

The findings of this analysis and our recommendations are discussed below.

## Existing Conditions

- All study intersections currently operate at LOS D or better during all study time periods.


## 2020 Background Traffic Conditions

- A two percent annual growth rate (compounded annually) was used to account for regional traffic growth.
- In-process traffic from the First Town Center development was added to background traffic to project year 2020 background traffic conditions.
- All study intersections are forecast to continue to operate at LOS D or better during all study time periods.


## Proposed Development

- Community Housing Partners is applying to rezone and develop a mixed-use development in Dumfries, Virginia. The site is comprised of four parcels (GPIN Parcels 8188-78-5384, 8188-78-8257, 8188-78-8432 and 8188-77-5398), and is located in the southeast quadrant of the Fraley Boulevard (Route 1)/Graham Park Road intersection.
- The concept development plan includes 200 multi-family units, 105 townhouses, 20,000 SF of retail uses, 8,000 SF of commercial/public facility uses (office), and $40,000 \mathrm{SF}$ of a self-storage (mini-warehouse) facility.
- The development is estimated to generate approximately 2,856 net new weekday daily trips, 320 weekday a.m. ( $117 \mathrm{in}, 203$ out), and 328 weekday p.m. ( $161 \mathrm{in}, 167$ out) peak hour trips.

The development proposes two access points: a right-in/right-out site driveway on Fraley Boulevard (Route 1 NB) and a full movement site driveway on Graham Park Road.

## 2020 Total Traffic Conditions

- All study intersections are forecast to continue to operate at LOS D or better during all study time periods.
- A northbound right-turn lane is warranted at the right-in/right-out site driveway on Fraley Boulevard (Route 1 NB ). The applicant proposes to construct a turn lane with 100 feet of storage and a 100 -foot taper.
- The lack of a direct connection from the site driveway on Fraley Boulevard (Route 1 NB) to Main Street (Route 1 SB ) introduces out-of-direction travel and increases left-turn demand at the Route 1/Curtis Drive/Graham Park Road and Route 1/Quantico Gateway Drive intersections.
- During the peak 15-minute period of both peak hours, northbound left-turn queues at the Fraley Boulevard (Route 1 NB)/Graham Park Road are forecast to extend beyond the available storage. However, this movement is forecast to operate well below capacity ( 0.29 and 0.27 during the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours, respectively), and is not anticipated to adversely impact traffic operations as compared to background conditions.


## RECOMMENDATIONS

The following improvements are recommended to mitigate the impacts of the proposed Townsquare development.

- Construct a northbound right-turn lane on turn lane on Fraley Boulevard (Route 1 NB) with 100 feet of storage and a 100 -foot taper.


## Section 2 <br> Introduction

## INTRODUCTION

Community Housing Partners is applying to rezone and develop a mixed-use development in Dumfries, Virginia. The site is comprised of four parcels (GPIN Parcels 8188-78-5384, 8188-78-8257, 8188-78-843 and 8188-77-5398), and is located in the southeast quadrant of the Route 1 (Fraley Boulevard)/Graham Park Road intersection as illustrated in Figure 1. The concept development plan includes 200 multi-family units, 105 townhouses, 20,000 SF of retail uses, 8,000 SF of commercial/public facility uses (office), and 40,000 SF of a self-storage (mini-warehouse) facility. Two access points are proposed: a right-in/right-out access to Fraley Boulevard (Route 1 NB), and a full access to Graham Park Road. The area surrounding the site is generally suburban with some residential development and small commercial/industrial uses in the immediate vicinity of the site.

The general topography can be best described as level to rolling terrain. A preliminary site plan of the development is shown in Figure 2. Figure 3 illustrates the current Town of Dumfries zoning map. The current zoning is R-2, and the proposed zoning district is Planned Mixed Use District (PMUD). The development is expected to be fully built out in the year 2020.

This analysis determines the transportation related impacts associated with the proposed Townsquare development and was prepared in accordance with Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) requirements for traffic impact studies. The study intersections, time periods for analysis, and scope of this project were selected after Town and VDOT staff were consulted. A scoping letter provided by VDOT for this project is provided in Appendix A.

A traffic operations analysis has been conducted to confirm that the transportation system can adequately support the proposed development. Specifically, this analysis includes:

- Year 2015 existing land use and transportation system conditions within the site vicinity;
- Forecast year 2020 background traffic conditions (without site development) during the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak periods including in-process/approved developments and regional growth;
- Trip generation and distribution estimates for the proposed development;
- Forecast year 2020 total traffic conditions based on full build out of the development including queuing and turn lane warrant analyses; and,
- Conclusions and recommendations.




Figure 3

## Section 3 Existing Conditions

## EXISTING CONDITIONS

The proposed site was visited and inventoried in October 2015. At that time, information was collected regarding site conditions, adjacent land use, existing transportation facilities and traffic operations in the study area.

## Transportation Facilities

Table 1 summarizes the primary transportation facilities in the site vicinity. Figure 4 shows the existing lane configurations and traffic control devices at the study intersections.

Table 1 Existing Transportation Facilities and Roadway Designations

| Roadway | Classification ${ }^{1}$ | Number of Lanes | Speed <br> Limit <br> (mph) | Median | Sidewalks | Bicycle <br> Lanes | On- <br> Street <br> Parking | Surface |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fraley Boulevard (Route 1 NB) | Other Principal Arterial | 2 | 35 | No | No | No | No | Paved |
| Main Street (Route 1 SB) | Other Principal Arterial | 2 | 35 | No | Yes | No | Yes | Paved |
| Possum Point Road | Minor Collector | 2 | 25 | No | No | No | No | Paved |
| Graham Park Road | Major Collector | 4 | 35 | No | Yes | No | No | Paved |
| Curtis Drive | Unclassified | 2 | 25 | No | Yes | No | No | Paved |
| Old Triangle Road | Unclassified | 2 | 25 | No | No | No | No | Paved |
| Quantico Gateway Drive | Unclassified | 2 | 25 | No | Yes | No | No | Paved |

${ }^{1}$ Classifications based on VDOT's 2014 Functional Classification Map.

## Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities

No sidewalks or bike lanes are present on Route 1 (Fraley Boulevard) in the vicinity of the site. Sidewalk facilities are present along Graham Park Road and Curtis Drive, and at the intersection with Route 1 (Main Street and Fraley Boulevard). No bicycle lanes are present on any of the study roadways in the vicinity of the site.

## Transit Facilities

The Potomac and Rappahannock Transportation Commission (PRTC) provides bus service in the vicinity of the site.

The R1-L (OmniLink Route 1) and DF-L (OmniLink Dumfries) bus lines provides weekday (5:30 AM to 11:00 PM) and Saturday AM "on-demand" service to River Run Senior Apartments, Elm Farm Mobile Home Park, the Ferlazzo Building, commuter parking lots and Virginia Railway Express stations. Offroute "on-demand" service is provided at additional cost. The closest existing bus stops are located at Graham Park Road and Curtis Drive along Route 1.


## Existing Traffic Volumes and Peak Hour Operations

Turning-movement counts were obtained in June 2015 at all the existing study intersections while school was still in session. The counts were conducted on a typical weekday during the morning (6:00 to 9:00 a.m.) and weekday evening (4:00 to 7:00 p.m.) peak time periods. A system peak hour for the study was calculated as the close proximity of all study intersections resulted in nearly identical peak hours. Appendix B contains all turning movement count data sheets.

Consistent with scoping requirements, operational analyses were performed at the following intersections:

- Route 1 / Possum Point Road
- Route 1 SB (Main Street) / Curtis Drive
- Route 1 NB (Fraley Boulevard) / Graham Park Road
- Old Triangle Road / Graham Park Road
- Route 1 / Quantico Gateway Drive


## Current Levels of Service and Volume-to-Capacity Ratios

All level of service (LOS) analyses described in this report were performed in accordance with the procedures stated in the 2010 Highway Capacity Manual (HCM - Reference 1) and report HCM 2000 outputs. A description of level of service and the criteria by which they are determined is presented in Appendix C.

This analysis is based on the peak 15-minute flow rate during each of the study periods to evaluate of all intersection levels-of-service. For this reason, the analyses reflect conditions that are only likely to occur for 15 minutes out of each average peak hour. Traffic conditions during all other weekday time periods and throughout the weekend will likely operate under better conditions than described in this report. Existing signal timing data was obtained from VDOT. Traffic operations were evaluated using Synchro 9.

Figure 5 and Figure 6 show the overall intersection operational results of the existing traffic operations analysis for the weekday a.m. and weekday p.m. peak hours, respectively. Figure 7 and Figure 8 show the lane group LOS. Table 2 summarizes the Synchro 9 peak hour levels of service, $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile back of queue, and delay for each lane group by intersection. Appendix D contains the existing conditions level of service worksheets.





Table 2 Existing Conditions - Summary of Peak Hour Levels of Service, $95^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Back of Queue, and Delay for Each Lane Group by Intersection

| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Lane Group | Existing/ <br> Proposed turn-lane lengths (ft) | LOS | Back of Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & (\mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ | LOS | Back of Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & (\mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ |
|  <br> Possum Point <br> Road <br> (\#1) | Signalized | EB | EBLRT |  | D | 6 | 44.0 | D | 0 | 43.0 |
|  |  | EB Approach |  |  | D |  | 44.0 | D |  | 43.0 |
|  |  | WB | WBLT |  | D | 52 | 42.8 | D | 78 | 41.2 |
|  |  |  | WBR | 70 | A | 0 | 0.1 | A | 0 | 0.1 |
|  |  | WB Approach |  |  | B |  | 13.0 | B |  | 14.1 |
|  |  | NB | NBL | 85 | F | 26 | 85.2 | E | 23 | 57.5 |
|  |  |  | NBT |  | B | 384 | 16.7 | B | 370 | 18.4 |
|  |  |  | NBR | 440 | B | 0 | 10.9 | B | 0 | 13.0 |
|  |  | NB Approach |  |  | B |  | 17.2 | B |  | 18.5 |
|  |  | SB | SBL | 335 | D | 96 | 38.6 | D | 104 | 41.5 |
|  |  |  | SBT |  | A | 243 | 9.3 | B | 414 | 13.5 |
|  |  |  | SBR | 250 | A | 0 | 6.9 | A | 0 | 8.5 |
|  |  | SB Approach |  |  | B |  | 11.6 | B |  | 15.3 |
|  |  | Overall LOS |  |  | B |  | 14.5 | B |  | 16.8 |
| Route 1 SB \& Curtis Drive <br> (\#2) | Signalized | EB | EBLT |  | B | 51 | 15.4 | C | 91 | 29.3 |
|  |  |  | EBR | 90 | B | 0 | 14.6 | C | 0 | 27.8 |
|  |  | EB Approach |  |  | B |  | 15.3 | C |  | 29.1 |
|  |  | WB | WBLTR |  | B | 97 | 16.2 | C | 130 | 20.4 |
|  |  | WB Approach |  |  | B |  | 16.2 | C |  | 20.4 |
|  |  | SB | SBLT |  | B | 270 | 17.5 | B | 458 | 17.6 |
|  |  |  | SBR | 200 | B | 14 | 11.7 | A | 16 | 9.0 |
|  |  | SB Approach |  |  | B |  | 17.3 | B |  | 17.3 |
|  |  | Overall LOS |  |  | B |  | 16.9 | B |  | 18.2 |
| Route 1 NB \& Graham Park Road(\#3) | Signalized | EB | EBLT |  | C | 242 | 28.2 | C | 322 | 28.3 |
|  |  | EB Approach |  |  | C |  | 28.2 | C |  | 28.3 |
|  |  | WB | WBT |  | B | 126 | 16.8 | C | 177 | 31.0 |
|  |  |  | WBR |  | B | 50 | 16.0 | C | 73 | 29.6 |
|  |  | WB Approach |  |  | B |  | 16.3 | C |  | 30.1 |
|  |  | NB | NBL | 710 | B | 82 | 12.7 | C | 120 | 26.6 |
|  |  |  | NBT |  | B | 124 | 13.7 | C | 190 | 28.6 |
|  |  |  | NBR | 330 | B | 17 | 11.8 | C | 16 | 24.6 |
|  |  | NB Approach |  |  | B |  | 13.4 | C |  | 27.9 |
|  |  | Overall LOS |  |  | B |  | 19.1 | C |  | 28.6 |
| Old Triangle Road <br>  <br> Graham Park <br> Road <br> (\#4) | Unsignalized ${ }^{1}$ | EB | EBLT |  |  |  | 12.9 |  |  | 17.4 |
|  |  |  | EBRT |  |  |  | 12.2 |  |  | 15.2 |
|  |  | EB Approach |  |  | B |  | 12.5 | C |  | 16.3 |
|  |  | WB | WBLT |  |  |  | 11.9 |  |  | 10.8 |
|  |  |  | WBRT |  |  |  | 11.2 |  |  | 10.5 |
|  |  | WB Approach |  |  | B |  | 11.5 | B |  | 10.6 |
|  |  | NB | NBLT |  |  |  | 11.8 |  |  | 12.5 |
|  |  |  | NBR | 100 |  |  | 9.0 |  |  | 8.7 |
|  |  | NB Approach |  |  | B |  | 10.8 | B |  | 11.6 |
|  |  | SB | SBLTR |  |  |  | 11.7 |  |  | 11.5 |
|  |  | SB Approach |  |  | B |  | 11.7 | B |  | 11.5 |
|  <br> Quantico <br> Gateway Drive (\#5) | Signalized | EB | EBT |  | B | 32 | 19.9 | C | 59 | 27.8 |
|  |  |  | EBR | 300 | B | 0 | 19.4 | C | 0 | 26.7 |
|  |  | EB Approach |  |  | B |  | 19.8 | C |  | 27.2 |
|  |  | WB | WBLT |  | A | 0 | 0.0 | B | 4 | 17.4 |
|  |  | WB Approach |  |  | A |  | 0.0 | B |  | 17.4 |
|  |  | NB | NBL |  | A | 14 | 4.6 | A | 22 | 8.2 |
|  |  | NB Approach |  |  | A |  | 4.6 | A |  | 8.2 |
|  |  | SB | SBLT |  | B | 100 | 10.1 | B | 277 | 16.9 |
|  |  |  | SBR | 275 | A | 0 | 8.7 | B | 7 | 11.9 |
|  |  | SB Approach |  |  | B |  | 10.0 | B |  | 16.5 |
|  |  | Overall LOS |  |  | B |  | 10.6 | B |  | 17.2 |


| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Lane <br> Group | Existing/ Proposed turn-lane lengths (ft) | LOS | Back of Queue (feet) | Delay (sec) | LOS | Back of Queue (feet) | Delay <br> (sec) |
|  <br> Quantico <br> Gateway Drive | Signalized | EB | EBL |  | A | 11 | 7.0 | A | 7 | 5.3 |
|  |  |  | EBLT |  | A | 11 | 7.0 | A | 7 | 5.3 |
|  |  | EB Approach |  |  | A |  | 7.0 | A |  | 5.3 |
|  |  | WB | WBRT |  | A | 0 | 0.0 | C | 9 | 32.6 |
|  |  | WB Approach |  |  | A |  | 0.0 | C |  | 32.6 |
|  |  | NB | NBT |  | A | 74 | 5.0 | A | 130 | 7.6 |
| (\#6) |  |  | NBR | 100 | A | 0 | 0.0 | A | 0 | 6.1 |
|  |  | NB Approach |  |  | A |  | 5.0 | A |  | 7.5 |
|  |  | Overall LOS |  |  | A |  | 5.1 | A |  | 7.5 |

*The ' $\#$ ' indicates $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer and the queue shown is the maximum after two cycles.
${ }^{1}$ HCM all way stop control methodology does not calculate queues or LOS by lane group.
As shown in the figures and Table 2, all study intersections operate at LOS D or better during all time periods.

## Section 4

Transportation Impact Analysis

## TRANSPORTATION IMPACT ANALYSIS

The transportation impact analysis identifies how the study area's transportation system will operate through total build out of the project. The proposed development is anticipated to be constructed and built out by year 2020. Traffic impacts of the proposed Townsquare development during the typical weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours were examined as follows:

- Background traffic conditions were developed by applying a two percent compound annual growth rate on all roads to account for growth in the site vicinity between years 2015 and 2020.
- Additional approved "in-process" developments were identified and confirmed through the scoping process and added to assumed regional traffic growth to develop year 2020 background traffic conditions.
- Year 2020 background weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hour traffic conditions were analyzed at each of the study intersections.
- Site-generated trips were estimated for the proposed site plan.
- Site trip distribution patterns identified and confirmed through the scoping process were derived from existing traffic patterns and major trip origins and destinations in the study area.
- Year 2020 total traffic conditions were analyzed at each of the study intersections and siteaccess driveway during the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours.
- Forecast $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues were evaluated.
- Turn lane warrants were evaluated at the proposed site-access driveways under year 2020 total traffic conditions.


## YEAR 2020 TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

In the 2020 background analysis, traffic operations prior to full build-out of the proposed development are analyzed for the purposes of establishing a baseline against which to measure the specific impacts of the proposed development. Background growth in traffic volumes is attributed to regional growth in the area as well as any specific development within the study area. These two components of growth are discussed below.

## Regional Growth

A two percent annual growth rate was identified and confirmed through the scoping process to account for regional traffic growth. This growth rate was compounded annually to forecast year 2020 background traffic volumes.

## Planned Development - First Town Center

The First Town Center development is located is located along the south side of Main Street within the Town of Dumfries. The site will consist of approximately 232 apartment units, $66,000 \mathrm{SF}$ of
specialty retail and 36,000 SF of office space. The development is expected to be completed in 2016. Trips generated by this development have been added to background traffic volumes and assigned to the network consistent with the approved TIA. Appendix E contains details regarding the assignment of in-process development traffic.

## Year 2020 Transportation Improvements

Route 1 is planned to be widened by Prince William County and VDOT, transforming the two-lane northbound section of Fraley Boulevard to a six-lane arterial serving both north and southbound directions. This will provide an opportunity to recreate Main Street as a pedestrian friendly town boulevard. However, given the uncertain timing of this project, this future improvement is not assumed in the subsequent analyses consistent with the approved scope.

## 2020 Background Traffic Conditions

Figure 9 and Figure 10 show the overall intersection operational results of the existing traffic operations analysis for the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours, respectively. Figure $\mathbf{1 1}$ and Figure $\mathbf{1 2}$ show the lane group LOS. Table $\mathbf{3}$ summarizes the peak hour levels of service, $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile back of queue, and delay for each lane group by intersection. Appendix F contains the 2020 background traffic operational analysis worksheets.







Table 32020 Background Traffic Conditions - Summary of Peak Hour Levels of Service, $95^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Back of Queue, and Delay for Each Lane Group by Intersection

| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Lane Group | Existing/ <br> Proposed turn-lane lengths ( ft ) | LOS | Back of Queue (feet) | Delay ( sec ) | LOS | Back of Queue (feet) | Delay (sec) |
| Route 1 \& Possum Point Road <br> (\#1) | Signalized | EB | EBLRT |  | D | 7 | 51.5 | D | 0 | 49.4 |
|  |  | EB Approach |  |  | D |  | 51.5 | D |  | 49.4 |
|  |  | WB | WBLT |  | D | 65 | 52.0 | D | 106 | 47.7 |
|  |  |  | WBR | 70 | A | 0 | 0.1 | A | 0 | 0.1 |
|  |  | WB Approach |  |  | B |  | 17.4 | B |  | 17.8 |
|  |  | NB | NBL | 85 | F | 31 | 129.5 | D | 30 | 53.1 |
|  |  |  | NBT |  | B | 468 | 17.7 | C | 502 | 23.1 |
|  |  |  | NBR | 440 | B | 0 | 11.0 | B | 0 | 14.7 |
|  |  | NB Approach |  |  | B |  | 18.6 | C |  | 23.0 |
|  |  | SB | SBL | 335 | D | 112 | 43.5 | D | 133 | 42.3 |
|  |  |  | SBT |  | A | 288 | 8.6 | B | 548 | 15.1 |
|  |  |  | SBR | 250 | A | 0 | 6.1 | A | 0 | 8.5 |
|  |  | SB Approach |  |  | B |  | 11.3 | B |  | 16.8 |
|  |  | Overall LOS |  |  | B |  | 15.3 | B |  | 19.8 |
| Route 1 SB \& Curtis Drive <br> (\#2) | Signalized | EB | EBLT |  | B | 69 | 18.4 | D | 144 | 41.7 |
|  |  |  | EBR | 90 | B | 0 | 17.2 | D | 0 | 38.4 |
|  |  | EB Approach |  |  | B |  | 18.2 | D |  | 41.3 |
|  |  | WB | WBLTR |  | C | 118 | 20.3 | C | \#453 | 34.5 |
|  |  | WB Approach |  |  | C |  | 20.3 | C |  | 34.5 |
|  |  | SB | SBLT |  | B | 325 | 19.7 | B | 576 | 17.6 |
|  |  |  | SBR | 200 | B | 16 | 12.2 | A | 18 | 7.9 |
|  |  | SB Approach |  |  | B |  | 19.4 | B |  | 17.2 |
|  |  | Overall LOS |  |  | B |  | 19.6 | C |  | 20.6 |
| Route 1 NB \& Graham Park Road <br> (\#3) | Signalized | EB | EBLT |  | C | 290 | 34.2 | D | 375 | 36.4 |
|  |  | EB Approach |  |  | C |  | 34.2 | D |  | 36.4 |
|  |  | WB | WBT |  | C | 160 | 20.0 | D | 256 | 43.6 |
|  |  |  | WBR |  | B | 58 | 19.0 | D | 92 | 40.8 |
|  |  | WB Approach |  |  | B |  | 19.4 | D |  | 41.8 |
|  |  | NB | NBL | 710 | B | 91 | 13.4 | C | 170 | 27.0 |
|  |  |  | NBT |  | B | 142 | 14.6 | C | 283 | 29.5 |
|  |  |  | NBR | 330 | B | 19 | 12.3 | C | 23 | 24.8 |
|  |  | NB Approach |  |  | B |  | 14.2 | C |  | 28.7 |
|  |  | Overall LOS |  |  | C |  | 22.1 | D |  | 34.9 |
| Old Triangle Road \& Graham Park Road <br> (\#4) | Unsignalized ${ }^{1}$ | EB | EBLT |  |  |  | 15.0 |  |  | 23.2 |
|  |  |  | EBRT |  |  |  | 14.1 |  |  | 19.5 |
|  |  | EB Approach |  |  | B |  | 14.5 | C |  | 21.3 |
|  |  | WB | WBLT |  |  |  | 13.4 |  |  | 11.8 |
|  |  |  | WBRT |  |  |  | 12.4 |  |  | 11.5 |
|  |  | WB Approach |  |  | B |  | 12.9 | B |  | 11.7 |
|  |  | NB | NBLT |  |  |  | 12.7 |  |  | 13.7 |
|  |  |  | NBR | 100 |  |  | 9.5 |  |  | 9.2 |
|  |  | NB Approach |  |  | B |  | 11.6 | B |  | 12.7 |
|  |  | SB | SBLTR |  |  |  | 12.6 |  |  | 12.4 |
|  |  | SB Approach |  |  | B |  | 12.6 | B |  | 12.4 |
| Route 1 \& Quantico Gateway Drive(\#5) | Signalized | EB | EBT |  | C | 40 | 20.4 | C | 78 | 29.4 |
|  |  |  | EBR | 300 | B | 0 | 19.6 | C | 0 | 27.8 |
|  |  | EB Approach |  |  | C |  | 20.2 | C |  | 28.7 |
|  |  | WB | WBLT |  | A |  | 0.0 | B | m5 | 17.9 |
|  |  | WB Approach |  |  | A |  | 0.0 | B |  | 17.9 |
|  |  | NB | NBL |  | A | 15 | 4.6 | A | 24 | 8.6 |
|  |  | NB Approach |  |  | A |  | 4.6 | A |  | 8.6 |
|  |  | SB | SBLT |  | B | 122 | 11.4 | B | 340 | 17.2 |
|  |  |  | SBR | 275 | A | 4 | 9.5 | B | 17 | 11.6 |
|  |  | SB Approach |  |  | B |  | 11.2 | B |  | 16.8 |
|  |  | Overall LOS |  |  | B |  | 11.7 | B |  | 17.6 |


| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Lane Group | Existing/ Proposed turn-lane lengths (ft) | LOS | Back of Queue (feet) | Delay (sec) | LOS | Back of Queue (feet) | Delay (sec) |
| Route 1 \& Quantico Gateway Drive <br> (\#6) | Signalized | EB | EBL |  | A | 12 | 6.2 | A | 8 | 5.3 |
|  |  |  | EBLT |  | A | 12 | 6.2 | A | 8 | 5.3 |
|  |  | EB Approach |  |  | A |  | 6.2 | A |  | 5.3 |
|  |  | WB | WBRT |  | A | 0 | 0.0 | C | 10 | 34.2 |
|  |  | WB Approach |  |  | A |  | 0.0 | C |  | 34.2 |
|  |  | NB | NBT |  | A | 90 | 5.1 | A | 156 | 7.7 |
|  |  |  | NBR | 100 | A | 0 | 0.0 | A | 0 | 6.0 |
|  |  | NB Approach |  |  | A |  | 5.1 | A |  | 7.7 |
|  |  | Overall LOS |  |  | A |  | 5.2 | A |  | 7.6 |

*The ' $\#$ ' indicates $95{ }^{\text {th }}$ percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer and the queue shown is the maximum after two cycles.
${ }^{1}$ HCM all way stop control methodology does not calculate queues or LOS by lane group.

As shown in the figures and Table 3, all study intersections are forecast to continue to operate at LOS D or better during all time periods.

## PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

Community Housing Partners is applying to rezone and develop a mixed-use development in Dumfries, Virginia. The site is comprised of four parcels (GPIN Parcels 8188-78-5384, 8188-78-8257, 8188-78-8432 and 8188-77-5398), and is located in the southeast quadrant of the Route 1 (Fraley Boulevard)/Graham Park Road intersection The concept development plan includes 200 multi-family units, 105 townhouses, $20,000 \mathrm{SF}$ of retail uses, $8,000 \mathrm{SF}$ of commercial/public facility uses (office), and 40,000 SF of a self-storage (mini-warehouse) facility. Two access points are proposed: a right-in/right-out site driveway on Fraley Boulevard (Route 1 NB), and a full access to Graham Park Road.

Figure 13 illustrates the assumed lane configurations and traffic control devices under year 2020 total traffic conditions.


## Trip Generation

Trip generation estimates for the proposed development were developed using the standard reference Trip Generation, $9^{\text {th }}$ Edition (Reference 2) published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). Table 4 summarizes the trip generation estimates for the proposed development.

Table 4 Estimated Trip Generation

| Northern Portion of Site |  |  |  | Weekday Daily | Peak Hour Adjacent Street |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | ITE Code | Units |  |  | Weekday AM Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday PM Peak Hour |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out |
| Mini-Warehouse | 151 | 40.0 | 1000 sq. ft |  | 100 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| General Office | 710 | 8.0 | 1000 sq. ft | 193 | 25 | 22 | 3 | 87 | 15 | 72 |
| Net New Trips |  |  |  | 293 | 31 | 25 | 6 | 97 | 20 | 77 |


| Southern Portion of Site |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Weekday } \\ \text { Daily } \end{gathered}$ | Peak Hour Adjacent Street |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use |  | ITE Code | Units |  |  | Weekday AM Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday PM Peak Hour |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out |
| Townhouse |  | 230 | 105 | units |  | 671 | 54 | 9 | 45 | 63 | 42 | 21 |
| Apartment |  | 220 | 200 | units | 1,336 | 102 | 20 | 82 | 128 | 83 | 45 |
| Specialty Retail |  | 826 | 20.0 | 1000 sq. ft | 886 | 214 | 103 | 111 | 69 | 30 | 39 |
| Internal Trips (5\% AM, 10\% PM) |  |  |  |  | (44) | (11) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (3) | (4) |
| Pass-By (34\%) |  |  |  |  | (286) | (70) | (35) | (35) | (22) | (11) | (11) |
|  | Subtotal: |  |  |  | 556 | 133 | 63 | 70 | 40 | 16 | 24 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total: |  |  |  |  | 2,893 | 370 | 132 | 238 | 260 | 155 | 105 |
| Less Internal Trips |  |  |  |  | (44) | (11) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (3) | (4) |
| Less Pass-By |  |  |  |  | (286) | (70) | (35) | (35) | (22) | (11) | (11) |
| Net New Trips |  |  |  |  | 2,563 | 289 | 92 | 197 | 231 | 141 | 90 |


| Total: | 3,186 | 401 | 157 | 244 | 357 | 175 | 182 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less Internal Trips | (44) | (11) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (3) | (4) |
| Less Pass-By | (286) | (70) | (35) | (35) | (22) | (11) | (11) |
| Net New Trips | 2,856 | 320 | 117 | 203 | 328 | 161 | 167 |

As shown in Table 4, the development is estimated to generate approximately 2,856 net new weekday daily trips, 320 weekday a.m. ( $117 \mathrm{in}, 203$ out), and 328 weekday p.m. ( $161 \mathrm{in}, 167$ out) peak hour trips.

## Trip Distribution and Trip Assignment

Trip distribution estimates for the proposed project were developed based on anticipated future travel patterns observed near the site and a major origin/destination patterns in the site vicinity. Figure 14 illustrates the estimated trip distribution pattern. Figure 15 and Figure 16 illustrate the assignment of site-generated trips to the surrounding roadway network during the weekday a.m. and weekday p.m. peak hours, respectively. Figure 17 and Figure 18 illustrate the assignment of pass-by trips.


# ESTIMATED TRIP DISTRIBUTION PATTERN DUMFRIES, VIRGINIA 
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## 2020 Total Traffic Conditions

The 2020 total traffic conditions analysis forecasts how the transportation system in the study area will operate after full build out of proposed development. Site-generated trips shown in Figure 15 and Figure 16 were added to year 2020 background volumes shown in Figure 9 and Figure 10 to arrive at the 2020 total traffic volumes shown in Figure 19 and Figure 20, respectively. Figure 21 and Figure 22 show the lane group LOS. Table 5 summarizes the Synchro 9 peak hour levels of service, $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile back of queue, and delay for each lane group by intersection. Appendix $\boldsymbol{G}$ contains the year 2020 total traffic conditions operational worksheets.





Table 52020 Total Traffic Conditions - Summary of Peak Hour Levels of Service, $95^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Back of Queue, and Delay for Each Lane Group by Intersection

| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Lane Group | Existing/ Proposed turn-lane lengths (ft) | LOS | Back of Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | LOS | Back of Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & (\mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ |
| Route 1 \& Possum Point Road <br> (\#1) | Signalized | EB | EBLRT |  | E | 7 | 58.7 | E | 0 | 56.4 |
|  |  | EB Approach |  |  | E |  | 58.7 | E |  | 56.4 |
|  |  | WB | WBLT |  | E | 65 | 60.9 | E | 122 | 58.8 |
|  |  |  | WBR | 70 | A | 0 | 0.1 | A | 0 | 0.1 |
|  |  | WB Approach |  |  | C |  | 20.3 | C |  | 22.0 |
|  |  | NB | NBL | 85 | F | 31 | 118.0 | E | 33 | 62.3 |
|  |  |  | NBT |  | B | 556 | 16.8 | C | 610 | 24.8 |
|  |  |  | NBR | 440 | A | 0 | 9.8 | B | 0 | 14.2 |
|  |  | NB Approach |  |  | B |  | 17.4 | C |  | 24.6 |
|  |  | SB | SBL | 335 | D | 112 | 52.3 | D | 151 | 50.9 |
|  |  |  | SBT |  | A | 320 | 8.1 | B | 656 | 16.2 |
|  |  |  | SBR | 250 | A | 0 | 5.6 | A | 0 | 8.2 |
|  |  | SB Approach |  |  | B |  | 11.2 | B |  | 18.2 |
|  |  | Overall LOS |  |  | B |  | 14.9 | C |  | 21.5 |
| Route 1 SB \& Curtis Drive <br> (\#2) | Signalized | EB | EBLT |  | B | 74 | 19.6 | D | 167 | 51.3 |
|  |  |  | EBR | 90 | B | 0 | 18.4 | D | 0 | 46.7 |
|  |  | EB Approach |  |  | B |  | 19.5 | D |  | 50.7 |
|  |  | WB | WBLTR |  | D | \#446 | 36.2 | F | \#786 | 150.4 |
|  |  | WB Approach |  |  | D |  | 36.2 | F |  | 150.4 |
|  |  | SB | SBLT |  | C | 355 | 22.3 | B | 671 | 18.0 |
|  |  |  | SBR | 200 | B | 15 | 13.1 | A | 18 | 7.1 |
|  |  | SB Approach |  |  | C |  | 22.0 | B |  | 17.6 |
|  |  | Overall LOS |  |  | C |  | 25.4 | D |  | 39.2 |
| Route 1 NB \& Graham Park Road <br> (\#3) | Signalized | EB | EBLT |  | D | 312 | 36.6 | D | 402 | 39.5 |
|  |  | EB Approach |  |  | D |  | 36.6 | D |  | 39.5 |
|  |  | WB | WBT |  | C | 173 | 21.3 | E | 351 | 56.1 |
|  |  |  | WBR |  | C | 61 | 20.4 | D | 108 | 49.9 |
|  |  | WB Approach |  |  | C |  | 20.7 | D |  | 52.2 |
|  |  | NB | NBL | 710 | B | 134 | 15.4 | C | 238 | 29.8 |
|  |  |  | NBT |  | B | 176 | 16.6 | C | 365 | 32.5 |
|  |  |  | NBR | 330 | B | 22 | 13.4 | C | 32 | 26.6 |
|  |  | NB Approach |  |  | B |  | 16.1 | C |  | 31.5 |
|  |  | Overall LOS |  |  | C |  | 23.3 | D |  | 39.5 |
| Old Triangle Road \& Graham Park Road <br> (\#4) | Unsignalized ${ }^{1}$ | EB | EBLT |  |  |  | 15.0 |  |  | 24.4 |
|  |  |  | EBRT |  |  |  | 14.1 |  |  | 21.0 |
|  |  | EB Approach |  |  | B |  | 14.5 | C |  | 22.7 |
|  |  | WB | WBLT |  |  |  | 13.4 |  |  | 12.1 |
|  |  |  | WBRT |  |  |  | 12.4 |  |  | 11.7 |
|  |  | WB Approach |  |  | B |  | 12.9 | B |  | 11.9 |
|  |  | NB | NBLT |  |  |  | 12.7 |  |  | 14.3 |
|  |  |  | NBR | 100 |  |  | 9.5 |  |  | 9.3 |
|  |  | NB Approach |  |  | B |  | 11.6 | B |  | 13.2 |
|  |  | SB | SBLTR |  |  |  | 12.6 |  |  | 12.5 |
|  |  | SB Approach |  |  | B |  | 12.6 | B |  | 12.5 |
| Route 1 \& Quantico Gateway Drive(\#5) | Signalized | EB | EBT |  | C | 45 | 21.1 | C | 93 | 30.7 |
|  |  |  | EBR | 300 | C | 0 | 20.3 | C | 0 | 29.6 |
|  |  | EB Approach |  |  | C |  | 20.8 | C |  | 30.2 |
|  |  | WB | WBLT |  | A | 0 | 0.0 | C | m5 | 21.6 |
|  |  | WB Approach |  |  | A |  | 0.0 | C |  | 21.6 |
|  |  | NB | NBL |  | A | 17 | 4.9 | B | 26 | 11.2 |
|  |  | NB Approach |  |  | A |  | 4.9 | B |  | 11.2 |
|  |  | SB | SBLT |  | B | 169 | 12.4 | C | 482 | 24.1 |
|  |  |  | SBR | 275 | A | 3 | 9.5 | B | 15 | 12.5 |
|  |  | SB Approach |  |  | B |  | 12.2 | C |  | 23.3 |
|  |  | Overall LOS |  |  | B |  | 12.5 | C |  | 23.6 |


| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Lane Group | Existing/ Proposed turn-lane lengths (ft) | LOS | Back of Queue (feet) | Delay <br> (sec) | LOS | Back of Queue (feet) | Delay <br> (sec) |
| Route 1 \& Quantico Gateway Drive <br> (\#6) | Signalized | EB | EBL |  | B | 43 | 11.7 | C | 78 | 20.3 |
|  |  |  | EBLT |  | B | 43 | 11.7 | C | 78 | 20.3 |
|  |  | EB Approach |  |  | B |  | 11.7 | C |  | 20.3 |
|  |  | WB | WBRT |  | A | 0 | 0.0 | D | 12 | 40.1 |
|  |  | WB Approach |  |  | A |  | 0.0 | D |  | 40.1 |
|  |  | NB | NBT |  | A | 108 | 5.4 | A | 196 | 9.2 |
|  |  |  | NBR | 100 | A | 0 | 0.0 | A | 0 | 6.8 |
|  |  | NB Approach |  |  | A |  | 5.4 | A |  | 9.2 |
|  |  | Overall LOS |  |  | A |  | 6.3 | B |  | 11.1 |
| Route 1 \& Site Driveway | Unsignalized | EB | EBLT |  | C | 1 | 21.8 | C | 0 | 15.1 |
|  |  | EB Approach |  |  | C |  | 21.8 | C |  | 15.1 |
|  |  | WB | WBRT |  | B | 49 | 14.7 | B | 17 | 12.4 |
|  |  | WB Approach |  |  | B |  | 14.7 | B |  | 12.4 |
|  |  | NB | NBLT |  | A | 0 | 0.1 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  | NBR | 100 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | NB Approach |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |
| Site Driveway and Graham Park <br> Road <br> (\#8) | Unsignalized | EB | EBRT |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | EB Approach |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |
|  |  | WB | WBLT |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | WB Approach |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |
|  |  | NB | NBR |  | B | 1 | 14.7 | C | 29 | 22.1 |
|  |  | NB Approach |  |  | B |  | 14.7 | C |  | 22.1 |

*The ' $\#$ ' indicates $95{ }^{\text {th }}$ percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer and the queue shown is the maximum after two cycles. The ' $m$ ' indicates the volume for the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue is metered by the upstream signal.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{HCM}$ all way stop control methodology does not calculate queues or LOS by lane group.

As shown in the figures and Table 5, all study intersections are forecast to continue to operate at or above LOS D during all time periods.

## SimTraffic Queuing Analysis

Forecast $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues for background and total traffic conditions were estimated using SimTraffic. Ten simulation runs were performed for each time period in accordance with VDOT's Traffic Operations and Safety Analysis Manual v1.0. Table 6 below provides a queue comparison between forecast $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues estimated in SimTraffic between background and total traffic conditions for each study time period. Appendix H contains the year 2020 background and total traffic conditions SimTraffic worksheets.

Table $695^{\text {th }}$ Percentile SimTraffic Queue Comparison


EB - Eastbound; WB - Westbound; NB - Northbound; SB - Southbound; Cont. - Continuous.
L - Left; T - Through; R - Right.
As shown in Table 6, many of the forecast queues remain unchanged or decrease as compared to background conditions. In instances where the forecast queue increases, the increase is many cases less than the assumed length of one vehicle ( 25 feet).

The lack of a direct connection from the site driveway on Fraley Boulevard (Route 1 NB ) to Main Street (Route 1 SB ) introduces out-of-direction travel and increases left-turn demand at the Route 1/Curtis Drive/Graham Park Road and Route 1/Quantico Gateway Drive intersections. During the peak 15-minute period of both peak hours, northbound left-turn queues at the Fraley Boulevard (Route 1 NB )/Graham Park Road are forecast to extend beyond the available storage. However, this movement is forecast to operate well below capacity ( 0.29 and 0.27 during the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours, respectively), and is not anticipated to adversely impact traffic operations as compared to background conditions.

## Turn Lane Warrants

VDOT turn lane warrants were evaluated at the proposed site-access driveways. Table 7 below summarizes the warranted turn lanes and required storage/taper lengths.

Table 7 Turn Lane Warrant Analysis

| Turn Lane \& Location | Turn Lane <br> Warranted? | VDOT <br> Storage/Taper (ft) | Proposed Turn Lane <br> Storage/Taper (ft) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Route 1 NB (Fraley Blvd)/ <br> Right-In / Right-Out Entrance | Yes | $100 / 100$ | $100 / 100$ |
| Graham Park Road / <br> Site Entrance | No | N/A | N/A |

As shown in Table 7, a full northbound right-turn lane and taper are warranted at the right-in/rightout access on Route 1 (Fraley Boulevard) based on an urban roadway, 45 mph design speed, and the forecast $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue. No eastbound turn lane or taper is warranted at the Graham Park Road site driveway. Appendix I contains the right-turn warrant analysis worksheets.

Conclusions and Recommendations

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The results of the traffic impact analysis indicate that the proposed Townsquare development can be constructed while maintaining acceptable operations on the surrounding transportation system assuming provision of mitigations specified in this report. The findings of this analysis and our recommendations are discussed below.

## Existing Conditions

- All study intersections currently operate at LOS D or better during all study time periods.


## 2020 Background Traffic Conditions

- A two percent annual growth rate (compounded annually) was used to account for regional traffic growth.
- In-process traffic from the First Town Center development was added to background traffic to project year 2020 background traffic conditions.
- All study intersections are forecast to continue to operate at LOS D or better during all study time periods.


## Proposed Development

- Community Housing Partners is applying to rezone and develop a mixed-use development in Dumfries, Virginia. The site is comprised of four parcels (GPIN Parcels 8188-78-5384, 8188-78-8257, 8188-78-8432 and 8188-77-5398), and is located in the southeast quadrant of the Fraley Boulevard (Route 1)/Graham Park Road intersection.
- The concept development plan includes 200 multi-family units, 105 townhouses, 20,000 SF of retail uses, 8,000 SF of commercial/public facility uses (office), and 40,000 SF of a self-storage (mini-warehouse) facility.
- The development is estimated to generate approximately 2,856 net new weekday daily trips, 320 weekday a.m. ( $117 \mathrm{in}, 203$ out), and 328 weekday p.m. ( $161 \mathrm{in}, 167$ out) peak hour trips.

The development proposes two access points: a right-in/right-out site driveway on Fraley Boulevard (Route 1 NB) and a full movement site driveway on Graham Park Road.

## 2020 Total Traffic Conditions

- All study intersections are forecast to continue to operate at LOS D or better during all study time periods.
- A northbound right-turn lane is warranted at the right-in/right-out site driveway on Fraley Boulevard (Route 1 NB ). The applicant proposes to construct a turn lane with 100 feet of storage and a 100-foot taper.
- The lack of a direct connection from the site driveway on Fraley Boulevard (Route 1 NB) to Main Street (Route 1 SB ) introduces out-of-direction travel and increases left-turn demand at the Route 1/Curtis Drive/Graham Park Road and Route 1/Quantico Gateway Drive intersections.
- During the peak 15-minute period of both peak hours, northbound left-turn queues at the Fraley Boulevard (Route 1 NB)/Graham Park Boulevard are forecast to extend beyond the available storage. However, this movement is forecast to operate well below capacity ( 0.29 and 0.27 during the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours, respectively), and is not anticipated to adversely impact traffic operations as compared to background conditions.


## RECOMMENDATIONS

The following improvements are recommended to mitigate the impacts of the proposed Townsquare development.

- Construct a northbound right-turn lane on turn lane on Fraley Boulevard (Route 1 NB ) in its ultimate location with 100 feet of storage and a 100-foot taper.


## Section 6 References
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1. Transportation Research Board. Highway Capacity Manual. 2010.
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Appendix A
Scoping Letter

Virginia Department of Transportation

## PRE-SCOPE OF WORK MEETING FORM <br> Information on the Project Traffic Impact Analysis Base Assumptions

The applicant is responsible for entering the relevant information and submitting the form to VDOT and the locality no less than three (3) business days prior to the meeting. If a form is not received by this deadline, the scope of work meeting may be postponed.

| Contact Information |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Consultant Name: Tele: E-mail: | Chris Tiesler - Kittelson \& Associates, Inc. (703) 885-8970 ctiesler@kittelson.com |  |  |
| Developer/Owner Name: Tele: E-mail: | David Schultz - Community Housing Partners (804) 343-7201 dschultz@chpc2.org |  |  |
| Project Information |  |  |  |
| Project Name: | Townsquare | Locality/County: | Prince William County |
| Project Location: (Attach regional and site specific location map) | See Figure 1-attached |  |  |
| Submission Type | Comp Plan $\square$ Rezoning $\quad$ - | Site Plan $\square$ | Subd Plat $\square$ |
| Project Description: (Including details on the land use, acreage, phasing, access location, etc. Attach additional sheet if necessary) | Community Housing Partners is applying to rezone and develop a mixed-use development in Dumfries, VA. The site is comprised of four parcels (GPIN Parcels 8188-78-5384, 8188-78-8257, 8188-78-843 and 8188-77-5398). The concept development plan includes 220 multi-family units, 90 townhouses, $15,000 \mathrm{SF}$ of retail uses, $7,200 \mathrm{SF}$ of commercial/public facility uses (office), and $40,000 \mathrm{SF}$ of a self-storage (mini-warehouse) facility. Two access points are proposed: a right-in/right-out access to Fraley Boulevard (US Route 1 NB), and a full access to Graham Park Road. Figure 2 illustrates a conceptual site plan. |  |  |
| Proposed Use(s): (Check all that apply; attach additional pages as necessary) | Residential $\square$ Commercial $\square$ | Mixed Use $\boxtimes$ | Other |
|  | Commercial Use(s)  <br> ITE LU Code(s): $826-15 \mathrm{ksf}$ <br>  $710-7.2 \mathrm{ksf}$ <br>   <br> Square Ft or Other Variable: | Other Use(s) <br> ITE LU Code(s): <br> Independent Variable | $\bar{Z}$$\bar{Z}-40 \mathrm{ksf}$ <br> $\bar{Z}$ <br> See attached <br> trip gen able${ }^{\square}$ |

It is important for the applicant to provide sufficient information to county and VDOT staff so that questions regarding geographic scope, alternate methodology, or other issues can be answered at the scoping meeting.

| Total Peak Hour Trip Projection: | Less than $100 \square$ | 100-499 - |  | 500-999 $\square$ |  |  | 1,000 or more |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traffic Impact Analysis Assumptions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Study Period | Existing Year: 2015 | Build-out Year: 2020 |  |  |  | Design Year: N/A |  |
| Study Area Boundaries (Attach map) | North: Possum Point Road |  | South: Quantico Gateway Drive |  |  |  |  |
|  | East: Old Triangle Road |  | West: Main Street (US Route 1 SB ) |  |  |  |  |
| External Factors That Could Affect Project <br> (Planned road improvements, other nearby developments) | US Route 1 is currently in preliminary design to realign a 6-lane divided facility along the current alignment of Fraley Road (US Route 1 NB ). The final alignment has yet to be determined. This project is not anticipated to be constructed before the proposed Townsquare project is completed. <br> First Town Center - this development will be included as in-process traffic. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consistency With Comprehensive Plan (Land use, transportation plan) | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Available Traffic Data (Historical, forecasts) | 2014 AADT Volumes from VDOT <br> US Route 1 (Par Main St to SCL Dumfries)-21,000 (combined both directions) US Route 1 (SCL Dumfries to Possum Point Rd)-30,000 (combined both directions) Graham Park Road (ECL Dumfries to Purvis Dr)-5,600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trip Distribution (Attach sketch) | Road Name: $60 \%$ US Route 1 [North] |  | Road Name: $40 \%$ US Route 1 [South] |  |  |  |  |
|  | Road Name: |  | Road Name: |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Vehicle Trip Growth Rate: | 2\% | Peak Period for Study (check all that apply) |  |  | $\boxtimes$ AM $\quad$ PM $\quad \square$ SAT |  |  |
|  |  | Peak Hour of the Generator |  |  | 6-9 am 4-7 pm |  |  |
| Study Intersections and/or Road Segments (Attach additional sheets as necessary) | 1.US Route 1/Possum Point Road |  | 6.US Route 1 NB (Fraley Blvd)/Quantico Gateway Driver |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.US Route 1 SB (Main St)/Curtis Drive |  | 7.US Route 1 NB (Fraley Blvd)/Site Access (future) |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.US Route 1 NB (Fralwy Blvd)/Graham Park Road |  | 8.Site Access/Graham Park Road (future) |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.Old Triangle Road/Graham Park Road |  | 9. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5.US Route 1 SB (Main <br> St)/Quantico Gateway Dr |  | 10. |  |  |  |  |
| Trip Adjustment Factors | Internal allowance: Yes $\square$ No Reduction: 5\% AM, 10\% PM\% trips |  |  | Pass-by allowance: Yes No Reduction: 34\%\% trips |  |  |  |
| Software Methodology | $\boxtimes$ Synchro $\square$ HCS (v.2000/+) $\square$ aaSIDRA $\square$ CORSIM $\square$ Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |



NOTES on ASSUMPTIONS: Internal trip percentages calculated in accordance with VDOT Chapter 527
Guidelines 24VAC30-155-60(D)(3)(a)(3) for residential with a mix of non-residential (commercial/retail) development. The pass-by rate for ITE Code 820 is applied to the "Specialty Retail" (ITE Code 826) use.

SIGNED: $\qquad$ DATE: $\qquad$
Applicant or Consultant
PRINT NAME:

## Applicant or Consultant

It is important for the applicant to provide sufficient information to county and VDOT staff so that questions regarding geographic scope, alternate methodology, or other issues can be answered at the scoping meeting.

## SCOPE OF WORK MEETING

## ADDITIONS TO THE REQUIRED ELEMENTS, CHANGES TO THE METHODOLOGY OR STANDARD ASSUMPTIONS, AND SIGNATURE PAGE

Any additions to the Required Elements or changes to the Methodology or Standard Assumptions due to special circumstances that are approved by VDOT:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

AGREED:
 DATE: $10-1-15$

PRINT NAME: Chris Tiesler
Applicant or Consultant
SIGNED:


DATE: $10 / 15 / 2015$
PRINT NAME: Takir Benabdi
SIGNED: $\frac{\int_{\text {Local Government Representative }}^{\text {VOT Representative }}}{\text { Lo ns }}$ DATE: $150 c+2015$

PRINT NAME:


Local Government Representative

| Northern Portion of Site |  |  |  | Weekday Daily | Peak Hour Generator |  |  |  |  |  | Peak Hour Adjacent Street |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use |  | Units |  |  | Weekday AM Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday PM Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday AM Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday PM Peak Hour |  |  |
|  | ITE Code |  |  | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out |
| Mini-Warehouse | 151 | 40.0 | 1000 sq. ft |  | 100 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 12 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| General Office | 710 | 7.2 | 1000 sq. ft | 178 | 23 | 20 | 3 | 87 | 15 | 72 | 23 | 20 | 3 | 87 | 15 | 72 |
| Net New Trips |  |  |  | 278 | 34 | 25 | 9 | 99 | 21 | 78 | 29 | 23 | 6 | 97 | 20 | 77 |


| Southern Portion of Site |  |  |  |  | Weekday Daily | Peak Hour Generator |  |  |  |  |  | Peak Hour Adjacent Street |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use |  | ITE Code | Units |  |  | Weekday AM Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday PM Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday AM Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday PM Peak Hour |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out |
| Townhouse |  | 230 | 90 | units |  | 587 | 47 | 9 | 38 | 66 | 42 | 24 | 47 | 8 | 39 | 55 | 37 | 18 |
| Apartment |  | 220 | 220 | units | 1,457 | 121 | 35 | 86 | 147 | 90 | 57 | 112 | 22 | 90 | 139 | 90 | 49 |
| Specialty Retail |  | 826 | 15.0 | 1000 sq. ft | 665 | 189 | 91 | 98 | 75 | 42 | 33 | 189 | 91 | 98 | 57 | 25 | 32 |
| Internal Trips (5\% AM, 10\% PM) |  |  |  |  | (33) | (9) | (5) | (4) | (8) | (4) | (4) | (9) | (5) | (4) | (6) | (3) | (3) |
| Pass-By (34\%) |  |  |  |  | (215) | (62) | (31) | (31) | (22) | (11) | (11) | (62) | (31) | (31) | (18) | (9) | (9) |
|  | Subtotal: |  |  |  | 417 | 118 | 55 | 63 | 45 | 27 | 18 | 118 | 55 | 63 | 33 | 13 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total: |  |  |  |  | 2,709 | 357 | 135 | 222 | 288 | 174 | 114 | 348 | 121 | 227 | 251 | 152 | 99 |
| Less Internal Trips |  |  |  |  | (33) | (9) | (5) | (4) | (8) | (4) | (4) | (9) | (5) | (4) | (6) | (3) | (3) |
| Less Pass-By |  |  |  |  | (215) | (62) | (31) | (31) | (22) | (11) | (11) | (62) | (31) | (31) | (18) | (9) | (9) |
| Net New Trips |  |  |  |  | 2,461 | 286 | 99 | 187 | 258 | 159 | 99 | 277 | 85 | 192 | 227 | 140 | 87 |

COMBINED TOTAL

| Total: | 2,987 | 391 | 160 | 231 | 387 | 195 | 192 | 377 | 144 | 233 | 348 | 172 | 176 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less Internal Trips | (33) | (9) | (5) | (4) | (8) | (4) | (4) | (9) | (5) | (4) | (6) | (3) | (3) |
| Less Pass-By | (215) | (62) | (31) | (31) | (22) | (11) | (11) | (62) | (31) | (31) | (18) | (9) | (9) |
| Net New Trips | 2,739 | 320 | 124 | 196 | 357 | 180 | 177 | 306 | 108 | 198 | 324 | 160 | 164 |

## NOTES

Use AM Peak Hour of Generator for AM Peak of Adjacent Street (no data)
Assumes $5 \%$ AM $/ 10 \%$ PM reduction of smaller trip total (residential vs. non-residential) per VDOT Chapter 527 Guidelines 24VAC30-155-60(D)(3)(a)(3)

- Assumes pass-by rates from ITE Code 820





| LEGEND <br> - ESTIMATED TRIP DISTRIBUTION PATTERN |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ESTIAMTED TRIP DISTRIBUTION PATTERN DUMFRIES, VIRGINIA | $\begin{gathered} \text { FIGURE } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |

Appendix B
Traffic Counts















Appendix C Level of Service Description

## APPENDIX C LEVEL-OF-SERVICE CONCEPT

Level of service (LOS) is a concept developed to quantify the degree of comfort (including such elements as travel time, number of stops, total amount of stopped delay, and impediments caused by other vehicles) afforded to drivers as they travel through an intersection or roadway segment. Six grades are used to denote the various level of service from " $A$ " to " $F$ ". ${ }^{1}$

## Signalized Intersections

The six level-of-service grades are described qualitatively for signalized intersections in Table C1. Additionally, Table C2 identifies the relationship between level of service and average control delay per vehicle. Control delay is defined to include initial deceleration delay, queue move-up time, stopped delay, and final acceleration delay. Using this definition, Level of Service "D" is generally considered to represent the minimum acceptable design standard.

Table C1 Level-of-Service Definitions (Signalized Intersections)

| Level of <br> Service | Very low average control delay, less than 10 seconds per vehicle. This occurs when progression is extremely favorable, <br> and most vehicles arrive during the green phase. Most vehicles do not stop at all. Short cycle lengths may also contribute <br> to low delay. |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| A | Average control delay is greater than 10 seconds per vehicle and less than or equal to 20 seconds per vehicle. This <br> generally occurs with good progression and/or short cycle lengths. More vehicles stop than for a level of service A, <br> causing higher levels of average delay. |
| C | Average control delay is greater than 20 seconds per vehicle and less than or equal to 35 seconds per vehicle. These <br> higher delays may result from fair progression and/or longer cycle lengths. Individual cycle failures may begin to appear <br> at this level. The number of vehicles stopping is significant at this level, although many still pass through the intersection <br> without stopping. |
| D | Average control delay is greater than 35 seconds per vehicle and less than or equal to 55 seconds per vehicle. The <br> influence of congestion becomes more noticeable. Longer delays may result from some combination of unfavorable <br> progression, long cycle length, or high volume/capacity ratios. Many vehicles stop, and the proportion of vehicles not <br> stopping declines. Individual cycle failures are noticeable. |
| E | Average control delay is greater than 55 seconds per vehicle and less than or equal to 80 seconds per vehicle. This is <br> usually considered to be the limit of acceptable delay. These high delay values generally (but not always) indicate poor <br> progression, long cycle lengths, and high volume/capacity ratios. Individual cycle failures are frequent occurrences. |

Most of the material in this Appendix is adapted from the Transportation Research Board, Highway Capacity Manual, (2000).
${ }^{1}$ Most of the material in this Appendix is adapted from the Transportation Research Board, Highway Capacity Manual, (2010).

Table C2
Level-of-Service Criteria for Signalized Intersections

| Level of <br> Service | Average Control Delay per Vehicle (Seconds) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | $<10.0$ |
| B | $>10$ and $(20$ |
| C | $>20$ and $(35$ |
| D | $>35$ and $(55$ |
| E | $>55$ and $(80$ |
| F | $>80$ |

## Unsignalized Intersections

Unsignalized intersections include two-way stop-controlled (TWSC) and all-way stop-controlled (AWSC) intersections. The 2010 Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) provides models for estimating control delay at both TWSC and AWSC intersections. A qualitative description of the various service levels associated with an unsignalized intersection is presented in Table C3. A quantitative definition of level of service for unsignalized intersections is presented in Table C4. Using this definition, Level of Service " $E$ " is generally considered to represent the minimum acceptable design standard.

Table C3 Level-of-Service Criteria for Unsignalized Intersections

| Level of Service | Average Delay per Vehicle to Minor Street |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | - Nearly all drivers find freedom of operation. <br> - Very seldom is there more than one vehicle in queue. |
| B | - Some drivers begin to consider the delay an inconvenience. <br> - Occasionally there is more than one vehicle in queue. |
| C | - Many times there is more than one vehicle in queue. <br> - Most drivers feel restricted, but not objectionably so. |
| D | - Often there is more than one vehicle in queue. <br> - Drivers feel quite restricted. |
| E | - Represents a condition in which the demand is near or equal to the probable maximum number of vehicles that can be accommodated by the movement. <br> - There is almost always more than one vehicle in queue. <br> - Drivers find the delays approaching intolerable levels. |
| F | - Forced flow. <br> - Represents an intersection failure condition that is caused by geometric and/or operational constraints external to the intersection. |

Table C4 Level-of-Service Criteria for Unsignalized Intersections

| Level of Service | Average Control Delay per Vehicle (Seconds) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | $<10.0$ |
| B | $>10.0$ and $(15.0$ |
| C | $>15.0$ and $(25.0$ |
| D | $>25.0$ and $(35.0$ |
| E | $>35.0$ and $(50.0$ |
| F | $>50.0$ |

It should be noted that the level-of-service criteria for unsignalized intersections are somewhat different than the criteria used for signalized intersections. The primary reason for this difference is that drivers expect different levels of performance from different kinds of transportation facilities. The expectation is that a signalized intersection is designed to carry higher traffic volumes than an unsignalized intersection. Additionally, there are a number of driver behavior considerations that combine to make delays at signalized intersections less galling than at unsignalized intersections. For example, drivers at signalized intersections are able to relax during the red interval, while drivers on the minor street approaches to TWSC intersections must remain attentive to the task of identifying acceptable gaps and vehicle conflicts. Also, there is often much more variability in the amount of delay experienced by individual drivers at unsignalized intersections than signalized intersections. For these reasons, it is considered that the control delay threshold for any given level of service is less for an unsignalized intersection than for a signalized intersection. While overall intersection level of service is calculated for AWSC intersections, level of service is only calculated for the minor approaches and the major street left turn movements at TWSC intersections. No delay is assumed to the major street through movements. For TWSC intersections, the overall intersection level of service remains undefined: level of service is only calculated for each minor street lane.

In the performance evaluation of TWSC intersections, it is important to consider other measures of effectiveness (MOEs) in addition to delay, such as v/c ratios for individual movements, average queue lengths, and $95^{\text {th }}$-percentile queue lengths. By focusing on a single MOE for the worst movement only, such as delay for the minor-street left turn, users may make inappropriate traffic control decisions. The potential for making such inappropriate decisions is likely to be particularly pronounced when the HCM level-of-service thresholds are adopted as legal standards, as is the case in many public agencies.

## Appendix D <br> Existing Conditions Level of Service Worksheets

|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1 | 32 | 74 | 11 | 1050 | 38 | 77 | 869 | 14 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.01 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.57 | 0.04 | 0.28 | 0.33 | 0.01 |
| Control Delay | 44.0 | 38.6 | 0.1 | 42.6 | 17.8 | 0.1 | 35.8 | 7.1 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 44.0 | 38.6 | 0.1 | 42.6 | 17.8 | 0.1 | 35.8 | 7.1 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 0 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 201 | 0 | 30 | 71 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 6 | 52 | 0 | 26 | 384 | 0 | 96 | 243 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 1167 | 1363 |  |  | 414 |  |  | 1326 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  |  | 70 | 85 |  | 440 | 335 |  | 250 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 569 | 724 | 1495 | 558 | 2679 | 1226 | 747 | 3041 | 1444 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.39 | 0.03 | 0.10 | 0.29 | 0.01 |

Intersection Summary

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | ${ }_{4}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 7 | \% | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 | \% | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 65 | 10 | 924 | 33 | 68 | 765 | 12 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 65 | 10 | 924 | 33 | 68 | 765 | 12 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 4\% |  |  | -3\% |  |
| Total Lost time (s) |  | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 | 4.0 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 |
| Lane Util. Factor |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frpb, ped/bikes |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 |
| Flpb, ped/bikes |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.95 |  |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |  | 1805 |  |  | 1530 | 1495 | 1747 | 3246 | 1452 | 1579 | 3393 | 1599 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.95 |  |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |  | 1805 |  |  | 1530 | 1495 | 1747 | 3246 | 1452 | 1579 | 3393 | 1599 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 74 | 11 | 1050 | 38 | 77 | 869 | 14 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 74 | 11 | 1050 | 19 | 77 | 869 | 8 |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 18\% | 0\% | 8\% | 0\% | 9\% | 9\% | 16\% | 8\% | 0\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA |  | Split | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 3 | 3 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  | Free |  | 6 | 6 |  |  | 2 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) |  | 0.7 |  |  | 5.2 | 86.2 | 0.9 | 43.1 | 43.1 | 9.7 | 51.9 | 51.9 |
| Effective Green, g (s) |  | 0.7 |  |  | 5.2 | 86.2 | 0.9 | 43.1 | 43.1 | 9.7 | 51.9 | 51.9 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.01 |  |  | 0.06 | 1.00 | 0.01 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.11 | 0.60 | 0.60 |
| Clearance Time (s) |  | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  | 2.5 |  |  | 4.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 4.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) |  | 14 |  |  | 92 | 1495 | 18 | 1623 | 726 | 177 | 2042 | 962 |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  | 0.00 |  |  | c0.02 |  | 0.01 | c0.32 |  | c0.05 | c0.26 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  |  |  |  |  | c0.05 |  |  | 0.01 |  |  | 0.01 |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.07 |  |  | 0.35 | 0.05 | 0.61 | 0.65 | 0.03 | 0.44 | 0.43 | 0.01 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 |  | 42.4 |  |  | 38.9 | 0.0 | 42.5 | 15.9 | 10.9 | 35.7 | 9.2 | 6.9 |
| Progression Factor |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 |  | 1.6 |  |  | 3.9 | 0.1 | 42.7 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Delay (s) |  | 44.0 |  |  | 42.8 | 0.1 | 85.2 | 16.7 | 10.9 | 38.6 | 9.3 | 6.9 |
| Level of Service |  | D |  |  | D | A | F | B | B | D | A | A |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 44.0 |  |  | 13.0 |  |  | 17.2 |  |  | 11.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  | B |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 14.5 | HCM 2000 Level of Service |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.60 |  | 27.5 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 86.2 | Sum of lost time (s) | A |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $51.0 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |


|  |  |  | $\leftarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | EBT | EBR | WBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group | 64 | 10 | 314 | 943 | 28 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0.11 | 0.02 | 0.52 | 0.65 | 0.05 |
| v/c Ratio | 17.5 | 0.0 | 14.8 | 19.3 | 5.5 |
| Control Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 17.5 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 19.3 | 5.5 |
| Total Delay | 19 | 0 | 49 | 172 | 0 |
| Queue Length 50th (tt) | 51 | 0 | 97 | 270 | 14 |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) | 970 |  | 183 | 276 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) |  | 90 |  |  | 200 |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 753 | 765 | 776 | 3323 | 1369 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 0 | 0 | 101 | 0 | 0 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 68 | 0 | 0 | 218 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.47 | 0.30 | 0.02 |

Intersection Summary

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  | $\dagger$ |  |  |  |  |  | * $\uparrow$ | $\overline{7}$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 19 | 40 | 9 | 52 | 18 | 218 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 451 | 417 | 26 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 19 | 40 | ) | 52 | 18 | 218 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 451 | 417 | 26 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Grade (\%) |  | -3\% |  |  | -3\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Total Lost time (s) |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frpb, ped/bikes |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.98 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.98 |
| Flpb, ped/bikes |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |  | 0.90 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 0.99 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |  | 1773 | 1477 |  | 1583 |  |  |  |  |  | 3322 | 1373 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.85 | 1.00 |  | 0.93 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |  | 1532 | 1477 |  | 1488 |  |  |  |  |  | 3322 | 1373 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 21 | 43 | 10 | 57 | 20 | 237 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 490 | 453 | 28 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 64 | 4 | 0 | 256 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 943 | 12 |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 11\% | 5\% | 11\% | 10\% | 17\% | 5\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 8\% | 15\% |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  | 6 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) |  | 27.1 | 27.1 |  | 27.1 |  |  |  |  |  | 32.2 | 32.2 |
| Effective Green, g (s) |  | 27.1 | 27.1 |  | 27.1 |  |  |  |  |  | 32.2 | 32.2 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.37 | 0.37 |  | 0.37 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.44 | 0.44 |
| Clearance Time (s) |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) |  | 566 | 546 |  | 550 |  |  |  |  |  | 1459 | 603 |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Perm |  | 0.04 | 0.00 |  | c0.17 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.28 | 0.01 |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.11 | 0.01 |  | 0.47 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.65 | 0.02 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 |  | 15.2 | 14.6 |  | 17.6 |  |  |  |  |  | 16.1 | 11.6 |
| Progression Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.85 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 |  | 0.2 | 0.0 |  | 1.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.4 | 0.0 |
| Delay (s) |  | 15.4 | 14.6 |  | 16.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 17.5 | 11.7 |
| Level of Service |  | B | B |  | B |  |  |  |  |  | B | B |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 15.3 |  |  | 16.2 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 17.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 16.9 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | B |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.64 |  | 21.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 73.3 | Sum of lost time (s) | C |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $68.3 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |



Intersection Summary


Analysis Period (min)
c Critical Lane Group

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  | ¢ $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | ¢ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 62 | 342 | 67 | 37 | 312 | 27 | 87 | 14 | 54 | 31 | 21 | 57 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 62 | 342 | 67 | 37 | 312 | 27 | 87 | 14 | 54 | 31 | 21 | 57 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 67 | 368 | 72 | 40 | 335 | 29 | 94 | 15 | 58 | 33 | 23 | 61 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | EB 2 | WB 1 | WB 2 | NB 1 | NB 2 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 251 | 256 | 208 | 197 | 109 | 58 | 117 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 67 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 33 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 0 | 72 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 58 | 61 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | 0.21 | -0.09 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.66 | -0.58 | -0.18 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 6.3 | 6.0 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 7.7 | 6.4 | 6.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.44 | 0.43 | 0.37 | 0.34 | 0.23 | 0.10 | 0.22 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 551 | 582 | 539 | 556 | 427 | 511 | 485 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 12.9 | 12.2 | 11.9 | 11.2 | 11.8 | 9.0 | 11.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 12.5 |  | 11.5 |  | 10.8 |  | 11.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  | B |  | B |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 11.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 46.9\% |  | CU Level | f Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | EBT | EBR | NBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group | 41 | 19 | 43 | 496 | 48 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0.14 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.30 | 0.06 |
| V/c Ratio | 18.9 | 0.3 | 5.3 | 11.4 | 0.1 |
| Control Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 18.9 | 0.3 | 5.3 | 11.4 | 0.1 |
| Total Delay | 7 | 0 | 5 | 32 | 0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 32 | 0 | 14 | 100 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 1316 |  |  | 1028 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 300 |  |  | 275 |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 1349 | 1169 | 922 | 3263 | 1583 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.03 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection Summary

|  | $\Rightarrow$ |  | $\geqslant$ | $\dagger$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $>$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 4 | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ |  | * |  |  |  | А $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 39 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 456 | 46 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 39 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 456 | 46 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  |  | 7.0 |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.95 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |  | 1810 | 1524 |  |  |  | 1770 |  |  |  | 3264 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.35 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |  | 1810 | 1524 |  |  |  | 651 |  |  |  | 3264 | 1583 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 41 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 480 | 48 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 41 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 496 | 20 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 5\% | 6\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 53\% | 9\% | 2\% |
| Turn Type |  | NA | Perm |  |  |  | pm+pt |  |  | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  | 3 | 3 |  | 5 |  |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  | 6 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) |  | 5.6 | 5.6 |  |  |  | 29.6 |  |  |  | 20.2 | 20.2 |
| Effective Green, g (s) |  | 5.6 | 5.6 |  |  |  | 29.6 |  |  |  | 20.2 | 20.2 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.11 | 0.11 |  |  |  | 0.60 |  |  |  | 0.41 | 0.41 |
| Clearance Time (s) |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  |  | 7.0 |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |  |  | 2.0 |  |  |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) |  | 206 | 173 |  |  |  | 446 |  |  |  | 1340 | 649 |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  | c0.02 |  |  |  |  | c0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.05 |  |  |  | 0.15 | 0.01 |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.20 | 0.01 |  |  |  | 0.10 |  |  |  | 0.37 | 0.03 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 |  | 19.8 | 19.3 |  |  |  | 4.5 |  |  |  | 10.1 | 8.7 |
| Progression Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 |  | 0.2 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Delay (s) |  | 19.9 | 19.4 |  |  |  | 4.6 |  |  |  | 10.1 | 8.7 |
| Level of Service |  | B | B |  |  |  | A |  |  |  | B | A |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 19.8 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 4.6 |  |  | 10.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 10.6 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | B |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.42 |  | 28.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 49.2 | Sum of lost time (s) | A |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $37.2 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |


|  |  |  | EBL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | EBT | NBT |
| Lane Group | 29 | 30 | 687 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.34 |
| v/c Ratio | 7.2 | 7.3 | 6.3 |
| Control Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 7.2 | 7.3 | 6.3 |
| Total Delay | 3 | 3 | 47 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 11 | 11 | 74 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  | 25 | 251 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 1111 | 1111 | 3282 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 150 | 149 | 0 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.21 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  |  |  |

Intersection Summary

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | $\uparrow$ |  |  | F |  |  | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | F |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 625 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 625 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 7.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Utill. Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.95 |  |  |  |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 0.95 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1491 | 1491 |  |  |  |  |  | 3282 |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 0.95 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1491 | 1491 |  |  |  |  |  | 3282 |  |  |  |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 687 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 29 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 687 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 15\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 10\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Turn Type | Split | NA |  |  |  |  |  | NA | Perm |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 5.6 | 5.6 |  |  |  |  |  | 29.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 5.6 | 5.6 |  |  |  |  |  | 29.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.11 | 0.11 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.60 |  |  |  |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 7.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 169 | 169 |  |  |  |  |  | 1974 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Prot | 0.02 | c0.02 |  |  |  |  |  | c0.21 |  |  |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.17 | 0.18 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.35 |  |  |  |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 19.7 | 19.7 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Progression Factor | 0.35 | 0.35 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Delay (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service | A | A |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 7.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 5.1 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | A |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.53 |  | 28.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 49.2 | Sum of lost time (s) | A |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $34.8 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |

1: Jefferson Davis Hwy \& Possum Point Rd

|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 33 | 58 | 112 | 9 | 985 | 44 | 88 | 1252 | 13 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.13 | 0.23 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.56 | 0.06 | 0.32 | 0.52 | 0.01 |
| Control Delay | 1.0 | 40.9 | 0.1 | 46.1 | 22.9 | 0.1 | 39.9 | 12.6 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 1.0 | 40.9 | 0.1 | 46.1 | 22.9 | 0.1 | 39.9 | 12.6 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 0 | 29 | 0 | 5 | 249 | 0 | 44 | 211 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 0 | 78 | 0 | 23 | 370 | 0 | 104 | 414 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) | 802 | 985 |  |  | 414 |  |  | 1443 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  |  | 70 | 85 |  | 455 | 335 |  | 250 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 591 | 744 | 1538 | 496 | 3110 | 1259 | 694 | 3418 | 1559 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.32 | 0.03 | 0.13 | 0.37 | 0.01 |

Intersection Summary

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ${ }^{4}$ | 「 | \% | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 | \% | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 18 | 0 | 13 | 55 | 0 | 106 | 9 | 936 | 42 | 84 | 1189 | 12 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 18 | 0 | 13 | 55 | 0 | 106 | 9 | 936 | 42 | 84 | 1189 | 12 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 4\% |  |  | -3\% |  |
| Total Lost time (s) |  | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 | 4.0 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 |
| Lane Util. Factor |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 0.94 |  |  | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.97 |  |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |  | 1741 |  |  | 1770 | 1538 | 1769 | 3468 | 1388 | 1651 | 3592 | 1633 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.97 |  |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |  | 1741 |  |  | 1770 | 1538 | 1769 | 3468 | 1388 | 1651 | 3592 | 1633 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 19 | 0 | 14 | 58 | 0 | 112 | 9 | 985 | 44 | 88 | 1252 | 13 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 112 | 9 | 985 | 21 | 88 | 1252 | 7 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 5\% | 0\% | 2\% | 14\% | 11\% | 2\% | 0\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA |  | Split | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 3 | 3 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  | Free |  | 6 | 6 |  |  | 2 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) |  | 2.5 |  |  | 8.2 | 90.6 | 1.0 | 42.5 | 42.5 | 9.9 | 51.4 | 51.4 |
| Effective Green, g (s) |  | 2.5 |  |  | 8.2 | 90.6 | 1.0 | 42.5 | 42.5 | 9.9 | 51.4 | 51.4 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.03 |  |  | 0.09 | 1.00 | 0.01 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.11 | 0.57 | 0.57 |
| Clearance Time (s) |  | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  | 2.5 |  |  | 4.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 4.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) |  | 48 |  |  | 160 | 1538 | 19 | 1626 | 651 | 180 | 2037 | 926 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Prot |  | 0.00 |  |  | c0.03 |  | 0.01 | 0.28 |  | c0.05 | c0.35 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  |  |  |  |  | c0.07 |  |  | 0.01 |  |  | 0.00 |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.02 |  |  | 0.36 | 0.07 | 0.47 | 0.61 | 0.03 | 0.49 | 0.61 | 0.01 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 |  | 42.9 |  |  | 38.7 | 0.0 | 44.5 | 17.8 | 13.0 | 38.0 | 13.0 | 8.5 |
| Progression Factor |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 |  | 0.1 |  |  | 2.4 | 0.1 | 12.9 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| Delay (s) |  | 43.0 |  |  | 41.2 | 0.1 | 57.5 | 18.4 | 13.0 | 41.5 | 13.5 | 8.5 |
| Level of Service |  | D |  |  | D | A | E | B | B | D | B | A |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 43.0 |  |  | 14.1 |  |  | 18.5 |  |  | 15.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 16.8 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | B |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.60 |  | 27.5 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 90.6 | Sum of lost time (s) | B |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $62.6 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |


|  | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\leftarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 72 | 11 | 257 | 1538 | 52 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio | 0.15 | 0.02 | 0.55 | 0.75 | 0.06 |
| Control Delay | 34.7 | 0.1 | 21.3 | 18.2 | 2.8 |
| Queue Delay | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 34.9 | 0.1 | 22.3 | 18.3 | 2.8 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 37 | 0 | 76 | 383 | 1 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 91 | 0 | 130 | 458 | 16 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 1114 |  | 183 | 276 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  | 90 |  |  | 200 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 492 | 513 | 492 | 3474 | 1517 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 137 | 0 | 0 | 468 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.20 | 0.02 | 0.63 | 0.51 | 0.03 |

Intersection Summary


|  | $\rightarrow$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 855 | 158 | 276 | 136 | 480 | 48 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.56 | 0.31 | 0.45 | 0.23 | 0.42 | 0.09 |
| Control Delay | 27.0 | 35.7 | 7.0 | 26.6 | 28.8 | 3.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 27.0 | 35.8 | 7.0 | 26.6 | 28.8 | 3.6 |
| Queue Length 50th ( ft ) | 225 | 85 | 0 | 66 | 132 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 322 | 177 | 73 | 120 | 190 | 16 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 183 | 215 |  |  | 771 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  |  |  | 710 |  | 285 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 3285 | 545 | 640 | 825 | 1572 | 737 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 403 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.43 | 0.16 | 0.31 | 0.07 |

Intersection Summary


Analysis Period (min)
c Critical Lane Group


5: SB Jefferson Davis Hwy \& Quanitco Gateway Dr

|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\geqslant$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBT | NBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 53 | 50 | 3 | 41 | 948 | 72 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.52 | 0.09 |
| Control Delay | 29.2 | 1.0 | 16.3 | 6.8 | 15.8 | 1.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 29.2 | 1.0 | 16.3 | 6.8 | 15.8 | 1.4 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 16 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 137 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 59 | 0 | m4 | 22 | 277 | 7 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 1150 |  | 25 |  | 1028 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  | 300 |  |  |  | 275 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 1140 | 1043 | 545 | 797 | 3440 | 1498 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 145 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.28 | 0.05 |

Intersection Summary
m Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

c Critical Lane Group

|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\longleftarrow$ | $\dagger$ | $>$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | NBT | NBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 29 | 29 | 3 | 661 | 2 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.02 | 0.29 | 0.00 |
| Control Delay | 6.3 | 6.3 | 28.3 | 6.8 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 6.3 | 6.3 | 28.3 | 6.8 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 2 | 2 | 1 | 44 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 7 | 7 | 9 | 130 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 25 | 201 | 251 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 1058 | 1058 | 411 | 3471 | 1579 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 112 | 112 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.19 | 0.00 |

Intersection Summary


## Appendix E

In-Process and Rerouted
Traffic Volumes



## Appendix F <br> 2020 Background Traffic Conditions Level of Service Worksheets

1: Jefferson Davis Hwy \& Possum Point Rd

|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1 | 39 | 78 | 12 | 1165 | 47 | 82 | 962 | 14 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.01 | 0.22 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.64 | 0.05 | 0.36 | 0.35 | 0.01 |
| Control Delay | 50.0 | 44.5 | 0.1 | 48.6 | 18.2 | 0.1 | 42.9 | 6.8 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 50.0 | 44.5 | 0.1 | 48.6 | 18.2 | 0.1 | 42.9 | 6.8 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 1 | 19 | 0 | 6 | 241 | 0 | 40 | 83 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 7 | 65 | 0 | 31 | 468 | 0 | 112 | 288 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 1167 | 1363 |  |  | 414 |  |  | 1326 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (t) |  |  | 70 | 85 |  | 440 | 335 |  | 250 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 439 | 558 | 1495 | 430 | 2369 | 1103 | 576 | 2834 | 1354 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 |  | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.49 | 0.04 | 0.14 | 0.34 | 0.01 |

Intersection Summary


Analysis Period (min) 15
C Critical Lane Group

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\rightarrow$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  |
|  | EBT | EBR | WBT | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Group | 71 | 11 | 354 | 1094 | 37 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0.15 | 0.02 | 0.59 | 0.70 | 0.06 |  |
| V/c Ratio | 21.2 | 0.1 | 18.9 | 21.1 | 4.4 |  |
| Control Delay | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Queue Delay | 21.4 | 0.1 | 19.4 | 21.1 | 4.4 |  |
| Total Delay | 28 | 0 | 70 | 256 | 0 |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 69 | 0 | 117 | 325 | 16 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 970 |  | 183 | 1910 |  |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 90 |  |  | 200 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 607 | 664 | 677 | 3324 | 1369 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 0 | 0 | 95 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 195 | 0 | 0 | 513 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0.19 | 0.02 | 0.61 | 0.39 | 0.03 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection Summary


3: Fraley Blvd \& Graham Park Rd


Intersection Summary

| Movement EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | * $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 | * | 44 | 「 |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) 126 | 462 | 0 | 0 | 191 | 299 | 150 | 515 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) 126 | 462 | 0 | 0 | 191 | 299 | 150 | 515 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Grade (\%) | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | -8\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Total Lost time (s) | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.95 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 |  |  |  |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Frt | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.99 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3420 |  |  | 1776 | 1504 | 1788 | 3382 | 1418 |  |  |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.82 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 2836 |  |  | 1776 | 1504 | 1788 | 3382 | 1418 |  |  |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) 137 | 502 | 0 | 0 | 208 | 325 | 163 | 560 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 206 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) 0 | 639 | 0 | 0 | 208 | 119 | 163 | 560 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) 1 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) 6\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 7\% | 6\% | 5\% | 11\% | 16\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Turn Type D.P+P | NA |  |  | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases 1 | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases 8 |  |  |  |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 31.2 |  |  | 31.2 | 31.2 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 |  |  |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 31.2 |  |  | 31.2 | 31.2 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 |  |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.37 |  |  | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 |  |  |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 1037 |  |  | 649 | 550 | 840 | 1589 | 666 |  |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  |  |  | 0.12 |  |  | c0.17 |  |  |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | c0.23 |  |  |  | 0.08 | 0.09 |  | 0.02 |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.62 |  |  | 0.32 | 0.22 | 0.19 | 0.35 | 0.05 |  |  |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 22.1 |  |  | 19.4 | 18.6 | 13.2 | 14.4 | 12.3 |  |  |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.48 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 1.5 |  |  | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 |  |  |  |
| Delay (s) | 34.2 |  |  | 20.0 | 19.0 | 13.4 | 14.6 | 12.3 |  |  |  |
| Level of Service | C |  |  | C | B | B | B | B |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 34.2 |  |  | 19.4 |  |  | 14.2 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  | 22.1 | HCM 2000 Level of Service |  |  |  |  | C |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  | 0.52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  | 85.3 | Sum of lost time (s) |  |  |  |  | 21.0 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  | 67.6\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  |  |  | C |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

c Critical Lane Group 15

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  | ¢ $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | ¢ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 71 | 379 | 75 | 41 | 345 | 30 | 97 | 15 | 60 | 34 | 23 | 67 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 71 | 379 | 75 | 41 | 345 | 30 | 97 | 15 | 60 | 34 | 23 | 67 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 76 | 408 | 81 | 44 | 371 | 32 | 104 | 16 | 65 | 37 | 25 | 72 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | EB 2 | WB 1 | WB 2 | NB 1 | NB 2 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 280 | 285 | 230 | 218 | 120 | 65 | 134 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 76 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 104 | 0 | 37 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 0 | 81 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 65 | 72 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | 0.21 | -0.09 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.64 | -0.58 | -0.19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 6.6 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 6.5 | 8.0 | 6.8 | 7.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.51 | 0.50 | 0.43 | 0.39 | 0.27 | 0.12 | 0.26 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 528 | 557 | 516 | 531 | 411 | 487 | 466 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 15.0 | 14.1 | 13.4 | 12.4 | 12.7 | 9.5 | 12.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 14.5 |  | 12.9 |  | 11.6 |  | 12.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  | B |  | B |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 13.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 50.8\% |  | CU Level | f Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | EBT | EBR | NBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group | 53 | 21 | 47 | 579 | 62 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0.18 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.38 | 0.08 |
| v/c Ratio | 20.6 | 0.3 | 5.2 | 13.6 | 0.7 |
| Control Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 20.6 | 0.3 | 5.2 | 13.6 | 0.7 |
| Total Delay | 14 | 0 | 5 | 74 | 0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 40 | 0 | 15 | 122 | 4 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 1316 |  |  | 1028 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 300 |  |  | 275 |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 1298 | 1130 | 899 | 3264 | 1583 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.18 | 0.04 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection Summary

|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\geqslant$ | $\checkmark$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  | $\uparrow$ |  | * |  |  |  | * $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 50 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 533 | 59 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 50 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 533 | 59 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  |  | 7.0 |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.95 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |  | 1810 | 1524 |  |  |  | 1770 |  |  |  | 3266 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.32 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |  | 1810 | 1524 |  |  |  | 594 |  |  |  | 3266 | 1583 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 53 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 561 | 62 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 53 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 579 | 24 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 5\% | 6\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 53\% | 9\% | 2\% |
| Turn Type |  | NA | Perm |  |  |  | pm+pt |  |  | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  | 3 | 3 |  | 5 |  |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  | 6 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) |  | 5.7 | 5.7 |  |  |  | 30.2 |  |  |  | 19.4 | 19.4 |
| Effective Green, g (s) |  | 5.7 | 5.7 |  |  |  | 30.2 |  |  |  | 19.4 | 19.4 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.11 | 0.11 |  |  |  | 0.61 |  |  |  | 0.39 | 0.39 |
| Clearance Time (s) |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  |  | 7.0 |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |  |  | 2.0 |  |  |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) |  | 206 | 174 |  |  |  | 449 |  |  |  | 1269 | 615 |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  | c0.03 |  |  |  |  | c0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.06 |  |  |  | 0.18 | 0.02 |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.26 | 0.01 |  |  |  | 0.10 |  |  |  | 0.46 | 0.04 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 |  | 20.2 | 19.6 |  |  |  | 4.5 |  |  |  | 11.3 | 9.5 |
| Progression Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 |  | 0.2 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Delay (s) |  | 20.4 | 19.6 |  |  |  | 4.6 |  |  |  | 11.4 | 9.5 |
| Level of Service |  | C | B |  |  |  | A |  |  |  | B | A |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 20.2 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 4.6 |  |  | 11.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 11.7 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | B |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.50 |  | 28.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 49.9 | Sum of lost time (s) | A |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $39.4 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |


|  |  | $\rightarrow$ | $\uparrow$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | $\rightarrow$ | $\uparrow$ |
| Lane Group | EBT | NBT |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 36 | 37 | 772 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.37 |
| Control Delay | 7.1 | 7.1 | 6.3 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 7.1 | 7.1 | 6.3 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 4 | 4 | 55 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 12 | 12 | 90 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 25 | 251 |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 1069 | 1069 | 3282 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 113 | 112 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.24 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |



1: Jefferson Davis Hwy \& Possum Point Rd

|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $P$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 36 | 73 | 123 | 11 | 1169 | 61 | 98 | 1460 | 14 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.15 | 0.31 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.73 | 0.09 | 0.38 | 0.60 | 0.01 |
| Control Delay | 1.3 | 48.5 | 0.1 | 55.1 | 26.7 | 0.2 | 47.5 | 15.4 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 1.3 | 48.5 | 0.1 | 55.1 | 26.7 | 0.2 | 47.5 | 15.4 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 0 | 44 | 0 | 7 | 340 | 0 | 58 | 284 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 0 | 106 | 0 | 30 | 502 | 0 | 133 | 548 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 802 | 985 |  |  | 414 |  |  | 1443 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (t) |  |  | 70 | 85 |  | 455 | 335 |  | 250 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 522 | 629 | 1538 | 419 | 2863 | 1171 | 587 | 3156 | 1417 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.41 | 0.05 | 0.17 | 0.46 | 0.01 |

Intersection Summary


|  |  |  | $\leftarrow$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group | EBR | WBT | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 90 | 13 | 297 | 1775 | 66 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.26 | 0.03 | 0.74 | 0.78 | 0.07 |
| Control Delay | 49.3 | 0.2 | 36.7 | 17.8 | 2.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.7 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 50.0 | 0.2 | 38.6 | 18.0 | 2.5 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 62 | 0 | 107 | 504 | 4 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 144 | 0 | $\# 453$ | 576 | 18 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 1114 |  | 183 | 276 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  | 90 |  |  | 200 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 342 | 433 | 399 | 3388 | 1475 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 96 | 0 | 0 | 624 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.37 | 0.03 | 0.81 | 0.64 | 0.04 |

## Intersection Summary

\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.


3: Fraley Blvd \& Graham Park Rd

|  | $\rightarrow$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 952 | 177 | 311 | 160 | 572 | 54 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.68 | 0.40 | 0.52 | 0.22 | 0.42 | 0.08 |
| Control Delay | 35.4 | 50.3 | 8.7 | 28.6 | 30.8 | 5.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 35.4 | 50.8 | 8.7 | 28.7 | 30.8 | 5.0 |
| Queue Length 50th ( ft ) | 317 | 126 | 0 | 85 | 174 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 375 | 256 | 92 | 170 | 283 | 23 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 183 | 215 |  |  | 771 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  |  |  | 710 |  | 285 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 2965 | 439 | 599 | 757 | 1443 | 682 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 406 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 70 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.37 | 0.48 | 0.52 | 0.23 | 0.40 | 0.08 |

Intersection Summary

| Movement EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 44 |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 | * | 44 | 「 |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) 195 | 710 | 0 | 0 | 168 | 295 | 152 | 543 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) 195 | 710 | 0 | 0 | 168 | 295 | 152 | 543 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Grade (\%) | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | -8\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Total Lost time (s) | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.95 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 |  |  |  |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Frt | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.99 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3528 |  |  | 1881 | 1546 | 1859 | 3542 | 1580 |  |  |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.78 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 2770 |  |  | 1881 | 1546 | 1859 | 3542 | 1580 |  |  |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) 205 | 747 | 0 | 0 | 177 | 311 | 160 | 572 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 238 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) 0 | 952 | 0 | 0 | 177 | 73 | 160 | 572 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) 1 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) 2\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 3\% | 1\% | 6\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Turn Type D.P+P | NA |  |  | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases 1 | 18 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases 8 |  |  |  |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 58.9 |  |  | 30.7 | 30.7 | 51.0 | 51.0 | 51.0 |  |  |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 58.9 |  |  | 30.7 | 30.7 | 51.0 | 51.0 | 51.0 |  |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.45 |  |  | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 |  |  |  |
| Clearance Time (s) |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 1409 |  |  | 441 | 362 | 724 | 1380 | 615 |  |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.15 |  |  | 0.09 |  |  | c0.16 |  |  |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | c0.16 |  |  |  | 0.05 | 0.09 |  | 0.01 |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.68 |  |  | 0.40 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.41 | 0.03 |  |  |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 28.5 |  |  | 42.3 | 40.3 | 26.7 | 29.1 | 24.7 |  |  |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.23 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 1.5 |  |  | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| Delay (s) | 36.4 |  |  | 43.6 | 40.8 | 27.0 | 29.5 | 24.8 |  |  |  |
| Level of Service | D |  |  | D | D | C | C | C |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 36.4 |  |  | 41.8 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  | 34.9 | HCM 2000 Level of Service |  |  |  |  | C |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  | 0.55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  | 130.9 | Sum of lost time (s) |  |  |  |  | 21.0 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  | 76.4\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  |  |  | D |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Analysis Period (min)
c Critical Lane Group

|  | $y$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\geqslant$ | $\dagger$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $>$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | * ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | * ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | * |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 165 | 450 | 177 | 22 | 265 | 22 | 120 | 31 | 42 | 19 | 28 | 65 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 165 | 450 | 177 | 22 | 265 | 22 | 120 | 31 | 42 | 19 | 28 | 65 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 172 | 469 | 184 | 23 | 276 | 23 | 125 | 32 | 44 | 20 | 29 | 68 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | EB 2 | WB 1 | WB 2 | NB 1 | NB 2 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 407 | 419 | 161 | 161 | 157 | 44 | 117 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 172 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 0 | 184 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 44 | 68 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | 0.21 | -0.31 | 0.10 | -0.05 | 0.40 | -0.70 | -0.24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 6.4 | 5.9 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 7.9 | 6.8 | 7.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.72 | 0.68 | 0.31 | 0.30 | 0.34 | 0.08 | 0.23 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 550 | 594 | 494 | 504 | 423 | 492 | 466 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 23.2 | 19.5 | 11.8 | 11.5 | 13.7 | 9.2 | 12.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 21.3 |  | 11.7 |  | 12.7 |  | 12.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  | B |  | B |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 17.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 57.1\% |  | ICU Level | Service |  |  | B |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

5: SB Jefferson Davis Hwy \& Quantico Gateway Dr

|  | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ | 4 | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBT | NBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 68 | 51 | 3 | 42 | 1035 | 87 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.25 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 0.11 | 0.55 | 0.10 |
| Control Delay | 31.0 | 0.9 | 17.0 | 6.9 | 16.1 | 2.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 31.0 | 0.9 | 17.0 | 6.9 | 16.1 | 2.2 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 22 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 157 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 78 | 0 | m5 | 24 | 340 | 17 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 1150 |  | 25 |  | 1028 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  | 300 |  |  |  | 275 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 1104 | 1020 | 521 | 763 | 3399 | 1481 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.30 | 0.06 |

Intersection Summary
m Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  | $\uparrow$ |  | \% |  |  |  | 4 $\uparrow$ | 7 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 63 | 47 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 945 | 80 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 63 | 47 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 945 | 80 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 |  | 7.0 |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 |  | 0.95 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |  | 1792 | 1583 |  | 1805 |  | 1805 |  |  |  | 3538 | 1538 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 |  | 0.14 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |  | 1792 | 1583 |  | 1805 |  | 275 |  |  |  | 3538 | 1538 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 68 | 51 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1027 | 87 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 68 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1035 | 37 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 6\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 5\% |
| Turn Type |  | NA | Perm | Split | NA |  | pm+pt |  |  | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  | 3 | 3 |  | 5 |  |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  | 6 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) |  | 6.6 | 6.6 |  | 0.9 |  | 39.5 |  |  |  | 28.7 | 28.7 |
| Effective Green, g (s) |  | 6.6 | 6.6 |  | 0.9 |  | 39.5 |  |  |  | 28.7 | 28.7 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.10 | 0.10 |  | 0.01 |  | 0.58 |  |  |  | 0.42 | 0.42 |
| Clearance Time (s) |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 |  | 7.0 |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 |  | 2.0 |  |  |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) |  | 173 | 153 |  | 23 |  | 245 |  |  |  | 1493 | 649 |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  | c0.04 |  |  | c0.00 |  | c0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.09 |  |  |  | 0.29 | 0.02 |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.39 | 0.03 |  | 0.13 |  | 0.17 |  |  |  | 0.69 | 0.06 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 |  | 28.8 | 27.8 |  | 33.2 |  | 8.4 |  |  |  | 16.1 | 11.6 |
| Progression Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.51 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 |  | 0.5 | 0.0 |  | 0.9 |  | 0.1 |  |  |  | 1.1 | 0.0 |
| Delay (s) |  | 29.4 | 27.8 |  | 17.9 |  | 8.6 |  |  |  | 17.2 | 11.6 |
| Level of Service |  | C | C |  | B |  | A |  |  |  | B | B |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 28.7 |  |  | 17.9 |  |  | 8.6 |  |  | 16.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 17.6 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | B |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.58 |  | 28.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 68.0 | Sum of lost time (s) | B |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $55.5 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |


|  |  | $\rightarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | EBL | EBT | WBT | NBT | NBR |
| Lane Group | 37 | 38 | 3 | 755 | 2 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.02 | 0.33 | 0.00 |
| v/c Ratio | 6.4 | 6.4 | 30.7 | 7.0 | 0.0 |
| Control Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 6.4 | 6.4 | 30.7 | 7.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 2 | 2 | 1 | 54 | 0 |
| Queue Length 50th (tt) | 8 | 8 | 10 | 156 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  | 25 | 201 | 251 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 1015 | 1015 | 394 | 3471 | 1615 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 110 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.22 | 0.00 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0 |  |  |  |  |

Intersection Summary


## Appendix G

2020 Total Traffic Conditions Level of Service Worksheets

1: Jefferson Davis Hwy \& Possum Point Rd

|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1 | 39 | 78 | 12 | 1298 | 47 | 82 | 1038 | 14 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.01 | 0.27 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.64 | 0.05 | 0.42 | 0.37 | 0.01 |
| Control Delay | 53.0 | 49.9 | 0.1 | 52.8 | 17.5 | 0.1 | 49.8 | 6.6 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 53.0 | 49.9 | 0.1 | 52.8 | 17.5 | 0.1 | 49.8 | 6.6 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 1 | 24 | 0 | 7 | 287 | 0 | 49 | 93 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 7 | 65 | 0 | 31 | 556 | 0 | 112 | 320 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 1167 | 1363 |  |  | 414 |  |  | 1326 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (f) |  |  | 70 | 85 |  | 440 | 335 |  | 250 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 361 | 460 | 1495 | 354 | 2051 | 977 | 474 | 2780 | 1330 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.63 | 0.05 | 0.17 | 0.37 | 0.01 |

Intersection Summary


|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\rangle$ | $\leftarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 77 | 11 | 440 | 1170 | 37 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio | 0.14 | 0.02 | 0.80 | 0.74 | 0.06 |
| Control Delay | 23.2 | 0.1 | 38.9 | 22.9 | 4.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.3 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 23.5 | 0.1 | 43.0 | 23.0 | 4.1 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 30 | 0 | 243 | 284 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 74 | 0 | \#466 | 355 | 15 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 970 |  | 183 | 276 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  | 90 |  |  | 200 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 539 | 602 | 552 | 3324 | 1369 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 187 | 0 | 0 | 588 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.22 | 0.02 | 0.89 | 0.43 | 0.03 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |




Intersection Summary


Analysis Period (min)
c Critical Lane Group

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  | ¢ $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | ¢ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 71 | 379 | 75 | 41 | 345 | 30 | 97 | 15 | 60 | 34 | 23 | 67 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 71 | 379 | 75 | 41 | 345 | 30 | 97 | 15 | 60 | 34 | 23 | 67 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 76 | 408 | 81 | 44 | 371 | 32 | 104 | 16 | 65 | 37 | 25 | 72 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | EB 2 | WB 1 | WB 2 | NB 1 | NB 2 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 280 | 285 | 230 | 218 | 120 | 65 | 134 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 76 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 104 | 0 | 37 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 0 | 81 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 65 | 72 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | 0.21 | -0.09 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.64 | -0.58 | -0.19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 6.6 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 6.5 | 8.0 | 6.8 | 7.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.51 | 0.50 | 0.43 | 0.39 | 0.27 | 0.12 | 0.26 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 528 | 557 | 516 | 531 | 411 | 487 | 466 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 15.0 | 14.1 | 13.4 | 12.4 | 12.7 | 9.5 | 12.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 14.5 |  | 12.9 |  | 11.6 |  | 12.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  | B |  | B |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 13.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 50.8\% |  | CU Level | f Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 4 | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | NBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 53 | 21 | 47 | 722 | 62 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.17 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.47 | 0.07 |
| Control Delay | 21.9 | 0.3 | 5.5 | 14.8 | 0.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 21.9 | 0.3 | 5.5 | 14.8 | 0.4 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 14 | 0 | 5 | 101 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 45 | 0 | 17 | 169 | 3 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 1316 |  |  | 1028 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  | 300 |  |  | 275 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 1249 | 1093 | 864 | 3081 | 1546 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 143 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.25 | 0.04 |

Intersection Summary

|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\downarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  | $\uparrow$ |  | \% |  |  |  | * $\uparrow$ | 7 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 50 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 614 | 59 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 50 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 614 | 59 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  |  | 7.0 |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.95 |  |  |  | 0.99 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |  | 1810 | 1524 |  |  |  | 1770 |  |  |  | 3160 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.27 |  |  |  | 0.99 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |  | 1810 | 1524 |  |  |  | 495 |  |  |  | 3160 | 1583 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 53 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 646 | 62 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 53 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 722 | 25 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 5\% | 6\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 53\% | 9\% | 2\% |
| Turn Type |  | NA | Perm |  |  |  | pm+pt |  |  | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  | 3 | 3 |  | 5 |  |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  | 6 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) |  | 6.5 | 6.5 |  |  |  | 32.2 |  |  |  | 21.4 | 21.4 |
| Effective Green, g (s) |  | 6.5 | 6.5 |  |  |  | 32.2 |  |  |  | 21.4 | 21.4 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.12 | 0.12 |  |  |  | 0.61 |  |  |  | 0.41 | 0.41 |
| Clearance Time (s) |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  |  | 7.0 |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |  |  | 2.0 |  |  |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) |  | 223 | 187 |  |  |  | 394 |  |  |  | 1283 | 642 |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  | c0.03 |  |  |  |  | c0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.06 |  |  |  | 0.23 | 0.02 |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.24 | 0.01 |  |  |  | 0.12 |  |  |  | 0.56 | 0.04 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 |  | 20.9 | 20.3 |  |  |  | 4.8 |  |  |  | 12.0 | 9.4 |
| Progression Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 |  | 0.2 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Delay (s) |  | 21.1 | 20.3 |  |  |  | 4.9 |  |  |  | 12.4 | 9.5 |
| Level of Service |  | C | C |  |  |  | A |  |  |  | B | A |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 20.8 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 12.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 12.5 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | B |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.57 |  | 28.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 52.7 | Sum of lost time (s) | A |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $43.2 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |


|  |  | $\rightarrow$ | $\uparrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | NBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 66 | 67 | 823 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.39 |
| Control Delay | 13.6 | 13.7 | 6.7 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 13.6 | 13.7 | 6.7 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 14 | 15 | 62 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 43 | 43 | 108 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 25 | 251 |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 1029 | 1029 | 3282 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 133 | 132 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.25 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |



|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ | $\longleftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  |  | 「 |  | ¢ $\uparrow$ | 「 |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 232 | 2 | 694 | 127 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 232 | 2 | 694 | 127 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 252 | 2 | 754 | 138 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | None |  |  | None |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1023 |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 633 | 896 | 0 | 758 | 758 | 377 | 0 |  |  | 892 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 633 | 896 | 0 | 758 | 758 | 377 | 0 |  |  | 892 |  |  |
| tC , single (s) | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 99 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 59 | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 216 | 278 | 1084 | 296 | 335 | 621 | 1622 |  |  | 756 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | NB 2 | NB 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 2 | 252 | 253 | 503 | 138 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 252 | 0 | 0 | 138 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 216 | 621 | 1622 | 1700 | 1700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.01 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 1 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 21.8 | 14.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | C | B | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 21.8 | 14.7 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 46.9\% |  | CU Level | Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



1: Jefferson Davis Hwy \& Possum Point Rd

|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 36 | 73 | 123 | 11 | 1275 | 61 | 98 | 1562 | 14 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.16 | 0.38 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.78 | 0.08 | 0.44 | 0.66 | 0.01 |
| Control Delay | 1.5 | 60.1 | 0.1 | 65.2 | 29.2 | 0.2 | 59.0 | 17.0 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 1.5 | 60.1 | 0.1 | 65.2 | 29.2 | 0.2 | 59.0 | 17.0 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 0 | 52 | 0 | 8 | 430 | 0 | 69 | 352 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 0 | 122 | 0 | 33 | 610 | 0 | 151 | 656 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 802 | 985 |  |  | 414 |  |  | 1443 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (t) |  |  | 70 | 85 |  | 455 | 335 |  | 250 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 462 | 458 | 1495 | 353 | 2333 | 1084 | 472 | 2667 | 1279 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.08 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.55 | 0.06 | 0.21 | 0.59 | 0.01 |

Intersection Summary


2: Main St \& Curtis Dr/Graham Park Rd

|  | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\leftarrow$ | $\dagger$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 90 | 13 | 367 | 1876 | 66 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.32 | 0.04 | 1.19 | 0.81 | 0.07 |
| Control Delay | 60.7 | 0.2 | 142.1 | 18.2 | 2.3 |
| Queue Delay | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 62.0 | 0.2 | 142.5 | 18.6 | 2.3 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 74 | 0 | $\sim 405$ | 598 | 5 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 167 | 0 | \#786 | 671 | 18 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 1114 |  | 183 | 1910 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  | 90 |  |  | 200 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 280 | 356 | 308 | 3069 | 1265 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 82 | 0 | 0 | 557 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.45 | 0.04 | 1.23 | 0.75 | 0.05 |

## Intersection Summary

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.


|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 965 | 209 | 359 | 198 | 628 | 62 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.72 | 0.57 | 0.60 | 0.27 | 0.46 | 0.10 |
| Control Delay | 39.7 | 65.0 | 10.4 | 32.7 | 34.8 | 6.9 |
| Queue Delay | 0.1 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 39.8 | 74.0 | 10.4 | 32.9 | 34.8 | 6.9 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 341 | 181 | 0 | 123 | 223 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 402 | 351 | 108 | 238 | 365 | 32 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 183 | 215 |  |  | 771 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  |  |  | 710 |  | 285 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 2588 | 367 | 595 | 724 | 1371 | 612 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 432 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 122 | 0 | 162 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.45 | 0.85 | 0.60 | 0.35 | 0.46 | 0.10 |

Intersection Summary


Analysis Period (min)
c Critical Lane Group


5: SB Jefferson Davis Hwy \& Quantico Gateway Dr

|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\geqslant$ | $\longleftarrow$ | 4 | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBT | NBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 68 | 51 | 3 | 42 | 1200 | 87 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.24 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 0.14 | 0.76 | 0.10 |
| Control Delay | 37.0 | 1.0 | 22.7 | 7.3 | 20.7 | 1.7 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 37.0 | 1.0 | 22.7 | 7.3 | 20.7 | 1.7 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 27 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 226 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 93 | 0 | m5 | 26 | 482 | 15 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 1150 |  | 25 |  | 1028 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  | 300 |  |  |  | 275 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 864 | 789 | 431 | 617 | 2921 | 1459 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 362 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.47 | 0.06 |

Intersection Summary
$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | 4 | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ |  | * |  |  |  | ¢ $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 63 | 47 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 1012 | 80 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 63 | 47 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 1012 | 80 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 |  | 7.0 |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 |  | 0.95 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |  | 1810 | 1524 |  | 1805 |  | 1770 |  |  |  | 3191 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 |  | 0.10 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |  | 1810 | 1524 |  | 1805 |  | 192 |  |  |  | 3191 | 1583 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 68 | 51 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 1100 | 87 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 68 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1200 | 39 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 5\% | 6\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 53\% | 9\% | 2\% |
| Turn Type |  | NA | Perm | Split | NA |  | pm+pt |  |  | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  | 3 | 3 |  | 5 |  |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  | 6 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) |  | 11.2 | 11.2 |  | 0.8 |  | 46.6 |  |  |  | 35.5 | 35.5 |
| Effective Green, g (s) |  | 11.2 | 11.2 |  | 0.8 |  | 46.6 |  |  |  | 35.5 | 35.5 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.14 | 0.14 |  | 0.01 |  | 0.59 |  |  |  | 0.45 | 0.45 |
| Clearance Time (s) |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 |  | 7.0 |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 |  | 2.0 |  |  |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) |  | 254 | 214 |  | 18 |  | 193 |  |  |  | 1423 | 705 |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  | c0.04 |  |  | c0.00 |  | c0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.12 |  |  |  | 0.38 | 0.02 |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.27 | 0.03 |  | 0.17 |  | 0.22 |  |  |  | 0.84 | 0.06 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 |  | 30.5 | 29.5 |  | 39.1 |  | 11.0 |  |  |  | 19.6 | 12.5 |
| Progression Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.51 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 |  | 0.2 | 0.0 |  | 1.6 |  | 0.2 |  |  |  | 4.6 | 0.0 |
| Delay (s) |  | 30.7 | 29.6 |  | 21.6 |  | 11.2 |  |  |  | 24.1 | 12.5 |
| Level of Service |  | C | C |  | C |  | B |  |  |  | C | B |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 30.2 |  |  | 21.6 |  |  | 11.2 |  |  | 23.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 23.6 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | C |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.66 |  | 28.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 79.6 | Sum of lost time (s) | B |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $59.8 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |


|  |  |  | $\longrightarrow$ | CBL | EBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | WBT | NBT | NBR |  |  |
| Lane Group | 83 | 84 | 3 | 825 | 2 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.01 | 0.42 | 0.00 |
| v/c Ratio | 26.9 | 26.9 | 40.0 | 8.3 | 0.0 |
| Control Delay | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 26.9 | 27.0 | 40.0 | 8.3 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 26 | 26 | 1 | 73 | 0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 78 | 78 | 12 | 196 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  | 25 | 201 | 251 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 712 | 712 | 434 | 3181 | 1567 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 119 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.26 | 0.00 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection Summary


|  | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movement |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  |  | $\mathbf{F}$ |  | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |  |  |
| Lane Configurations | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 101 | 0 | 806 | 152 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 101 | 0 | 806 | 152 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Sign Control | $0 \%$ |  |  | $0 \%$ |  |  | $0 \%$ |  |  | $0 \%$ |  |  |
| Grade |  |  | 0.92 | 0.9 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0 | 0 | 0.92 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 110 | 0 | 822 | 155 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Pedestrians

Lane Width (ft)
Walking Speed (ft/s)
Percent Blockage
Right turn flare (veh)

| Median type | None | None |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |

Upstream

| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| vC, conflicting volume | 521 | 977 | 0 | 822 | 822 | 411 | 0 | 977 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 977 |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 521 | 977 | 0 | 822 | 822 | 411 | 0 | 4.1 |
| tC, single (s) | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 4.1 | 2.2 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 100 |
| tF (s) | 99 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 82 | 100 | 702 |
| p0 queue free \% | 357 | 249 | 1084 | 269 | 307 | 596 | 1622 |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | NB 2 | NB 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume Total | 2 | 110 | 274 | 548 | 155 |  |
| Volume Left | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 155 |  |
| cSH | 357 | 596 | 1622 | 1700 | 1700 |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.01 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.09 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 15.1 | 12.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Lane LOS | C | B |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 15.1 | 12.4 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C | B |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 1.3 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 41.9\% |  | CU Level of Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |



## Appendix H

2020 Background \& Total Traffic Conditions SimTraffic

Intersection: 1: Jefferson Davis Hwy \& Possum Point Rd

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LT | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue ( ft$)$ | 16 | 90 | 44 | 260 | 263 | 6 | 120 | 204 | 190 | 25 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{ft})$ | 1 | 33 | 12 | 110 | 113 | 0 | 49 | 62 | 48 | 2 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 9 | 75 | 36 | 208 | 210 | 6 | 91 | 144 | 128 | 12 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1188 | 1396 |  | 449 | 449 |  |  | 1375 | 1375 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist ( ft$)$ |  |  | 85 |  |  | 440 | 335 |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 2 |  | 9 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: Main St \& Curtis Dr/Graham Park Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | SB | SB | SB | B363 | B363 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | R | LTR | LT | T | R | T | T |
| Maximum Queue ( ft$)$ | 209 | 49 | 229 | 1971 | 1974 | 35 | 1183 | 1186 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{ft})$ | 71 | 5 | 137 | 1542 | 1398 | 3 | 370 | 370 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 182 | 44 | 249 | 2451 | 2486 | 18 | 1248 | 1252 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 971 |  | 214 | 1902 | 1902 |  | 1592 | 1592 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 9 | 44 | 38 |  | 3 | 3 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 30 | 223 | 193 |  | 14 | 14 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 90 |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 14 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 3: Fraley Blvd \& Graham Park Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | T | T | R | L | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 238 | 251 | 356 | 112 | 164 | 165 | 186 | 72 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 218 | 210 | 178 | 56 | 57 | 73 | 84 | 15 |
| 95th Queue ( ft$)$ | 244 | 256 | 339 | 89 | 129 | 145 | 157 | 50 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 214 | 214 | 1187 | 1187 |  | 784 | 784 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 47 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 129 | 82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 4: Old Triangle Rd \& Graham Park Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | TR | LT | TR | LT | R | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 162 | 177 | 91 | 108 | 91 | 69 | 87 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 76 | 86 | 48 | 48 | 43 | 29 | 39 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 133 | 145 | 78 | 83 | 74 | 52 | 67 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1187 | 1187 | 441 | 441 | 855 |  | 859 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 5: SB Jefferson Davis Hwy \& Quantico Gateway Dr

| Movement | EB | EB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | R | L | LT | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 81 | 44 | 57 | 134 | 142 | 52 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 26 | 10 | 17 | 44 | 55 | 15 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 58 | 31 | 43 | 109 | 120 | 40 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1333 |  | 212 | 1044 | 1044 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 300 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 6: NB Jefferson Davis Hwy \& Quantico Gateway Dr

| Movement | EB | EB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LT | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 64 | 38 | 150 | 153 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 15 | 3 | 63 | 63 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 48 | 20 | 124 | 118 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 60 | 60 | 242 | 242 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Zone Summary |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 1: Jefferson Davis Hwy \& Possum Point Rd

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LT | R | L | T | T | R | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 65 | 114 | 32 | 84 | 345 | 373 | 88 | 148 | 309 | 303 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 21 | 51 | 1 | 12 | 151 | 164 | 3 | 63 | 147 | 139 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 51 | 96 | 23 | 50 | 281 | 302 | 64 | 119 | 266 | 265 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 821 | 1018 |  |  | 449 | 449 |  |  | 1493 | 1493 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 70 | 85 |  |  | 455 | 335 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 6 |  |  | 14 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 7 |  |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

Intersection: 2: Main St \& Curtis Dr/Graham Park Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | SB | SB | SB | B363 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | R | LTR | LT | T | R | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 274 | 82 | 237 | 970 | 816 | 297 | 2 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 102 | 5 | 187 | 395 | 281 | 34 | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 238 | 42 | 284 | 812 | 635 | 166 | 2 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1114 |  | 220 | 1902 | 1902 |  | 1592 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 63 |  |  | 200 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 90 |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 22 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 3: Fraley Blvd \& Graham Park Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | B260 | NB | NB | NB | NB | B132 | B7 | B7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | LT | T | T | R | T | L | T | T | R | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 233 | 237 | 273 | 95 | 106 | 451 | 422 | 425 | 128 | 13 | 13 | 11 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 187 | 171 | 178 | 55 | 13 | 213 | 197 | 204 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 260 | 261 | 292 | 83 | 72 | 490 | 404 | 390 | 79 | 19 | 6 | 0 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 220 | 220 | 204 | 204 | 209 |  | 783 | 783 |  | 54 | 1813 | 1813 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 14 | 8 | 19 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 57 | 32 | 43 |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  | 710 |  |  | 285 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 4: Old Triangle Rd \& Graham Park Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | LT | TR | LT | TR | LT | R | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (tt) | 194 | 224 | 69 | 91 | 77 | 45 | 87 |
| Average Queue (t) | 98 | 105 | 36 | 43 | 41 | 21 | 39 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 168 | 185 | 57 | 72 | 64 | 39 | 69 |
| Link Distance (t) | 678 | 678 | 380 | 380 | 505 |  | 470 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (tt) |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |  |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |

## Intersection: 5: SB Jefferson Davis Hwy \& Quantico Gateway Dr

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | R | LT | L | LT | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 90 | 54 | 8 | 60 | 245 | 256 | 55 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 31 | 18 | 1 | 21 | 83 | 100 | 18 |
| 95th Queue ( ft ) | 67 | 41 | 7 | 50 | 181 | 196 | 47 |
| Link Distance ( ft ) | 1167 |  | 60 |  | 1044 | 1044 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 275 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 300 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |

## Intersection: 6: NB Jefferson Davis Hwy \& Quantico Gateway Dr

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | NB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LT | TR | LT | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (tt) | 33 | 18 | 45 | 146 | 117 | 10 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 8 | 1 | 5 | 100 | 40 | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 27 | 10 | 26 | 158 | 94 | 4 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 60 | 60 | 225 |  |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zone Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 1: Jefferson Davis Hwy \& Possum Point Rd

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LT | R | L | T | T | L | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue ( (tt) | 18 | 113 | 16 | 60 | 292 | 288 | 133 | 198 | 187 | 23 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 36 | 1 | 12 | 131 | 136 | 51 | 75 | 58 | 3 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 9 | 85 | 17 | 42 | 241 | 242 | 101 | 168 | 147 | 16 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1188 | 1396 |  |  | 449 | 449 |  | 1375 | 1375 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 335 |  |  | 250 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 70 | 85 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 4 |  | 0 | 12 |  |  |  | 0 |  |

Intersection: 2: Main St \& Curtis Dr/Graham Park Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | SB | SB | SB | B363 | B363 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | R | LTR | LT | T | R | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 159 | 40 | 235 | 1988 | 1998 | 89 | 1087 | 1094 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 57 | 4 | 222 | 1543 | 1363 | 6 | 343 | 342 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 122 | 25 | 251 | 2411 | 2425 | 50 | 1241 | 1247 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 971 |  | 220 | 1902 | 1902 |  | 1592 | 1592 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 58 | 41 | 34 |  | 4 | 4 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 243 | 205 | 170 |  | 21 | 21 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 90 |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 8 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 3: Fraley Blvd \& Graham Park Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | T | T | R | L | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 243 | 255 | 356 | 100 | 744 | 761 | 645 | 92 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 222 | 212 | 187 | 44 | 524 | 337 | 191 | 19 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 240 | 252 | 319 | 78 | 927 | 956 | 625 | 61 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 220 | 220 | 645 | 645 |  | 928 | 928 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 49 | 32 |  |  |  | 14 | 1 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 137 | 91 |  |  |  | 62 | 3 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  | 710 |  |  | 330 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 29 | 1 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 89 | 2 |  |  |

## Intersection: 4: Old Triangle Rd \& Graham Park Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | TR | LT | TR | LT | R | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 156 | 150 | 96 | 88 | 85 | 69 | 84 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 75 | 81 | 50 | 46 | 44 | 30 | 41 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 128 | 132 | 84 | 74 | 73 | 56 | 68 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 469 | 469 | 441 | 441 | 855 |  | 859 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 5: SB Jefferson Davis Hwy \& Quantico Gateway Dr

| Movement | EB | EB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | R | L | LT | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 76 | 57 | 54 | 193 | 170 | 48 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 24 | 10 | 19 | 68 | 71 | 14 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 54 | 34 | 46 | 146 | 134 | 38 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1333 |  | 212 | 1044 | 1044 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 300 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 6: NB Jefferson Davis Hwy \& Quantico Gateway Dr

| Movement | EB | EB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LT | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 73 | 61 | 146 | 152 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 32 | 17 | 73 | 73 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 66 | 51 | 132 | 133 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 60 | 60 | 242 | 242 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 2 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  | 2 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 0 |

Intersection: 7: Fraley Blvd \& VDOT Driveway/Site Driveway

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB | NB |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | LT | R | LT | T | R |  |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 24 | 209 | 144 | 146 | 40 |  |
| Average Queue (tt) | 1 | 87 | 36 | 22 | 1 |  |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 12 | 227 | 178 | 144 | 29 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) | 205 | 286 | 1702 | 1702 |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (tt) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 0 | 100 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 8: Site Driveway \& Graham Park Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | TR | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 5 | 4 | 29 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 5 | 4 | 26 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 645 | 645 | 268 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

## Zone Summary

Zone wide Queuing Penalty: 1050

Intersection: 1: Jefferson Davis Hwy \& Possum Point Rd

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LT | R | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue ( (tt) | 64 | 176 | 84 | 86 | 428 | 421 | 5 | 170 | 396 | 385 | 59 |
| Average Queue (tt) | 21 | 63 | 2 | 13 | 192 | 198 | 0 | 75 | 167 | 161 | 4 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 53 | 132 | 35 | 56 | 357 | 361 | 5 | 141 | 314 | 305 | 41 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 821 | 1018 |  |  | 449 | 449 |  |  | 1493 | 1493 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 250 |
| Storage Bay Dist (tt) |  | 12 | 70 | 85 |  | 0 | 20 | 0 |  | 335 | 1 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 12 |  | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |

Intersection: 2: Main St \& Curtis Dr/Graham Park Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | LT | R | LTR | LT | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (tt) | 213 | 75 | 240 | 1222 | 1168 | 252 |
| Average Queue (t) | 88 | 5 | 222 | 529 | 404 | 27 |
| 95th Queue (t) | 182 | 33 | 258 | 1023 | 899 | 141 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1114 |  | 220 | 1902 | 1902 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 34 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 133 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (tt) |  | 90 |  |  |  | 200 |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) | 18 |  |  |  | 11 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 2 |  |  |  | 7 |  |

Intersection: 3: Fraley Blvd \& Graham Park Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | B260 | B260 | B142 | NB | NB | NB | NB | B132 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | T | T | R | T | T | T | L | T | T | $R$ | T |
| Maximum Queue ( (tt) | 235 | 237 | 307 | 174 | 306 | 213 | 147 | 783 | 852 | 792 | 153 | 120 |
| Average Queue (tt) | 193 | 174 | 273 | 66 | 243 | 52 | 89 | 656 | 631 | 327 | 23 | 53 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 267 | 263 | 307 | 135 | 378 | 195 | 179 | 953 | 1096 | 727 | 107 | 141 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 220 | 220 | 204 | 204 | 209 | 209 | 69 |  | 783 | 783 |  | 51 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 16 | 8 | 91 | 1 | 75 | 0 | 61 | 34 | 46 | 0 |  | 42 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 65 | 34 | 268 | 2 | 216 | 1 | 176 | 0 | 208 | 1 | 285 | 190 |
| Storage Bay Dist (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 710 |  | 58 | 5 | 5 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 170 | 10 | 3 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 3: Fraley Blvd \& Graham Park Rd

| Movement | B132 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 12 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 13 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 51 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

## Intersection: 4: Old Triangle Rd \& Graham Park Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | TR | LT | TR | LT | R | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 233 | 226 | 171 | 182 | 197 | 88 | 119 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 99 | 103 | 60 | 59 | 78 | 25 | 48 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 185 | 188 | 150 | 159 | 250 | 64 | 109 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 552 | 552 | 380 | 380 | 505 |  | 470 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 1 | 1 | 4 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 7 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 3 | 0 |  |

Intersection: 5: SB Jefferson Davis Hwy \& Quantico Gateway Dr

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | R | LT | L | LT | T | R |
| Maximum Queue ( ft$)$ | 102 | 62 | 6 | 63 | 348 | 318 | 91 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{ft})$ | 32 | 20 | 0 | 23 | 144 | 134 | 21 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 71 | 47 | 4 | 54 | 293 | 257 | 66 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1167 |  | 60 |  | 1044 | 1044 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 275 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 300 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |

## Intersection: 6: NB Jefferson Davis Hwy \& Quantico Gateway Dr

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | NB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LT | TR | LT | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 76 | 75 | 27 | 165 | 149 | 5 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 45 | 32 | 2 | 109 | 61 | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 77 | 72 | 16 | 169 | 128 | 3 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 60 | 60 | 225 |  |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 9 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 7 | 2 |  |  | 100 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |

## Intersection: 7: Fraley Blvd \& VDOT Driveway/Site Driveway

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | R | LT | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 28 | 338 | 567 | 551 | 40 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 2 | 131 | 161 | 145 | 4 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 15 | 400 | 517 | 491 | 52 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 223 | 475 | 1811 | 1811 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 13 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 2 |  |

Intersection: 8: Site Driveway \& Graham Park Rd

| Movement | WB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | T | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 383 | 368 | 346 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 185 | 126 | 193 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 508 | 458 | 428 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 552 | 552 | 352 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 7 | 5 | 37 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 16 | 11 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |

## Zone Summary
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## Appendix I

Turn Lane
Warrant Analyses

Fraley Boulevard Driveway, AM


Graham Park Road Driveway, AM



Graham Park Road Driveway, PM


# PLAT IS RECORDED AS 

INSTR. \#2018


GPIN Nos: 8188-77-5398; 8188-78-5384; 8188-78-8257; and 8188-78-8432
NOTICE TO CLERK: No monetary consideration is being paid in connection with this Deed of Subdivision and title is not being transferred.

NOTICE TO TAX ASSESSOR: Each parcel hereby created shall constitute separate tax map parcels and shall be separately assessed and taxed by the applicable governmental authorities.

## DEED OF SUBDIVISION

THIS DEED OF SUBDIVISION is made effective as of October _ , 2018, by TOWNSQUARE AT DUMFRIES, LLC, a Virginia limited liability company (hereinafter referred to as "Owner"), to be indexed as "Grantor" and "Grantee"; JAMES W. MCALISTER, JR., Trustees), (hereinafter referred to as "Trustee"); and THE FIRST BANK AND TRUST COMPANY (hereinafter referred to as "Lender").

## RECITALS:

A. Owner is the owner of certain real property situated in Prince William County, Virginia, identified as Prince William County Tax Map Nos. 8188-77-5398; 8188-785384; 8188-78-8257; and 8188-78-8432 (the "Property"), as shown on the plat entitled "PLAT SHOWING CONSOLIDATION AND SUBDIVISION TOWNSQUARE TOWN OF DUMFRIES PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY, VIRGINIA," dated August 27, 2018 and prepared by The Engineering Groupe Inc. (the "Plat"), attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit A, being the property conveyed to Owner by Deed recorded in the Clerk's Office, Circuit Court, Prince William County, Virginia (the "Clerk's Office") as Instrument Number 201706220047468.
B. The Property is encumbered by the lien of that certain Deed of Trust made by Owner to Trustee and securing Lender, dated August 30, 2017 and recorded in the Clerk's Office as Instrument No. 201708310066417 (the "Deed of Trust").
C. Owner desires, with the consent and approval of the Trustees) and Lender, as evidenced by their signatures affixed hereto, to divide the Property into five (5) separate parcels, as shown on the Plat.

## AGREEMENT:

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the premises and the sum of One Dollar ( $\$ 1.00$ ), cash in hand paid, receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged Owner hereby divides the Property into five (5) distinct parcels: (i) the first containing 8.9590 acres more or less and shown as "Parcel A" on the Plat, (ii) the second containing 13.7705 acres more or less
and shown as "Parcel B" on the Plat, (iii) the third containing 3.6431 acres more or less and shown as "Parcel C" on the Plat, (iv) the fourth containing 1.5207 acres more or less and shown as "Parcel D" on the Plat, and (v) the fifth containing 0.6847 acres more or less and shown as "Parcel E" on the Plat.

This Deed of Subdivision is made in accordance with the statutes made and provided in such cases, and is with the free consent and in accordance with the desire of Owner, the owner of the land within the bounds of the Property depicted on the Plat.

The Recitals are hereby incorporated into this Deed of Subdivision.
[Signature Page to Follow]

WITNESS the following signatures and seals:

## OWNER:

TOWNSQUARE AT DUMFRIES, LC a Virginia limited liability company

By: Community Housing Partners Corporation, a Virginia nonstock corporation,


Title: Assistant vice president

## STATE/COMMONWEALTH OF

$\qquad$
COUNTY/ETAY OF $\qquad$ Henrico , to-wit:

I, the undersigned Notary Public of and for the jurisdiction aforesaid, do hereby certify that SAMANTHA BROLND , as ASSISTANT V.P. of Community Housing Partners Corporation, as the Managing Member of Townsquare at Dumfries, LLC, whose name is signed to the foregoing Deed dated October 24, 2018, has this date appeared before me, and acknowledged the same.

Given under my hand and seal this $24^{T N}$ day of OCTOBER , 2018.


Notary Public
My commission expires: $\qquad$ .
[SIGNATURES CONTINUE ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE] [REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

## TRUSTEE:

By:
 (SEAL)

State/Commonwealth of Virgima
city/county of Montgomen , to wit:
I, the undersigned Notary Public of and for the jurisdiction aforesaid, do hereby certify that James W. McAlister, Jr., whose name is signed to the foregoing Deed, has this date appeared before me, and acknowledged the same, as Trustee.

Given under my hand this $24^{\text {th }}$ day of OCtober, 2018.


My Commission Expires: $3 / 31 / 2020$
MELISSA REED Notary Public
[SIGNATURES CONTINUE ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE] [REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

## LENDER:

THE FIRST BANK AND TRUST COMPANY

By:


Name: Allan Mi Book out
Title: Senior Vire President

## State/commonwealth of Virginia

 CITY/COUNTY OF Montgomery, to wit:I, the undersigned Notary Public of and for the jurisdiction aforesaid, do hereby certify that Allan M. Bookeret, as Senior Vice President of First Bank and Trust Company, whose name is signed to the foregoing Deed, has this date appeared before me, and acknowledged the same.

Given under my hand this $24^{\text {th }}$ day of October, 2018.


My Commission Expires: $3 / 31 / 2020$
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## EXHIBIT A

THE PLAT

201811140082209
Prince Willam County, VA 11/14/2018 03:07 PM Pages: 3 Jacqueline C Smith, Esq., Clerk Grantor Tax: \$4,600.00

GPIN \# A portion of: 8188-78-5384, 8188-78-8257, 8188-78-8432 \& 8188-77-5298
Consideration: $\quad \$ 4,600,000.00 \quad$ Prepared by:

Tax Assessed Value: $\quad \$ .3,351,843,20$

Prepared by:
Lauren D. Nowlin, Esq., VSB 74827
200 South $10^{\text {m }}$ Street, Suite 1600
Richmond, VA 23219
Title Insurance Provided by: Stewart Title Guaranty Company

THIS DEED, made this $8^{\text {th }}$ day of November, 2018, by and between TOWNSOLIARE AT DUMFIRIES, LLC, a Virginia limited liability company, to be indexed as grantor (the "Grantor"), and TOWNSQUARE, AT DUMFRIES BOND, LLC, a Virginia limited liability company, to be indexed as grantee (the "Grantee"), provides as follows:

## WITNESSETH:

THAT for and in consideration of the sum of Ten Dollars (\$10.00) cash in hand paid and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, Grantor does hereby grant and convey unto the Grantee, in fee simple, with SPECLAL WARRANTY, the real estate described in SCHEDULE A attached hereto and incorporated herein.

This conveyance is made expressly subject to such recorded restrictions, conditions and easements as may lawfully apply to the real estate.
[SIGNATURE PAGES FOLLOW]

WITNESS the following signature and seal:
GRANTOR:
TOWNSQUARE AT DUMFRIES, LLC, a Virginia limited liability company

By: Community Housing Partners Corporation, a Virginia nonstock corporation,


## COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA )

 EHPY/COUNTY OF HENRICO ;The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this $8^{\text {th }}$ day of NOUEMBER 2018, by Samantha Brown, as Assistant Vice President of Community Housing Partners Corporation, a Virginia nonstock corporation, the managing member of Townsquare At Durmfries, LLC, a Virginia limited liability company, on behalf of the company.

My Commission Expires: $\qquad$ Registration Number: $\qquad$ $-1650884$

$\qquad$

Grantee's Address: 448 Depot Street NE Christiansburg, Virginja 24073

371559721


## Exhibit A

## Legal Description

ALL that certain lot, piece or parcel of land, with the appurtenances thereunto belonging, lying and being in the Town of Dumfries, Prince William County, Virginia, designated as Parcel "B", containing 599,842 Sq. FT. or 13.7705 Acres, as shown on a plat of consolidation and subdivision made by The Engineering Groupe Inc., dated August 27, 2018, entitled "PLAT SHOWING CONSOLIDATION AND SUBDIVISION TOWNSQUARE TOWN OF DUMFRIES PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY, VIRGINIA", which plat was recorded November 8, 2018 in the Clerk's Office, Circuit Court, Prince William County, Virginia as Instrument No. 201811080080951.

BEING a portion of the same real estate conveyed to Townsquare at Dumfries, LLC, a Virginia limited liability company, by deed from Orange Fields, LLC, a Virginia limited liability company, dated June 13, 2017, recorded June 20, 2017 in the Clerk's Office, Circuit Court, Prince William County, Virginia as Instrument No. 201706220047468

Document prepared by and when recorded return to:

Williams Mullen
Attn: Lauren Nowlin, Esq. (VSB\#74827)
$200 \mathrm{~S} .10^{\text {th }}$ Street, Suite 1600
Richmond, Virginia 23219

# grin No: A portion of: <br> $8188-77-5398,8188-78-5384,8188-78-8251$ $98188-78-8432$ 

THIS DEED IS EXEMPT FROM RECORDATION TAX PURSUANT TO SECTION 58.1-811(A)(3) OF THE CODE OF VIRGINIA, 1950, AS AMENDED

DEED OF DEDICATION

THIS DEED OF DEDICATION made this $9^{\text {th }}$ day of November, 2018, by TOWNSQUARE AT DUMFRIES, LLC, a Virginia limited liability company ("Grantor"), as granter; the TOWN OF DUMFRIES, VIRGINIA, a body corporate and politic, (the "Town") as grantee; JAMES W. MCALISTER, JR., Trustees), as a granter (the "Trustees)"); and THE FIRST BANK AND TRUST COMPANY, as a granter (the "Lender').

## WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, Granter is the owner of a certain parcel of land (the "Property") situate in the Town of Dumfries, Virginia (the "Town"), more particularly shown and labeled as "PARCEL 'D" on the plat entitled "PLAT SHOWING CONSOLIDATION AND SUBDIVISION TOWNSQUARE TOWN of DUMFRIES PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY, VIRGINIA", dated August 27, 2018, prepared by The Engineering Groupe Inc., and recorded November 8, 2018 in the Clerk's Office, Circuit Court, Prince William County, Virginia (the "Clerk's Office") as Instrument No. 201811080080951 (the "Plat");

WHEREAS, the Property is encumbered by the lien of that certain Deed of Trust made by Grantor to Trustee and securing Lender, dated August 30, 2017 and recorded in the Clerk's Office as Instrument No. 201708310066417 (the "Deed of Trust");

WHEREAS, Section VII of that certain Proffer Statement in connection with "REZONING \#2016-001" for the Project "Townsquare at Dumfries" in the Town of Dumfries, Virginia, dated June 6, 2016 and revised September 22, 2016 (the "Proffer Statement"), requires that the Property be dedicated to the Town; and

WHEREAS, to satisfy the requirements of Section VII of the Proffer Statement, it is the desire and intent of the Owner, with the consent and approval of the Trustee(s) and Lender, as evidenced by their signatures affixed hereto, to dedicate, grant and convey the Property to the Town and to reserve unto itself, its successors and assigns, certain easements in accordance with this Deed of Dedication.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the premises and the sum of ONE DOLLAR (\$1.00), cash in hand paid, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, Grantor and Trustee, with the consent and approval of the Lender, as shown by Lender's signature affixed hereto, do hereby dedicate and convey the Property in fee simple with special warranty of title to the Town;

TOGETHER WITH all and singular the buildings and improvements thereon, rights and privileges, tenements, hereditaments, easements and appurtenances unto the Property belonging or in anywise appertaining;

AND Trustee, with the consent and approval of the Lender, as evidenced by Lender's signature affixed hereto, hereby releases and discharges from the lien of the Deed of Trust the Property, together with all buildings and improvements thereon, rights and privileges, tenements, hereditaments, easements and appurtenances unto the Property belonging or in anywise appertaining;

AND Grantor, with the consent and approval of the Trustee(s) and Lender, as evidenced by their signatures affixed hereto, does hereby reserve and retain unto itself, its successors and assigns, for the benefit of "PARCEL C" as shown on the Plat ("Parcel C"), perpetual non-exclusive easements for access to Graham Park Road and utilities necessary to develop Parcel C, as reasonably determined by Grantor and any future owner of Parcel C.

AND the Town and Grantor, with the consent and approval of the Trustee(s) and Lender, as evidenced by their signatures affixed hereto, hereby acknowledge and agree that this Deed of Dedication satisfies the Grantor's requirements set forth in Section VII of the Proffer Statement.

## [Signature Pages to Follow]

WITNESS the following signatures and seals:

## GRANTOR:

TOWNSQUARE AT DUMFRIES, LLD
a Virginia limited liability company
By: Community Housing Partners Corporation,


STATE/COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
county/eity of Henrko $\qquad$ , to-wit:

I, the undersigned Notary Public of and for the jurisdiction aforesaid, do hereby certify that DANIO SCHULTZ Public of and for the jurisdiction aforesaid, do hereby certify that
, as SR VICEPRESIOENT of Community Housing Partners Corporation, as the Managing Member of Townsquare at Dumfries, LLC, whose name is signed to the foregoing Deed dated October $29 \pi \pi, 2018$, has this date appeared before me, and acknowledged the same.

Given under my hand and seal this $29^{\text {Th t }}$ day of OCTOBER , 2018.
Noceslollins
NOTARY PUBLIC
My commission expires: $\qquad$ .

TOWN:
TOWN OF DUMFRIES, VIRGINIA


## COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

County of Prince William, to wit:
I. the undersigned Notary Public of and for the jurisdiction aforesaid, do hereby certify that Gregory M, Tkac, its authorized agent, Town of Dumfries, Virginia whose name is signed to the foregoing Deed dated Novemiser 9 , 2018, has this date appeared before me, and acknowledged the same.

Given under my hand and seal this 9 day of NOVEM BKL , 2018.


My commission expires: $\qquad$ .


## TRUSTEE:


state/commonwealth of Virginal county/city of Montgomery , to-wit:

I, the undersigned Notary Public of and for the jurisdiction aforesaid, do hereby certify that James W. McAlister, Jr., as Trustee, whose name is signed to the foregoing Deed dated October $\qquad$ 2018, has this date appeared before me, and acknowledged the same.

Given under my hand and seal this $26^{\text {th }}$ day of OCtober_, 2018.


My commission expires: $\qquad$ .

## LENDER:

THE FIRST BANK AND TRUST COMPANY

By:
 (SEAL)

Title:
state/commonwealth of Virginia
county/city of Montgomery , to-wit:
I, the undersigned Notary Public of and for the jurisdiction aforesaid, do hereby certify that Allan M. Bookout , as Senior Vice Pesidentof The First Bank and Trust Company, whose name is signed to the foregoing Deed dated October 26,2018 , has this date appeared before me, and acknowledged the same.

Given under my hand and seal this $26^{\text {th }}$ day of OCtober_, 2018.


My commission expires:
 .


## INTEREST DISCLOSURE AFFIDAVIT

## STATE OF VIRGINIA,

## COUNTY OF PRINCE MONTGOMERY

This $\qquad$ day of November $\qquad$ , 2021,
David Schultz, Senior President of Development of Community Housing Partners, Managing
I, Member of CHP Townsquare at Dumfries Bond, LLC, Managing Member of TOWNSQUARE AT DUMFRIES BOND, LLC (Owner), hereby make oath that no member of the Town Council of the Town of Dumfries, Virginia, nor the Planning Commission of the Town of Dumfries, Virginia, has interest in such property, either individually, by ownership of stock in a corporation owning such land, or partnership, or as holder of ten (10) percent or more of the outstanding shares of stock in or as a director or officer of any corporation owning such land, directly or indirectly, by such member or members of his immediate household, except as follows:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

TOWNSQUARE AT DUMFRIES BOND, LLC
a Virginia limited liability company
By: CHP Townsquare at Dumfries Bond, LLC, a Virginia limited liability company, its Managing Member

By: Community Housing Partners Corporation, a Virginia nonstock corporation, its Managing Member

By: Dank ing
Name: David Schultz
Title: Senior Vice President of Development

## COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA:

## County of Montgomery

Subscribed and sworn to before me this $\qquad$ 23rd day of $\qquad$ , 2021 in my County and State aforesaid, by the aforenamed Principal.


## INTEREST DISCLOSURE AFFIDAVIT

## STATE OF VIRGINIA,

 COUNTY OF MONTGOMERYThis $\qquad$ day of November $\qquad$ 2021 , I, David Schultz as Senior Vice President of Development of Community of TOWNSQUARE AT DUMFRIES, LLC
(Owner), hereby make oath that no member of the Town Council of the Town of Dumfries, Virginia, nor the Planning Commission of the Town of Dumfries, Virginia, has interest in such property, either individually, by ownership of stock in a corporation owning such land, or partnership, or as holder of ten (10) percent or more of the outstanding shares of stock in or as a director or officer of any corporation owning such land, directly or indirectly, by such member or members of his immediate household, except as follows:

TOWNSQUARE AT DUMFRIES, LLD
a Virginia limited liability company
By: Community Housing Partners Corporation, a Virginia nonstock corporation, its Managing Member

By: $\qquad$
Name: David Schultz
Title: $\qquad$

## COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA:

## County of Montgomery

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 23rd and State aforesaid, by the aforenamed Principal. day of $\qquad$ , 2021 in my County


My Commission Expires: $\qquad$

## Appendix B

## MASTER ZONING PLAN AMENDMENT PCA 2016-001 <br> FOR TOWNSQUARE <br> THE TOWN OF DUMFRIES, VIRGINIA



## SHEET INDEX:

TITLE
COVER SHEET
EXISTING CONDITIONS PLAN
ILLUSTRATIVE/PHASING PLAN




## Appendix C



December 14, 2016

Orange Fields, LLC
Attn: Marion Wall, Manager
504 Broadway Street
Quantico, VA 22134
RE: Rezoning REZ 2016-001
Dear Mr. Wall:
At a regular meeting of the Town Council on December 6, 2016, the Council approved Rezoning application REZ 2016-001 in the name of Orange Fields, LLC. The Council's action rezones certain property within the Town of Dumfries from the B-1 District to the PMUD (Planned Mixed Use District) to permit mixed use development. The subject properties are generally located at the southwest corner of Graham Park Road and Old Triangle Road (GPIN 8188-78-8257, 8188-77-5398, 8188-78-5384, and 8188-78-8432), on approximately 28.58 acres of land. The properties are subject to the use regulations of said PMUD, and further restricted by the conditions proffered on September 22, 2016, which are in addition to the Zoning Ordinance regulations applicable to said parcel.


Community Development Director

## Enclosures

Cc: Dawn Hobgood, Town Clerk<br>Gerald M. Foreman II, Acting Town Manager

Townsquare at Dumfries, LLC
Attn: David Schultz
4915 Radford Avenue, Suite \#300
Richmond, VA 23220

AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DUMFRIES TOWN COUNCIL HELD ON DECEMBER 6, 2016, IN COUNCIL CHAMBERS, 17755 MAIN STREET, DUMFRIES, VIRGINIA: ON A MOTION DULY MADE BY MR. WOOD, AND SECONDED BY MR. FOREMAN, THE FOLLOWING ORDINANCES WAS ADOPTED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

Charles C. Brewer, yes;<br>Brian K. Fields, yes;<br>Gerald M. Foreman, II, yes;<br>William A. Murphy, yes;<br>Gwen P. Washington, absent;<br>Melva P. Willis, yes;<br>Derrick R. Wood, yes;

## ORDINANCE APPROVING REZONING APPLICATION REZ 2016-001, TO <br> REZONE APPROXIMATELY 28.6 ACRES FROM R-2: GENERAL RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO PMUD: PLANNED MIXED USE DISTRICT

WHEREAS, Orange Fields, LLC, submitted a request for a Rezoning on August 25, 2015 to rezone approximately 28.6 acres for a mixed use project of townhouses, apartments, and commercial square footage; and

WHEREAS, the applicant deferred review of the rezoning to allow for amendments to the Zoning Ordinance and Comprehensive Plan, which facilitated conformance with the applicant's development proposal and these important planning tools; and

WHEREAS, the Council amended the Comprehensive Plan's Future Land Use Map and the Zoning Ordinance on March 1, 2016 to add a Planned Mixed Use District (PMUD) that could be used by the applicant for the rezoning; and

WHEREAS, the Town of Dumfries Planning Commission reviewed the application at its May 9 and June 13, 2016 work sessions; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission held a duly advertised public hearing on July 11, 2016 and unanimously recommended that Town Council approve REZ 2016-001; and

WHEREAS, the Town Council reviewed REZ 2016-001 and the applicant's proffers as updated at its September 20, 2016 work session, and Town Council directed staff to move forward with scheduling a public hearing for the rezoning; and

WHEREAS, the applicant subsequently updated the proffers on September 22, 2016 with clarifying edits; and

WHEREAS, the Town Council held a duly advertised public hearing on November 1, 2016 on REZ 2016-001; and

WHEREAS, the Town Council desires to act on the basis of public necessity, convenience, general welfare, and good zoning practice.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the Council of the Town of Dumfries on November 1, 2016, that the certain parcels of land identified as GPIN 8188-78-8257, 8188-77-5398, 8188-78-5384, and 8188-78-8432 be, and hereby are, zoned to the Planned Mixed Use District (PMUD) and restricted by the conditions proffered on September 22, 2016 and accepted by the Council which conditions are in addition to the Zoning Ordinance regulations applicable to said parcel.

This ordinance shall become effective on December 6, 2016


Ordinance Number O-2016-014

Proffer Statement

## REZONING \#2016-001

## REZONING FROM R-2 TO PLANNED MIXED USE DISTRICT (PMUD)

## PROJECT: ` TOWNSQUARE AT DUMFRIES

## APPLICANT: TOWNSQUARE OF DUMFRIES, LLC

OWNER: ORANGE FIELDS, LLC
PROPERTY: TAX MAP PARCELS 8188-78-5384, 8188-77-5398,
8188-78-8432 and 8188-78-8257
(The "Property")
DATE: June 6, 2016
REVISED: September 22, 2016
Townsquare of Dumfries, LLC (the "Applicant"), is seeking a rezoning of the abovereferenced parcels (the "Property"), as further detailed in the plans described below, from the Town's R-2 zoning classification to Town's Planned Mixed Use District (PMUD) as further detailed herein. The development shall be known as Townsquare at Dumfries (the "Project").

The undersigned Owner of the Property, comprising approximately 28.6 acres (the "Property"), hereby proffers that the use and development of the property shall be in substantial conformance with the following conditions, which shall supersede all other proffers with respect to the Property made prior to this submission, if any. In the event this proffer statement is not accepted in connection with the rezoning as applied for by the Applicant, it shall be deemed withdrawn and void.
"Final Rezoning" as the term is used herein shall be defined as that zoning that is in effect on the day following the last day upon which the Dumfries Town Council (the "Council") decision approving this rezoning may be contested in the appropriate court or, if contested, the day following the entry of a final court order affirming the decision of the Council that has not been appealed, or, if appealed, the day following which the decision has been affirmed on appeal.

The headings on the proffers set forth below have been prepared for convenience and reference only and shall not control or affect the meaning or be taken as an interpretation of any provision of these proffers.

The term "Applicant" as referenced herein shall include Townsquare at Dumfries, LLC, and all future owners, and successors in interest to the Property that is subject to these Proffers.

## I. LAND USE, DEVELOPMENT, AND OPERATIONS:

1. The Property shall be developed with a mix of residential and commercial uses, employing two separate and distinct entrances at Jefferson Davis Highway/Fraley Boulevard and the other from Graham Park Road, consistent with Sheet 3 of the Master PMUD Zoning Plan ("MZP") as further proffered herein.
2. Commercial development on the Property shall be limited to a maximum of 20,000 gross square feet.
3. Not more than 105 townhouse units and 270 multifamily units, of which 40 of the multifamily units will be age-restricted to persons 55 and older may be constructed on the Property. Accessory uses and home occupations, including business centers inside the residential buildings, shall be permitted. The Applicant may construct service, resident amenity, and storage uses in the cellar space of each building, if provided, in multifamily buildings. In addition, the Applicant may construct accessory buildings (such as maintenance space) and dumpster locations.
4. The Applicant shall provide recreational facilities and amenities to serve the residents of homes constructed on the Property, including indoor and outdoor recreation facilities that may include exercise rooms, meeting rooms, and media centers, as generally shown on the MZP.
5. During the course of the development of the Property, the Applicant shall provide to the Town of Dumfries Zoning Administrator the contact information (i.e., telephone number or email address) of a developer's liaison. The role of the liaison will be to address potential citizen inquiries during site development.

## II. MASTER ZONING PLAN:

1. Development of the Property shall be in substantial conformance with the Master PMUD Zoning Plan entitled "Master PMUD Zoning Plan for Townsquare," prepared by The Engineering Groupe, dated March 25, 2016, as revised September 12, 2016 consisting of the following:
a. Land Bay Plan (sheet 3 of 4) (the "Land Bay Plan") and
b. Illustrative Plan (sheet 4 of 4) (the "Illustrative Plan")
2. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the proffered elements of the MZP shall be the entire plan set as it relates to (i) points of access, (ii) the maximum number and type of dwelling units that may be constructed, (iii) the square footage of non-residential
uses, (iv) building heights, (v) the amount and location of open space, (vi) the location of the limits of clearing and grading, (vii) uses, (viii) setbacks from peripheral lot lines, and (ix) the general location and arrangement of the buildings and parking.
3. The exact locations of buildings, amenities, road alignment, and the like are shown as general in nature and will be subject to final design and engineering criteria in concert with the Virginia Department of Transportation ("VDOT") and any design elements required by Town or other agencies with jurisdiction. The Applicant may modify the physical layout of uses shown on the Illustrative Plan, but may not increase the total number of dwelling units or non-residential square footage authorized herein.
4. The Applicant shall maintain the existing landscaping as generally shown on the MZP along the northern boundary of the Property behind the rear lots of homes to be built. Such landscaping area may be variable in width, and tapered to conform to the lot plan at the northeast quadrant of the Property. Additional trees shall be planted within the naturally occurring open spaces along said boundary in areas adjacent to existing housing.

## III. DESIGN ELEMENTS OF THE PROJECT:

a. MIXED USE DESIGN: The final building and site design (including but not limited to the total actual number of dwelling units, and the configuration of parking, landscaping, etc.) shall be determined at the time of final site/subdivision plan review. Apartment units in two, three, or four stories shall be permitted above commercial uses. Freestanding commercial and apartment uses shall also be permitted.
b. TOWNHOUSE DESIGN: Townhouse units may or may not have garages. If they have garages, they may have either front or rear-entry garages. Two and three story townhouses shall be permitted. The number of floors in the townhomes shall be determined by the Applicant at the time of final building plan submittal.
c. FREE STANDING MULTIFAMILY DESIGN: All multifamily buildings shall be developed as "garden-style" buildings either with or without corridors and/or elevators. Two, three, and four story apartment buildings shall be permitted. The final building and site design (including but not limited to the total number of dwelling units, number, and configuration of parking, landscaping, etc.) shall be determined at the time of final site/subdivision plan review.
d. SIDEWALK CONNECTIVITY: All residential and commercial buildings will provide for interconnectivity between and among the buildings by means of sidewalks and for access to external sidewalks or trails.
e. ARCHITECTURAL ELEMENTS: All buildings on the Property shall incorporate exterior front elevations that include a combination of brick and/or stone with vinyl and/or cementitious style siding or panels. All buildings featuring a front-siding elevation shall incorporate a water table of brick or stone across the front elevation, to include the area of the "return" of the side of the home, where the home is offset beyond the front plain of an adjacent unit. Any "box" or "bow" window structures shall be trimmed in a material other than siding and painted in the unit's trim color(s) or a complimenting color(s). Flat and/or pitched roofs shall be permitted. All exterior mechanical units shall be screened from public roads with either landscaping materials or hardscape lattice made from durable materials unless the mechanical equipment is mounted on the roof of any multifamily building, in which case, the Applicant will make every effort to conceal their presence from the street view.
f. ENGINEERING DETAIL: Subject to the cap on residential and non-residential development as proffered herein, the final building and site design (including but not limited to the total number of dwelling units, number, and configuration of parking, landscaping, etc.) for each residential unit type shall be determined at the time of final site/subdivision plan review.

## IV. CREATION OF PROPERTY AND HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATIONS:

a. PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION: A community property owners' association shall be created that shall be responsible for the operation and maintenance of the non-residential buildings, common area landscaping, recreational, and open space.
b. HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION: A homeowner's association shall be created for the townhome community that shall be responsible for the ownership, operation and maintenance aspects of the private roads, landscaping, hardscaping, and open space within the town home portion of the Townsquare community. The homeowner's association shall be a member of the community property owners' association.
c. MULTIFAMILY ASSOCIATION. The multifamily rentals and multifamily buildings will be professionally managed and maintained. The ownership entity of the multifamily rentals shall be a member of the community property owners' association.
V. CONSTRUCTION OF A BUS SHELTER: No later than the issuance of the occupancy permit for the 200th residential unit in the Project, a three-sided public bus shelter will be constructed at the current bus stop location at the northern property line of the Property, along Graham Parkway and Old Triangle Road.
VI. TRANSPORTATION ACCESS: The Project is designed to be accessed by two entrances, one from Jefferson Davis Highway/Fraley Boulevard (Route 1) as depicted on the Land Bay Plan and the second from Graham Park Road, also as depicted on the Land Bay Plan. These entrances shall be located and constructed in accordance with applicable Virginia Department of Transportation ("VDOT") criteria for such entrances.
a. A right turn lane from Jefferson Davis Highway (Route1) shall be constructed in substantial conformance with the Land Bay Plan, or as may be determined at final engineering.
b. The entrance design from Jefferson Davis Highway/Fraley Boulevard shall include an entry boulevard, enhanced by trees to establish a sense of arrival for patrons, residents and their guests.
c. The entrance design from Jefferson Davis Highway/Fraley Boulevard will be a public street with five foot ( $5^{\prime}$ ) sidewalks and landscaping, aligned with Jefferson Davis Highway/Fraley Boulevard in accordance with proposed Richmond Highway improvements to be undertaken by the Virginia Department of Transportation ("VDOT").
d. The second point of access to Land Bay 4 shall be on the northern side of the property and shall connect a portion of the property to Graham Park Road, generally as shown on the Land Bay Plan.
VII. LAND DEDICATION FOR A POLICE STATION: At the time of approval of the first final subdivision or site plan for the development of the Property, the Applicant shall dedicate to the Town approximately 1.5 acres of the Property fronting Graham Park Road exclusively for the Town's construction of a Police Station or other public facility as it may determine in its sole discretion, as generally depicted on the Illustrative Plan. The Applicant shall have no obligation to pay costs of design, site preparation, infrastructure, (including stormwater management facilities), or construction of a Police Station. The Town will not use any portion of the land so dedicated as a car or truck impound yard, jail, or gun range. A wall with landscaping shall be erected to surround and screen from public view any areas where vehicles or materials are stored. No outdoor speakers or heavy vehicle storage will be permitted.
VIII. EXTERIOR LIGHTING: The development of the Property shall include street lighting along the Jefferson Davis Highway/Fraley Boulevard entrance that employs lighting
fixtures designed to project the light downward ("full cut-off" fixtures). Any polemounted fixtures installed within fifty (50) feet of adjacent residential properties or streets will incorporate fixtures with directional reflector systems to allow the lighting to be cast inward toward the Property.
IX. NOISE MITIGATION. In order to reduce interior noise for residential dwellings anticipated to be impacted by traffic noise from Jefferson Davis Highway/Fraley Boulevard, residential dwellings located within 100 feet from Jefferson Davis Highway/Fraley Boulevard shall include sound attenuating construction methods and/or materials to help reduce interior noise to a one hour average level not to exceed 45 DBA.

## X. PROFFERS SPECIFICALLY APPLICABLE AGE-RESTRICTED HOUSING UNITS

a. The Applicant shall construct an age-restricted multifamily residence in the location generally shown on the MZP.
b. Not more than 40 such residential units may be constructed.
c. These residential units shall constitute an age-restricted community compliant with the requirements for Housing for Older Persons under federal and state law.
d. For the purposes of these Proffers and in order to conform to the requirements of state and federal law with respect to age-restricted residential occupancy, such residential units shall be occupied in accordance with the following:
i. Except to the extent otherwise prohibited by the Virginia Fair Housing Law, the Federal Fair Housing Amendments Act, or other applicable federal, state, or local legal requirements, 100 percent of the age-restricted dwelling units designated on the MZP shall be restricted to "Housing for Older Persons" as defined in Va. Code Ann. § 36-96.7 and 42 U.S.C. § 3607 for persons aged 55 and older, or by a surviving spouse as provided herein, as those statutes are in effect or may be amended hereafter, and pursuant to any state or federal regulations promulgated thereunder,.
ii. All other residents of such dwellings than those specified above must be a spouse, a cohabitant, or one who provides primary physical or economic support to the person who is 55 years of age or older. No children under the age of 18 shall be permitted to reside permanently in such a home.
iii. Notwithstanding the foregoing limitation, any person hired to provide live-in, long term or terminal health care to a person 55 years of age or older for compensation may also occupy a dwelling during the time such person is actually providing such care.
iv. Guests under the age of 55 are permitted to reside in a unit for periods not to exceed thirty (30) days total for each such guest in any rolling twelvemonth period.
v. If such units are subjected to a condominium regime under Virginia law and sold as opposed to rented, title to any lot or unit shall become vested in any person under the age of 55 by reason of descent, distribution, foreclosure, or operation of law, the age restriction covenant shall not work a forfeiture or reversion of title, but rather, such person thus taking title shall not reside in such unit until he or she shall have attained the age of 55 . Notwithstanding this, the surviving spouse of a qualifying person shall be allowed to continue to occupy a dwelling unit without regard to age.
vi. The homeowners' association for age-restricted dwellings shall have responsibility for the enforcement and administration of these requirements and for compliance with state and federal regulations pertaining thereto, without limitation as to the authority of the Town of Dumfries to enforce these proffers. These occupancy restrictions shall be deemed amended from time to time without further action by the Town, if required to conform to applicable state and federal law and regulations governing such age-restricted housing.

SIGNATURES APPEAR ON FOLLOWING PAGES

Orange Fields LLC
By: Man Clo oll
Name: Mrrion waic
Title: MnNAqer





## AGENDA ITEM REQUEST FORM

Item Type
$\square$ Award $\square$ Proclamation $\square$ Resolution/Ordinance $\square$ Motion $\square$ Discussion

## Statement of Purpose

Consideration of a Resolution Amending Town Council Rules of Procedure Section 3-3
Background/References
N/A
Fiscal Impact
N/A
Suggested Motion
Adoption of Resolution Amending Town Council Rules of Procedure Section 3-3

## Requested Meeting Date

March 1, 2022

## Attachments

- DUMFRIES TOWN COUNCIL RULES OF PROCEDURE ADOPTED 11921 w. options for change 2.2.2022.pdf


# RULES OF PROCEDURE TOWN COUNCIL - TOWN OF DUMFRIES, VIRGINIA 

Town Council 2021-2023<br>Tyrone A. Brown<br>Brian K. Fields<br>Selonia B. Miles<br>Cydny A. Neville<br>Monae' S. Nickerson<br>Shaun R. Peet<br>Derrick R. Wood

Adopted: January 19, 2021

## SECTION 1 - INTRODUCTION

The Rules of Procedure are intended to ensure that the Town of Dumfries Town Council can transact business in an efficient and expeditious manner, while providing means for citizens to observe and participate. Additionally, the Rules of Procedure seek to encourage the spirit of collaboration while protecting individual rights of members.

The Rules of Procedure are intended to be reviewed and adopted by each new Council following an election at the first meeting of Town Council in January.

Nothing contained in the Rules of Procedure shall supersede the Town Charter, Town Code or applicable provisions of federal, state, and local law.

## SECTION 2 - MEETINGS

Section 2-1. Council Meeting Calendar
The Town Council gives notice of and holds meetings in accordance with the Virginia Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), Va. Code §§ 2.2-3700, et seq.

The Council shall adopt the Council Meeting schedule each year at the first meeting of the Council. Meetings of the Town Council are held on the first and third Tuesday of each month, at 7:00 p.m., except where otherwise noted.

Notice of Regular Meetings shall be posted three (3) working days prior to the meeting as required by FOIA.

All Meetings of Council, including Regular, Special and Emergency Meetings and work sessions, etc., are open to the public for observation and participation, in-person, on the local access PEG Channel, and/or accessible from the official Town website and official Town media outlets.

## Section 2-2. S pecial Meetings

Special Meetings may be scheduled by the Mayor or; any two (2) members of Town Council, upon written notice to the Town Manager. No business shall transacted during a special meeting other than that which is specifically called for in the notice, unless the Town Council agrees unanimously to consider such action.

Notice of Special Meetings shall be reasonable under the circumstance and be given contemporaneously with the notice provided to members of the Town Council.

Section 2-3. Emergency Meetings
Emergency Meetings are those which are due to an unforeseen circumstance rendering the notice required by FOIA impossible or impracticable and which circumstance requires immediate action.

Emergency Meetings may be scheduled by the Mayor or; any two (2) members of Town Council, upon written notice to the Town Manager. No business shall be transacted during
an emergency meeting other than that which is specifically called for in the notice, unless the Town Council agrees unanimously to consider such action.

Notice of Emergency Meetings shall be reasonable under the circumstance and be given contemporaneously with the notice provided to members of the Town Council.

## Section 2-4. Organizational Meeting

At the first meeting of a new Council following an election, Town Council shall select from its membership one member to serve as a Vice-Mayor.

Additionally, at this meeting, members shall be designated to serve as members of or liaisons to committees, boards and multi-jurisdictional entities.

## SECTION 3 - PRESERVATION OF ORDER, VOTING AND ELECTRONIC MEETINGS

## Section 3-1. Preservation of Order

A. At meetings of the Town Council, the Mayor (and the Vice Mayor in the absence of the Mayor) shall serve as the Presiding Officer and shall preserve order and decorum and decide on questions of order. Council members shall keep discussion germane to the subject. Points of clarification shall be limited to questions only. Members that transgress the Rules of Procedure shall be called to order by the Presiding Officer.
B. The Town Attorney shall serve as Parliamentarian for meetings of the Town Council.
C. The Presiding Officer has the authority to call a brief recess at any time. Unless there is an emergency, no member of the Town Council shall leave the Council Chamber while the Council is in regular meeting without the permission of the Presiding Officer, which permission shall not be unreasonably withheld.
D. In an emergency, the Presiding Officer may adjourn the meeting without the Council's vote or appeal.
E. Whenever a question arises as to the proper interpretation of a rule of procedure, or in the absence of a rule governing a point of procedure, the Presiding Officer shall consult with the Parliamentarian prior to ruling.
F. No member of the Town Council shall speak more than once on the same question until every member choosing to speak shall have done so, or for a longer time than 10 minutes on any question, without the express permission of the Council.
G. When two or more members of the Town Council wish to speak at the same time, the Presiding Officer shall name the member to speak first.
H. The rules of parliamentary procedure set forth in the current edition of Robert's Rules of Order shall govern the conduct of meetings of Council, except where otherwise specified.
I. All comments shall be directed to the Presiding Officer who shall first recognize the speaker before the speaker proceeds to make any comments.

Section 3-2. Abstention from a Vote
If a member abstains from a vote, it shall be the responsibility of the Town Clerk to note the abstention.

Members abstaining due to a conflict of interest shall do so in accordance with the Virginia Conflict of Interests Act ("COIA"), Va. Code §§ 2.2-3100, et seq. The Town Attorney is available to assist members in complying; however, compliance responsibility remains that of the member.

Section 3-3. Participation by Remote Electronic Communication
The Council believes that it is very important for Council members to attend Council meetings in person.

Pursuant to Va. Code $\$ 2.23708 .2$ or as otherwise set forth in the Code of Virginia, members of Town Council may participate in a Town Council meeting through electronic means, subject to the conditions and requirements of this statute. Any member seeking to participate electronically shall notify the Mayor and Town Attorney atleast 24 hours in advance of the scheduled meeting to ensure compliance with applicable Virginia Codesections. The member shall be provided web based access to the meeting or, if acceptable to the member, access by telephone-(moved to below)

Members individually or the Council itself under certain emergencies may participate in Council meetings through electronic communication means pursuant to the provisions of this section. In such cases, notice and public access shall be given in accordance with the respective provisions of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA").

## A. Individual Member Requesting Remote Electronic Participation

Pursuant to Va. Code § 2.2-3708.2 or as otherwise set forth in the Code of Virginia, members of Town Council may participate in a Town Council meeting through electronicmeans, subject to the conditions and requirements of this statute.

Any member seeking to participate electronically shall notify the Mayor and Town Attorney atleast 24 hours in advance of the scheduled meeting to ensure compliance with applicable Virginia Code sections.* The member shall be provided web-based access to the meeting or, if acceptable to the member, access by telephone.

In order to participate electronically, the member must be unable to attend the meeting due to:

1. A temporary or permanent disability or other medical condition that prevents the member's physical presence or a family member's medical condition that requires the member to provide care for such family member, thereby preventing the member's physical attendance; or
2. A personal matter and identifies with specificity the nature of the personal matter which shall be included in the meeting minutes. Participation by member pursuant to this subsection is limited to absence of two (2) meetings per calendar year or 25\% of
the meetings held per calendar year rounded up to the next whole number, whichever is greater.

In addition for the foregoing electronic participation to occur, a physical quorum of Council shall otherwise be present, and Council shall make arrangements for the voice of the remote participating member to be heard by all persons at the Council meeting location.

This policy shall be applied strictly and uniformly, without exception, to the entire membership and without regard to the identity of the member requesting remote participation or the matters to be considered or voted on at the meeting. The Council members present at the subject meeting must approve or disapprove the member's request to participate remotely by a majority vote. Electronic participation from a remote location shall be approved unless such participation violates this section or Va. Code $\S 2.2-3708.2$. If a request is disapproved because such participation would violate the policy or Va. Code § 2.2-3708.2, such disapproval shall be recorded in the meeting minutes with specificity.

If remote participation is approved, the member participating remotely shall be provided web-based access to the open meeting or, if acceptable to the member, access by telephone.** The member participating remotely shall be provided access to closed meetings by telephone and only if the member certifies that the member is in a location that ensures that the confidentiality of closed meeting will not be breached or violated.
B. Meeting by Electronic Participation to Conduct Business During a Declared State of Emergency

Council may meet by electronic communication means without a quorum of the Council physically assembled in one location when the Governor, Prince William County or the Town has declared a State of Emergency in accordance with Va. Code § 44-146.17 or § 44-146.21, respectively, provided that:

1. The catastrophic nature of the declared emergency makes it impracticable or unsafe for the Council to assemble a quorum in a single location;
2. The purpose of the meeting is to provide for the continuity of operations of the Town or Council or the discharge of its lawful purposes, duties and responsibilities;
3. A recording or transcript of the meeting is available on the Council website in accordance with the timeframes in Va. Code § 2.2-3707; and
4. The Council makes arrangements for public access to such meeting through electronic communication means, including videoconferencing if used by the Council, and the Council provides the public with the opportunity to comment at those meetings, when public comment is customarily received, either virtually or in writing to be provided to the Council prior to or at the meeting.
C. Reflecting Remote Participation in the Meeting Minutes
5. Pursuant to Va. Code § 2.2-3708.2, the meeting minutes will reflect the member who participated remotely pursuant to subsection (A) above and the location of the remote participation. If the member participated remotely pursuant to subsection (A)(1) above, the fact that the member's physical attendance was prevented due to a temporary or permanent disability or other medical condition shall be noted in the meeting minutes. If the member participated remotely pursuant subsection (A)(2) above, the minutes shall also include the specific nature of the personal matter cited by the member.
6. In the instance of electronic participation during a Governor, County or Town Declared State of Emergency pursuant to subsection (B) above, the meeting minutes will also state the nature of the emergency, the fact that the meeting was held by electronic communication means and the type of electronic communication means by which the meeting was held.

## SECTION 4 - THE AGENDA

Section 4-1. Publishing the Agenda and Meeting Materials
Under the direction of the Town Manager, the Town Clerk shall be responsible for the publication of agendas for all noticed and scheduled meetings of the Town Council pursuant to all applicable public notice laws, including but not limited to FOIA. Meeting agendas shall be published no less than three (3) business days in advance of the Council Meeting date.

Section 4-2. Requests for Legislation \& Agenda Items
A. The Town Manager shall prepare a proposed agenda to review with the Mayor prior to the scheduled Town Council meeting.
B. Any Council Member who would like to request legislation and/or agenda items shall submit a completed Agenda Item Request Form (Attachment A). Requests for legislation shall be submitted at least 30 days prior to the advertised meeting date. Requests for Proclamations shall be submitted at least seven (7) days prior to the advertised meeting date.

When items requested by Council Members appear on the agenda, the agenda shall identify the Council Member making the request. Minutes and press releases about the agenda item shall also identify the Council Member who requested the item.
C. The Town Manager shall create and maintain an Agenda Item Submission Calendar (Attachment B) to depict submission timeframes.

## SECTION 5 - ORDER OF BUSINESS FOR COUNCIL MEETINGS

Section 5-1. Order of Business
A. The order of business for regular meetings of the Town Council shall be as follows:
I. Call to Order

The presiding officer calls the meeting to order to begin the meeting and directs the Town Clerk to call the roll of Council members.
II. Prayer and Pledge of Allegiance

The Prayer may be delivered by a named guest or the Council may pause for a moment of silent prayer and reflection. The Pledge of Allegiance to the flag of the United States of America shall immediately follow the Prayer.
III. Adoption of the Agenda

The Presiding Officer will call for a roll call vote to adopt the agenda before the Council. At this time, amendments may be proposed by members of Council and the Town Manager. The Presiding Officer shall make rulings on any requests for additional items in a manner that ensures order and successful transaction of business. Requests from Council Members to introduce legislation shall not be permitted at this time.

## IV. Awards \& Proclamations

Only awards and proclamations of the Mayor and Town Council shall be presented at this time. Recipients/ honorees shall be allowed to address the Council as part of the presentation. At their final meeting of service, members will be provided a proclamation and plaque.
V. Approval of Minutes

Minutes from previous meeting(s) will be before Council for a vote of approval.
VI. Citizen Comment Period

Citizens are allowed no more than three (3) minutes to speak on any topic not on the agenda. Citizens shall refrain from using threats, language of a personal nature which insults or demeans any person, Council and other elected officials and staff, acting in their official capacity. No response to Citizen Comments from Town Council or staff shall be provided at this time. The Town Clerk shall publish and maintain guidelines for Citizen Comment including advance sign-up procedures.
VII. Mayor \& Council Comments

At the first meeting of the month, the Mayor and Council members are allowed no more than five (5) minutes, respectively, to make comments of personal privilege. Members shall refrain from using language of a personal nature
which insults or demeans any person, including other members of Council and staff, acting in their official capacity; campaigning for public office; and, debating action items.
VIII. Reports \& Presentations

This portion of the agenda is reserved for Reports and Presentations from various groups, including but not limited to, regional partners, other government parties, boards, and commissions. At the second meeting of the month (where applicable), the Town Manager shall submit a Town Manager's Report to be included with the meeting materials. This portion of the agenda shall also be reserved for staff presentations on specific topic areas.

A Treasurer's report shall be provided to Council by the $15^{\text {th }}$ of the month, and the Treasurer shall make a presentation to Council quarterly.
IX. Introduction Items

This portion of the agenda shall include ordinances or resolutions that are added to the public record for action and/or public hearing at a future meeting of the Council. At the time of introduction, these items may be referred to advisory bodies of the Council. Debate shall not be permissible for Introduction Items, other than Point of Order inquiries, and should be raised during Adoption of the Agenda. The Town Clerk shall read Introduction Items into the record, including public hearing dates and referrals when applicable.
X. Action Items

This portion of the agenda shall include items for public hearing and/or Council vote.
XI. Adjournment

Meeting may be adjourned by Presiding Officer or motioned by any member.
Section 5-2. Worksessions
Votes will not be taken at worksessions.
Section 5-3. Closed Meetings
A. Generally, pursuant to FOIA, all meetings of public bodies must be open to the public. If the Council wants to hold a closed meeting, it must follow an established process to convene in closed meeting. Matters which qualify for closed meeting include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Personnel matters such as appointment and evaluation of performance of specific officers, appointees or employees of the Council;
- Acquisition of real property for a public purpose or disposition of public property;
- A prospective business or industry or expansion of existing business or industry where no previous announcement has been made;
- Investment of public funds where competition or bargaining is involved;
- Consultation with legal counsel and staff pertaining to actual or probable litigation;
- Consultation with legal counsel regarding a specific legal matter requiring legal advice.

It is important that members who desire that a matter be discussed in closed meeting timely identify that matter to the Mayor and Town Attorney in advance of the Council meeting so that the Town Attorney can ascertain whether the matter qualifies for closed meeting and prepares the necessary language for the motion.
B. The Council must pass a motion in open session that does the following:

1. Identifies the subject matter of the closed meeting;
2. States the purpose of the closed meeting; and
3. Makes specific reference to the FOIA statutory exemptions relied on to close the meeting.

Once in a closed meeting, only the matters stated in the motion shall be discussed. The Town Manager and Town Attorney shall convene with the Council in closed meeting unless their presence would adversely impact the discussion of the closed meeting matter(s).
C. Following a closed meeting the Council must reconvene in open session and certify that only the matters identified in the motion were discussed.

Section 5-4. Quorum
A. As provided by Va. Code § 15.2-1415, a majority of the members of the Council must be present to conduct business. "Quorum" refers to the number of members required to be present to conduct a Council meeting, not the number of members voting on an issue. If no quorum is present or if a quorum is lost, the Council can only (i) adjourn, (ii) recess, or (iii) take steps to obtain a quorum.
B. For the transaction of business, a quorum shall constitute four (4) members of the Town Council.
C. If a quorum is not present at any meeting, those attending may adjourn to such other time prior to the next regular meeting as they may determine, and the Town Clerk shall record such adjournment in the meeting minutes and shall notify absent members in the same manner as required for special meetings.

## Section 5-5. Improper Conduct of Public

The Presiding Officer may call for the expulsion and subsequent banning from Council Chambers of persons for disruptive behavior or any works or action(s) which incite violence
or disorder, subject to appeal to Council. Any person so expelled shall not be readmitted for the remainder of the meeting from which they are expelled. Any person, who has been so expelled and who at a later meeting again engages in words or actions justifying expulsion, may also be barred from attendance at future meetings, subject to appeal to Council.

## SECTION 6 - AMENDING THE RULES OF PROCEDURE

These rules of procedure shall be adopted by resolution. Subsequent amendments shall also be made by resolution of the Town Council.

Attachments:
Attachment A - Agenda Item Request Form
Attachment B - Agenda Item Submission Calendar

## ATTACHMENT A

## AGENDA ITEM REQUEST FORM

Item Type
$\square$ AwardProclamation
$\square$ Resolution/OrdinanceMotion
$\square$ Discussion
Statement of Purpose
$\square$
Background/References
$\square$
Fiscal Impact
$\square$
Suggested Motion
$\square$
Requested Meeting Date
$\square$

## Attachments

- For awards and proclamations, please attach desired language


## ATTACHMENT B

Agenda Item Submission Calendar

| Meeting Date <br> (Introduction Date*) | Agenda Advertisement <br> Deadline | Legislation <br> Submission Deadline | Proclamation <br> Submission Deadline |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| January 5, 2021 |  |  |  |
| January 19, 2021 | January 13, 2021 | December 13, 2020 | January 6, 2021 |
| February 2, 2021 | January 28, 2021 | December 28, 2020 | January 21, 2021 |
| February 16, 2021 | February 11, 2021 | January 11, 2021 | February 4, 2021 |
| March 2, 2021 | February 25, 2021 | January 25, 2021 | February 18, 2021 |
| March 16, 2021 | March 11, 2021 | February 11, 2021 | March 4, 2021 |
| April 6, 2021 | April 1, 2021 | March 1, 2021 | March 25, 2021 |
| April 20, 2021 | April 15, 2021 | March 15, 2021 | April 8, 2021 |
| May 4, 2021 | April 29, 2021 | March 29, 2021 | April 21, 2021 |
| May 18, 2021 | May 13, 2021 | April 13, 2021 | May 6, 2021 |
| June 1, 2021 | May 27, 2021 | April 27, 2021 | May 21, 2021 |
| June 15, 2021 | June 10, 2021 | May 10, 2021 | June 3, 2021 |
| July 6, 2021 | June 30, 2021 | May 30, 2021 | June 23, 2021 |
| August 4, 2021 <br> (Wednesday) | July 30, 2021 | June 30, 2021 | July 23, 2021 |
| September 7, 2021 | September 2, 2021 | August 2, 2021 | August 26, 2021 |
| September 21, 2021 | September 16, 2021 | August 16, 2021 | September 9, 2021 |
| October 6, 2021 <br> (Wednesday) | October 1, 2021 | September 1, 2021 | September 24, 2021 |
| October 19, 2021 | October 14, 2021 | September 14, 2021 | October 7, 2021 |
| November 3, 2021 <br> (Wednesday) | October 27, 2021 | September 27, 2021 | October 20, 2021 |
| November 16, 2021 | November 10, 2021 | October 10, 2021 | November 3, 2021 |
| December 7, 2021 | December 2, 2021 | November 2, 2021 | November 24, 2021 |

[^4]
## AGENDA ITEM REQUEST FORM

Item Type
$\square$ Award
ProclamationResolution/OrdinanceMotion
Discussion

## Statement of Purpose

Resolution Authorizing the Town Manager to Advertise Public Hearing on the Conditional Use Application, CUP2022-001, Pooch Purrfect Pet Spa - Public Hearing Date: March 15, 2022

## Background/References

$$
\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}
$$

## Fiscal Impact

N/A

## Suggested Motion

Approve resolution to authorize Public Hearing for CUP2022-001
Requested Meeting Date
March 1, 2022

## Attachments

-2022-03-01 CUP22-001 Public Hearing Authorization.pdf

# AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DUMFRIES TOWN COUNCIL HELD ON ON MARCH 1, 2022: ON A MOTION DULY MADE BY , AND SECONDED BY , THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WAS ADOPTED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE: 

Tyrone A. Brown, $\qquad$ ; Brian K. Fields, $\qquad$
Selonia B. Miles, $\qquad$
Cydny A. Neville, $\qquad$ ;
Monae S. Nickerson, ___; Shaun R. Peet, $\qquad$
Derrick R. Wood, $\qquad$

## RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE TOWN MANAGER TO ADVERTISE PUBLIC HEARINGS ON CONDITIONAL USE APPLICATION, CUP2022-001, POOCH PURRFECT PET SPA, FILED FOR 17742 MAIN STREET.

WHEREAS, a Conditional Use Permit (CUP2022-001) was filed by Pooch Purrfect Pet Spa and

WHEREAS, the Town Council desires the Department of Planning and Community Development to provide a staff report and recommendation to the Planning Commission and to the Town Council for CUP2022-001; and

WHEREAS, the Town Council desires CUP2022-001 be forwarded to the Planning Commission for public hearing, review and recommendation to Town Council; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the requirements in the Town Code and all other applicable laws, the Town Council further desires to deliberate upon and review CUP2022-001 and provide the public the opportunity to be heard on the application.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by Town Council that the Town Manager is authorized to advertise for public hearings to consider an action on conditional permit application CUP2022-001 before the Planning Commission and Town Council, respectively.

## By Order of Council:

Derrick R. Wood, Mayor

## ATTEST:

Tangi R. Hill, Town Clerk

## SECOND:

## RE: AUTHORIZE CLOSED MEETING

WHEREAS, the Town Council of the Town of Dumfries desires to discuss in closed meeting the following matter(s):

1. One personnel matter relating to the performance evaluation of the Town Manager (Va. Code §2.2-3711.A.1);

WHEREAS, pursuant to Va. Code §§2.2-3711.A.1, such discussion(s) may occur in closed meeting;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Town Council of the Town of Dumfries does hereby authorize discussion of the aforestated matter(s) in closed meeting.

VOTE
AYES:
NAYS:

## ABSTAIN:

ABSENT:

## SECOND:

## RE: CERTIFICATION OF CLOSED MEETING

WHEREAS, the Town Council of the Town of Dumfries has convened in closed meeting on this date pursuant to an affirmative recorded vote and in accordance with the provisions of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act; and

WHEREAS, Va. Code §2.2-3712 requires a certification by the Town Council that such closed meeting was conducted in conformity with Virginia law;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Town Council of the Town of Dumfries hereby certifies that, to the best of each member's knowledge, (i) only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements by Virginia law were discussed in the closed meeting to which this certification resolution applies, and (ii) only such public business matters as were identified in the motion convening the closed meeting were heard, discussed or considered by the Town Council.

VOTE
AYES:
NAYS:

## ABSTAIN:

## ABSENT:


[^0]:    WHEREAS, the Planning Commission advertised and held a public hearing on the proposed proffer amendments on February 14, 2022, and has recommended approval of the proffer amendments; and

    WHEREAS, the Town Council advertised and held a public hearing on the proposed proffer amendments on March 1, 2022; and

    WHEREAS, the Town Council acts on the basis of public necessity, convenience, general welfare, and good zoning practice;

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Note, the Town Council, subsequently revised the Town Code on March 16, 2021, to make the Director of Planning \& Community Development the approval agent.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ These Parcel designations are taken from the Subdivision Plat that created them, and that is found in the Land Records of Prince William County as Instrument Number 201811080080951 . Land Bay Lines do not correspond to the Parcel Lines.

[^3]:    Zone wide Queuing Penalty: 1548

[^4]:    *Ordinances shall not be introduced and voted on at the same meeting, except for Emergency Ordinances.

